



News

Solving mystery of the rubbery white clots

Page 2



Law

The fallacy of parliamentary sovereignty

Page 5



International

Unholy alliance: West wages more unprovoked war

Page 17



Health

A fresh look at the possible fungal origins of cancer

Page 20



The **Uncensored Truth**

The **LIGHT**

ISSUE **67** Monthly

FREE FOR THINKERS

www.thelightpaper.co.uk



Freedom for the Filton Six

Jury trials are vital safety net against tyranny

Page 4

Getting away with murder

Media ignoring power networks revealed in Epstein files

by **DARREN SMITH**

IT is what they admit to redacting from the Epstein files that should shock every decent-thinking man, woman and child on Earth, after the soft-reveal of a tranche of documents relating to the convicted child rapist, trafficker and power broker for the world's wealthiest and most powerful people.

"Depictions of child sexual abuse were excluded, and images of death, physical abuse or injury were not produced", said U.S. Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche on the day they released the files.

Aside from Andrew and Mandelson, much of what has been revealed is being ignored by the media – unsurprising when it is owned and controlled by many of the people named in the files.

But we know full well what is in there, what is implied and what abominable crimes towards women and children the rulers and politicians of all nations get up to.

Nobody should be in any doubt about the corrupt filth we have masquerading as lawfully elected governments and institutions today. They are depraved, wicked and sick, and should be arrested immediately. The fact that our supposed justice system is also captured by the same degenerate entities means we will likely never see the system prosecute itself, and find it or its main players guilty, without immense sustained public pressure first.

It is not just the violent sexual crimes against children committed by the Epstein network



All we need to do is stand up and their game is over. Image: pd

that should shock and alarm ordinary folk; the files also reveal spying for Israel and Mossad and a disgusting, racist-supremacist attitude towards common people.

For example, Peter Mandelson is accused of passing state secrets to the Israelis, financial insider information to Epstein, and there is apparent collusion between the Rothschilds banking empire and the U.S. Government to reduce a fine, using Epstein's network. The Rothschilds appear more than 12,000 times in the Epstein files.

This is how the world really works and important decisions are made. It is unlikely that anyone still thinks the world's institutions are purposed for the general

population, but it should now become evident that the system is purposely designed to rob the people and keep us down, when the Earth and its free bounty combined with man's ingenuity and endeavour could be shared by all, making everyone prosperous.

The rich and powerful, who got there by criminal means, manipulate economies and governments and cultures and nations, using bribery and blackmail. You can't get in without committing a sick crime, and then everyone has to toe the line or else they get exposed or expired.

It is of lesser significance that only half the files have been released, or that they are greatly redacted, with perpetrators' names

covered up while, despicably, the victims were all doxxed by the U.S. Department of Justice. There exists a network of power far above national governments, and their sick currency is the trafficking, rape and murder of children and even babies.

Many senior British politicians have been accused of these heinous crimes, but all have conveniently become unwell or died before anything could happen to them.

The Epstein files also reveal the digital state that is rapidly being completed has been created by the most evil psychopaths and debauched people imaginable. Nobody should expect that a system run by algorithms that can deny anyone access to their wallet for any reason at any time is going to be fair, just and equitable.

Current and previous prime ministers, ambassadors and princes should not just lose their jobs – they should all be tried under the law like anyone else. Either we are equal under the law, or we live in a dictatorship where some people can do as they please and get away with it, including the most heinous crimes imaginable.

There is no doubt that they will continue to distract and divide in order to deny and downplay their evil acts and the real power structure of our world and how it works.

The full implications of what is revealed may take time to sink in, but once enough people realise, change will inevitably come.

● **Read the Epstein files:**
<https://www.justice.gov/epstein> or
<https://epsteinweb.org>

PLEASE SUPPORT US – SUBSCRIBE – DISTRIBUTE – PASS ME ON

Solving mystery of rubbery white clots

Covid injections could be responsible for ‘inorganic’ structures

by **NZDSOS TEAM**

A LANDMARK trilogy of scientific studies has for the first time characterised the rubbery white clots commonly reported by embalmers worldwide.

The research into these ‘anomalous intravascular casts’ (AICs), funded by New Zealand Doctors Speaking Out with Science (NZDSOS) and conducted by New Zealand-based researchers Dr. Bruce Rapley and Dr. Matt Shelton, concludes that these structures are a previously unrecognised and abnormal form of intravascular clotting. Since 2021, reports from embalmers and clinicians have described the retrieval of long, elastic, white fibrous structures from blood vessels, distinct from ordinary post-mortem clots.

Dr. Shelton said: “NZDSOS has been at the forefront of raising concerns about these anomalous findings. This new three-part study, using international labs on three continents, describes their structure,

elemental composition and protein makeup, concluding they represent a novel and persistent pathological entity.”

Key findings of the trilogy:

Paper 1: Morphology & Histology – established that AICs are not ordinary clots. They are elastic, lumen-conforming, branched structures that form under active blood flow yet are strikingly devoid of intact red blood cells and platelets. Their rubber-like consistency and cohesive strength are incompatible with known pre- and post-mortem changes.

Paper 2: Elemental Analysis – revealed the clots have a bizarre chemical fingerprint. They are depleted in sulphur (a key marker of protein) and enriched in phosphorus, a composition impossible for a normal, protein-dominant fibrin clot. This indicates a hybrid organic-inorganic matrix, not a simple blood clot.

Paper 3: Proteomic Analysis – solved the protein puzzle. While the clots do contain fibrinogen, the building block of normal clots, the chains are in a very



Anomalous intravascular casts (AICs) found in corpses by embalmer Richard Hirschman

abnormal ratio. Critically, they are almost completely lacking in plasminogen (the enzyme required to break down clots), explaining their stubborn persistence. The protein profile also shows signs of inflammatory and immune system involvement as well as red cell destruction. Senior Researcher Dr. Bruce Rapley states: “This is not just a big blood clot. This is a fundamentally different architecture. The profound deficiency in plasminogen is like building a structure impervious to future demolition – it’s designed to persist. The elemental data confirms it’s not just protein; it’s a hybrid material our bodies are forced to make but not equipped to clear.”

This holds a significant health implication. The researchers note that the formation of such persistent, obstructing material in blood vessels, particularly if in the microvasculature, will lead to chronic oxygen lack, organ damage, pain, exhaustion, and cascades of inflammatory pathology.

The study concludes that AICs ‘provide a mechanistically coherent explanation for persistent vascular obstruction, impaired tissue perfusion, inflammation and a broad spectrum of acute and chronic organ dysfunction.’

The research is also an urgent call for further investigation.

The paper highlights the covid injections as a crucial research direction, stating:

‘If spike protein were demonstrated to provoke anomalous intravascular casts, this would raise serious implications, not only for covid pathophysiology, but also for genetic platforms that induce sustained host manufacture of spike protein, making it imperative that this potential association be rigorously investigated.’

Dr. Shelton added: “This analysis puts substance to the observations our organisation has been highlighting for four years now. These are not ‘normal’ clots. This work adds to the scientific basis for the persistent symptoms and deaths since the rollouts and strengthens our many calls to halt the covid injections pending further investigation.

“We thank supporters for enabling this work and urge the medical community to take these findings seriously. Already these results are enabling rapid strides in showing how these harmful structures were predictable from first principles.”

● ***nzdsos.com: Breaking Research Decodes the Mystery of “The Rubbery White Clots”:***
<https://tinyurl.com/3y8ptnrz>

● ***Paper 1 (Morphology):***
<https://tinyurl.com/u45mrznz>

● ***Paper 2 (Elemental Analysis):***
<https://tinyurl.com/unkn7h8b>

● ***Paper 3 (Proteomics):***
<https://tinyurl.com/3ube8z84>

TheLIGHT

Mar-Apr 2026 The Uncensored Truth

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free of the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is Creative Commons, 2026. thelightpaper.co.uk

For all subscription issues, please contact:

subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk

For all distribution queries and pre-orders, please email: lightdistribution@mailbox.org

For advertising enquiries, please contact:

ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith **Sub-editor:** Harry Wundas

Layout: mi.ki **Distribution:** Fifi Rose & Matt Smith

Advertising: Nicola Kelly **Subscriptions:** Ross & Rebecca Penname

Proofreading: Alan I, Stevie M, Tracy S, Shane F, David K

Special thanks to every one of our distributors and hubs who volunteer every month to bring you the uncensored news.

To keep our paper FREE is not free – you can help by ordering advance copies, donating or subscribing at:

<https://thelightpaper.co.uk>

‘We’ll know our disinformation programme is complete when everything the public believes is false.’

William Casey, former CIA Director

Politicians in pocket of Israel

Follow the money to find out who pulls the strings

by SERENA WYLDE

KEIR Starmer’s chief of staff Morgan McSweeney, de facto prime minister, resigned on February 8, taking responsibility for Peter Mandelson’s grossly unsuitable appointment as ambassador to the U.S.

McSweeney was inextricably linked to Mandelson who, in turn, was an associate of Jeffrey Epstein.

Owen Jones wrote in *The Guardian* of February 10 that a former McSweeney associate confessed that ‘Morgan wouldn’t breathe without consulting Mandelson first’. And John McTernan, former adviser to Tony Blair, described McSweeney as ‘the heir to Peter Mandelson’.

Mandelson, described as the architect behind the New Labour project, became McSweeney’s mentor when the latter joined the Labour Party in 1997, fresh from living in a kibbutz where he became closely acquainted with the Hashomer Hatzir, a Zionist settler organisation and an extreme expression of Zionist ideology.

In 2001, McSweeney was put to work on Mandelson’s ‘Excalibur’ computer database, housed in New Labour’s headquarters in Millbank, which a BBC report said ‘rivalled MI5’ in the scope of data it gathered on anybody and everybody, friend or foe. Dubbed ‘Mandy Towers’, the headquarters was said to have become synonymous with spin-doctory and control freakery – a spy agency within the Labour Party.

Meanwhile, Mandelson’s close relationship with Jeffrey Epstein appears to have involved Mandelson leaking highly sensitive financial information while he was business secretary from Treasury briefings to Cabinet during the 2008 financial crisis, in exchange for favours.

In September of last year, following an earlier release of Epstein documents, Gordon Brown wrote to the cabinet secretary, Chris Wormald, asking him to examine the communications between Mandelson and Epstein relating to the sale of UK assets. But Brown said he was told that no department record could be found. Wormald has since resigned.

Brown was clearly very angered and said: “Given the shocking new information that has come to light in the latest tranche of Epstein papers, including information about the transfer to Mr. Epstein of at least one highly sensitive government document, as well as other



Mandelson and McSweeney

Taking money to promote Israel’s interests

In the current parliament, all key ministers have received donations from pro-Israel funders:

- Keir Starmer:** Prime Minister
- David Lammy:** Former Foreign Secretary, now Justice Secretary
- Rachel Reeves:** Chancellor
- Angela Rayner:** Former Deputy Prime Minister and contender for Prime Minister
- Wes Streeting:** Secretary of Health and Social Care and contender for Prime Minister
- Bridget Phillipson:** Secretary of State for Education
- Emily Thornberry:** Chair of the

- Foreign Affairs Select Committee
- Lisa Nandy:** Culture Secretary, who reportedly demanded to know why no one at the BBC had lost their job after the broadcast of ‘Gaza: How to Survive a Warzone’, a documentary to which the Israel lobby objected, claiming it was too sympathetic to Palestinians
- Yvette Cooper:** Former Home Secretary who proscribed Palestine Action as a terrorist organisation. Cooper is now Foreign Secretary

highly confidential information, I have now written to ask for a wider and more intensive inquiry to take place into the wholly unacceptable disclosure of government papers and information during the period when the country was battling the global financial crisis.”

He has also asked the police to open an investigation.

As for Keir Starmer’s declaration that nobody knew that Mandelson was so tarnished: in January 2024, a journalist from the *Financial Times* informed the Prime Minister that Mandelson had stayed in Epstein’s house even after the latter’s conviction for child sex offences. And *The Independent* of September 12 last year reported that Starmer’s chief of staff, McSweeney, pushed through

Mandelson’s appointment even though he failed the MI6 vetting.

Moreover, a BBC documentary revealed that Epstein had taken a call in jail from Mandelson in 2008 asking Epstein to act as a conduit to set up a meeting with JP Morgan CEO Jamie Dimon in New York.

Jody McIntyre’s article in *The Electronic Intifada* entitled ‘Morgan McSweeney: the man running Britain and his ties to Israel’ of February 5, states that a former Labour volunteer contacted him in confidence and claimed that “McSweeney was deliberately inserted into the party and later became Keir Starmer’s ‘handler’, presenting compromising material to keep him ‘in line’.”

But no seasoned observer believes

McSweeney’s departure marks the end of the web of illicit influence woven into British politics. One figure leaves, but the pyramid of subversion remains.

Bribery and blackmail are tools that have been used throughout history to wield power over those with a hook on which to latch them.

It is the very acceptance that this practice forms part of the process of keeping the wheels of politics moving that has enabled the destruction of democracy to take place, and a suspected Israeli intelligence asset like Jeffrey Epstein to build a worldwide network of vice that holds the whole world in its grip.

Tim Fortesque, who served as senior Tory whip from 1970-73, informed the BBC in a 1995 documentary that MPs would go to the whip if they had ‘got into trouble’, including the type that involved small boys, and the whips would try to cover up the impending scandal, storing up the information to put pressure on the indentured MPs down the line.

McSweeney was the director of Labour Together Ltd that propelled Keir Starmer to power. Labour Together was funded by the British pro-Israel lobby’s most important financial backer, Trevor Chinn. Chinn also funds both Conservative and Labour Friends of Israel. And in 2024 Chinn was decorated for ‘service to the state of Israel’ by Israel’s president, Isaac Herzog.

McSweeney failed to declare £730,000 of donations made to the Labour Together Ltd think tank, for which it was nominally fined by The Electoral Commission. More sinister is the fact that the think tank hired private detectives to dig up dirt on journalists who were investigating how undeclared funding bankrolled Starmer’s leadership campaign. This included the journalist Paul Holden, whose book, *The Fraud*, has exposed many of McSweeney’s backroom dealings.

McSweeney’s relationship with pro-Israel lobbyists, financiers and politicians, and the penetration of Israeli influence into our government and civil service should raise alarm bells.

Millions flow from Israeli lobbies to British MPs. A *Declassified UK* report from June 2024 shows that in the last parliament, about 180 of the 650 members of the Commons had received funding from pro-Israel lobby groups or individuals throughout their political careers. The breakdown was: 130 Conservative MPs, 41 Labour, and 3 Liberal Democrats.

Acquittal for the Filton Six

Jury trials are the people's vital safety net against tyranny

by IAIN DAVIS

THE ruling in the case of the Filton Six demonstrates that a lawfully convened jury of the people is sovereign.

The jury serves justice – the rule of law. government legislation and directions issued by judges are nothing more than advisory.

Parliament's claim that it is sovereign, that it can 'create or end any law', and that this is 'a principle of the UK constitution' is self-evidently false. Parliament can create administrative law – legislation – but it is the people, through trial by jury, who decide upon enforcement of the law of the land. A jury of the people can annul any and all legislation.

Equally, parliament's assertion that, generally, the courts cannot overrule its legislation is only true insofar as courts generally don't. Nonetheless, as we have just seen in the case of Palestinian activists known as the Filton Six – who were found not guilty of aggravated burglary – juries can and do overrule judges who insist on the strict enforcement of legislation.

It is, in fact, a principle of the UK constitution that the people are the sole arbiters of the law in jury-led trials. This evident fact explains why the UK government is proceeding to remove our constitutional right to trial by jury as far and as fast as it possibly can. It is desperate to deny our constitutional rights and liberties.

A jury can spurn directions issued by judges during trials. The jury has the constitutional right to judge, not just the evidence presented in the case before them, but the application of the law. The jury is free to apply or not apply the law as it sees fit, based upon the evidence that it alone judges.

Juries are free to act on their conscience in the pursuit of justice.

No one has the lawful right to break into private property and smash up or damage the products or equipment stored on those premises, even if they are weapons. That is, of course, unless they have lawful excuse and their actions are judged to be proportionate.

The jury's duty is to consider nothing but the pertinent evidence. The political context should be immaterial to the jury. Their only objective is to ensure that justice is served based solely on the evidence.



Justice prevails over trumped-up charge

THE Filton Six were among 24 defendants charged in connection to a break-in at the Elbit Systems site at Filton, Bristol, in August 2024.

The trial of the six – Charlotte Head, Samuel Corner, Ellie Kamio, Fatema Zainab Rajwani, Zoe Rogers and Jordan Devlin – ended on February 4.

All were acquitted of aggravated burglary after the jury rejected the prosecution's case.

A spokesperson from the Filton 24 Defence Committee claimed the aggravated burglary charge

was always used as a tool to repress the defendants.

They said: "It was used to justify imprisoning them for up to two years before trial, which far exceeded the six-month custody time limit.

"The charge of aggravated burglary accused the defendants of entering an Israeli weapons factory with the intention of using the tools they carried as weapons against people. This was always categorically untrue, proven by the not guilty verdict."

The vaunted separation of powers, purportedly creating the judiciaries' independence from government, and supposedly diluting political authority, is a 'loose arrangement' in the UK. Consequently, the separation routinely amounts to nothing. Whenever the governments wants a favourable finding or ruling, it simply appoints an establishment-friendly judge to deliver whatever outcome it wants.

The trial of the Filton Six has backfired on the government. Nevertheless, unless we

are extremely vigilant, this could simply be a temporary setback.

It is a fact that lawfully convened juries have the constitutional right, and are at liberty, to annul government legislation. In legal parlance, this is called jury equity.

As defence barrister Rajiv Menon KC said in his closing statement at the trial of the Filton Six: "No judge in any criminal case is allowed to direct a jury to convict any defendant of any criminal charge, whatever the evidence might be. Nobody, not even His Lordship, can dictate to

you what factual conclusions to reach in this case, nor direct you to convict the defendants of any of the charges they face.

"Indeed, it was as long ago as 1670 that the independence of the jury was definitively established beyond question. It will undoubtedly take great courage and independence, I accept, to do so. But the facts ultimately are solely for you. Please don't forget that."

And a sufficient number of the jury did not forget.

The sovereign power of the people to annul legislation and to base their ruling on their conscience, in light of the evidence they hear, is our constitutional right. We assert our rights through trial by jury. The people, not the government and not the judiciary, are unquestionably sovereign under the rule of law.

Only a jury of the people has the constitutional authority to adjudicate and apply the law of the land. All branches of government - the executive, the legislature, and the judiciary - are utterly controlled by and subservient to the people's jury administering the law of the land.

This is an extremely inconvenient truth for a UK government that wants to establish a dictatorship. In his closing remarks, another defence barrister, Tom Wainwright, rhetorically asked the jury to consider this crucial point: "Why is it that those in power want to take away the right to a trial by jury? The jury system gives power to the people."

To give you some indication of just how terrified the government is of the possibility that we might collectively come to realise the constitutional truth, and worse still, assert our lawful rights, consider the treatment of Trudi Warner.

In 2023, she stood outside a UK crown court – where her fellow climate activists were being tried – with a placard reading: 'Jurors: You have an absolute right to acquit a defendant according to your conscience.'

Just as in the case of the Filton Six, the jury ignored the judge's directions to convict and acquitted the activists. The jury's ruling, and Warner's peaceable and lawful actions, scared the government witless.

Attempts were made by government lawyers to charge Warner with contempt of court, but their case was thrown out by a high court judge.

● <https://iaindavis.com>

Trial by jury gives justice to all

Parliament must accept it is not the highest counsel in the land

by **CALVIN PERRINS**

A LEGITIMATE constitution consists of a set of standards or principles founded in truth, morality and justice, which universally benefit everyone. A legitimate constitution prescribes equity – all being equal under the law.

Sovereignty is embodied in and exercised through implementation of trial by jury in accord with the common law of the land.

Common law is expressed as the decisions of jurors dictated by their conscience in the trial by jury; that is, the judgements (judicium parium – verdicts and sentences) produced by juror's sense of right and wrong and fairness in the treatment of fellow citizens in all acts of law enforcement.

Democracy is defined, in *Democracy Defined: The Manifesto* by Kenn d'Oudney, as the free will choice of right action over wrong action, once the definitive knowledge of the objective difference between right and wrong, according to natural law, has been acquired.

A community, through a jury deliberating in conscience on all matters of law enforcement, is how natural law is expressed. The sovereign 'voice of the country' or 'trial by the country' – as distinguished from a trial by the government or a state-paid employee – is how the people, through a jury, preserve their liberties and forever limit the authority of all public servants.

The modus operandi of tyranny is to limit the potential for this possibility by removing or restricting free will. The state achieves control of behaviour by limiting free will decisions through the enforcement of unjust legislation by the misuse of the justice system.

This is where constitutional literacy is critical because, despite the naysayers and the many attempts to reinvent the wheel within the freedom movement, a nation actually governs itself through its justice system because with the power to punish comes with it all power – and that power is ordained by the constitution.

The Leveson and Lammy proposals are an unlawful, unconstitutional, criminal attempt to restrict the people's free will of deciding on the justice of all laws brought before them in a 'court of conscience'.

Trial by government removes the possibility of equity and conscientious deliberation by creating a collectivist conveyor belt of arbitrary punishment according to their morally relativist standards in the form of legislation.



The system has used party politics to ride roughshod over the supreme power of the people

We've already established that the constitution limits the legislature; so, why do public servants in parliament think that they can trample on principles of natural justice? Because of an illegitimate claim of parliamentary sovereignty. The public servants believe that they have the authority to legislate for the alteration of constitutional principles of natural justice.

The trick played on the public was to convince them that voting for a political party with a package of measures is how the public express their voice. First, the people have always had a voice through trial by jury as the final arbiter of law; and second, politicians assume supremacy through majority opinion/vote. The public's vote is disingenuously interpreted by politicians as the public's recognition that parliament is a supreme power.

I guess it amounts to this question: if it is widely accepted that trial by jury is a birthright – an ancient, customary safeguard against state overreach – why is it not acknowledged that preventing justice

is actually unconstitutional and criminal?

When public servants swear allegiance to the king, they are swearing to uphold the constitution. The King's Oath is the cornerstone of the constitution and trial by jury is its central pillar.

The Runnymede event of 1215 was the result of liberty-loving English people supporting the peers of the realm with the support of the Church (Archbishop Stephen Langton,) to restore the rule of law. King John, the most senior public servant was already under oath, so he was being held to account. For the avoidance of doubt, principles of natural justice were prescribed in Magna Carta.

It is the constitutional right and duty of the citizenry to place evidence of crime before a jury of peers. The mass realisation of how the constitution prescribes equal justice for all is what criminals within government fear the most, because the constitution levels the playing field.

Cost-free private prosecution is the deterrent against criminal legislation and

public servants acting criminally. If the people cannot protect themselves from the public servants and their legislation, then the people are under constant duress with no safeguard.

Equal justice for all is only possible with full restoration of authentic trial by jury in all of our courts. So to those in the legal profession: let's stop pretending that government is incapable of committing crime, and please stop ignoring the people's common law constitution.

If legislation is the highest law of the land, which of course it isn't, then the people's liberties are whatever the government say they are, and that's called despotism. The people are the final arbiter of law in our ancient pan-European constitution of trial by jury – that is how arbitrary governance is prevented and natural justice prevails.

Trial by jury is what the people afford themselves to protect from all forms of oppression, especially government. If government unlawfully legislates to restrict or remove the constitutional framework that protects the people from oppression, then the government is oppressing the people that they are constitutionally bound to serve. Government can only remain legitimate if it sits within the limitations of the constitution.

When government steps into the realms of illegitimacy and criminality, it is the duty of the citizenry and all public servants to restore the rule of law by holding firm on constitutional principles of natural justice. This will involve the legal profession admitting that courts have been acting unconstitutionally for a very long time, and that the system has used party politics to ride roughshod over the supreme power of the people. Not addressing the lie only allows an existing lie to linger and cause further damage.

To prevent society descending into the abyss will require enough of the citizenry and those within the establishment to restore authentic trial by jury. That means no more magistrates or civil courts without a jury. We either stand on moral principle and have equal justice for all or we accept despotism and tyranny.

A society without equal justice is just a prison with state-sanctioned permissions. The justice system doesn't need to collapse; it just needs to be restored to its authentic form.

- www.commonlawconstitution.org
- **Have your say on proposals to severely reduce trial by jury:**
<https://tinyurl.com/4a6njw9>

Nowhere to hide from 5G

The silent killer being ignored by government

by **JOHN BLUNDELL**

MORE than ten years ago, a friend started to slur his words. He could not finish sentences due to stuttering, he was forgetting words and could not finish what he was saying.

All of which suggested either neurological damage, endocrine disruption, hormone imbalance, or poisoning.

With those debilitating symptoms inclusive of brain fog, he went to the hospital but they could not find anything wrong.

It was left to him to research and identify the cause – mostly achieved through trial and error – to see what improved matters and what made things worse.

Over the years, he found that, on removing all electromagnetic field (EMF) radiation equipment such as computers, routers, electric blankets, hair dryers, and most other domestic electrical equipment, the symptoms started to disappear.

From that trial and error then followed the research to find thousands of reviewed EMF radiation assessments going back decades.

He has since been driven to spread the word. Then he followed my own research.

For me, it was the very first research paper I looked at by a scientist called

Igor Yakymenko. He did not even use 3G, 4G, or 5G at 2.5GHz, or Europe's 24Ghz transmissions, but older Global System for Mobile (GSM) communication at a lower 1.8Ghz.

There was a visual demonstration of what basic GSM 1.8GHz could do to a quail egg embryo in terms of DNA breakdown. If it can do that to an embryo, then what damage can it do inside a human brain?

That was convincing evidence that EMF radiation is not safe, and subsequently I refused to get a microwave, a hair dryer, router, smart meter or mobile phone.

I then researched over 600 peer-reviewed papers that evidence EMF health damage.

Then came 5G at 2.5GHz. It is even worse because it is pulsed, and the technology also allows it to be focused from more than one array on adjacent masts and aerials. It is the pulse that increases EMF danger, with a focused array from multiple systems, to cause negative health impacts.

The only problem is that it cannot be seen, so most people do not realise they are being irradiated.

This 'invisible rainbow' is a story going back over 200 years from the very first installation, or telegraph lines in the 1800s to U.S. electrification in 1889.

However, it really ratcheted up during the First and Second World Wars with the use of radio transmitters and the development of radar surveillance.

There was nowhere to hide from the infestation of EMF, which especially impacts those with electro-hyper-sensitivity, but is also the silent killer for all, as it means constant 24/7 exposure.

A significant comprehensive meta review published by the BioInitiative, and also Martin Pall's excellent videos and meta reviews, list the symptoms from EMF health damage.

These include: alteration of heart rhythm, altered gene expression, altered metabolism, altered stem cell development, cancer, cardiovascular disease, cognitive impairment, DNA damage, learning and memory deficits, impaired sperm function and quality, miscarriage, neurological damage, obesity and diabetes, oxidative stress, autism, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and asthma.

Consider also over 40,000 satellites all beaming 5G transmissions in focused array down to your mobile phone. Governments have adopted excessive maximum limits for this radiation set by the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation

Protection (ICNIRP).

The ICNIRP is a cabal of radiation scientists, where membership is only by invitation and they set a maximum limit of 20 watts per square metre. At that limit, there is nothing in industry that exceeds that level anyway. Governments have prioritised maximum limits to facilitate the Big Tech corporations instead of for human health.

There is also ongoing research into how EMF penetrates the skin, with the latest hypothesis that our sweat glands have spiral helical antenna that resonate and absorb EMF transmissions.

EMF as 5G permeates the entire body. This causes real problems outside people's homes where planning permissions have allowed companies to install a 5G mast at this maximum limit.

And 5G will be superseded by 6G from 2030. It will increase transmission from 10 gigabits to 1,000 gigabits.

Proposed 6G power requirements may even put it way beyond even the very generous ICNIRP maximum guidelines.

It will be no surprise if the ICNIRP increases its guidelines to the benefit of Big Tech. And EMF health damage will then further increase.

Why not start distributing the uncensored truth?



Please pre-order next month's issue of

The Uncensored Truth
TheLIGHT

for you or your group
to give out/place/deliver
in your local area:

25 copies for £10

100 copies for £20

200 copies for £25

500 copies for £50

www.thelightpaper.co.uk/bulk-order

Social decline denial splits nation

by BRIAN BOLGER

IN an article in *The Guardian*, writer John Merrick defined the angst of the British working class as ‘imagined’.

The article discusses the resurgence of ‘declinism’ narratives in UK public discourse and how they are deployed politically.

The headline reads: ‘The right wants us to think Britain is on the verge of ethnic conflict. The truth is worse than that.’

Merrick claims declinism, the belief that society is tending towards decline, is false.

He says the right is blaming migrant outsiders. He adds that the statistics quoted by right-wing extremists were from obscure, anonymous right-wing blogs.

Is the National Audit Office (NAO) an obscure right-wing blog?

The cost of illegal immigration, according to the NAO, was £8m per day in 2024.

That is £3bn annually. Money that could help people in real need. According to Merrick, however, it is all ‘wild eyed projection’.

Yet from unskilled workforces to illegal immigration, from widespread abuse of the benefits system and the Serco immigration gravy train, the figures are horrendous.

According to the government’s own statistics on Universal Credit claimants, white British are underrepresented in the stats while the Indian/Pakistani population are overrepresented. The black population using the welfare system is said to be grossly overrepresented.

Yet benefits claimants, representing the lack of training and investment, are not the main problem. Britain has become a two-tier society; an ever-expanding civil service class is bleeding Britain of funds that could have been invested in innovation and growth.

The Manchester United owner, Sir Jim Ratcliffe, recently rattled the wokerati with his comments about Britain being colonised by immigrants. But his comments will have heartened those members of the ‘far right’ – that group of pensioners, workers and small businesses who spend their evenings worshipping pictures of Hitler.

The liberal train has hit the buffers; it has no arguments anymore – either political, economic or moral for anything that they do. Sir Jim comes from a working class council house background. He now employs 26,000 people. He is the kind of person Britain should be championing.



‘The Ford Estate, Birkenhead’ by Mike Abrahams, 1981

One of my favourite photographs from the Social Documentary collection is Mike Abrahams’ 1981 photo collection entitled ‘The Ford Estate, Birkenhead’. It is famous for its visceral, real quality. A group of teenagers sit idly outside council houses on a summers day, 1981. I was born nearby and grew up in that culture, sharing the iconic music scene of the early 1980s. I was part of the ‘imaginary’ decline. A recent member of the group, who went on to found a clinic for substance abuse in London, went back last year and tried to re-enact the iconic photo.

From the original 14 members, only five remained, most of them battling some form of substance abuse or other. One of the lads had committed suicide in prison. Perhaps they had imagined their decline.

In 1970, manufacturing made up over 30 per cent of UK GDP and by 2023, it had fallen to under ten per cent. According to the Office for National Statistics, more than seven million manufacturing jobs have disappeared since the late 1970s. Chasing the dragon took over. If I was a conspiracy theorist, I would say it was an engineered social decimation. The same estate is much worse now, the drug of choice is crack cocaine.

Britain’s political class, the echelons of Labour and the liberal elites, have dismissed ‘declinism’ as nostalgic hand-wringing or populist alarmism. But for millions of working-class

Britons, declinism is not a theory. It is a lived experience.

Across swathes of post-industrial Britain, from South Wales to the North East, the collapse of manufacturing, the erosion of cultural identity, and the social dislocation brought about by mass immigration have left communities adrift. These are not abstract trends. They are the foundations of what many feel is a quiet national decline. Margaret Thatcher’s reforms – while modernising in parts – tore the heart out of industrial Britain without offering a viable replacement.

The economist David Goodhart, author of *The Road to Somewhere*, observed: ‘For many people in post-industrial towns, the sense of loss isn’t just economic – it’s cultural. They lost their jobs, their community identity, and their place in the national story.’

The Guardian has airbrushed the working class from the national archives. They see the new multicultural society as a success story.

The consequences are visible today. Life expectancy in some northern towns is falling. Town centres are hollowed out. Young people leave, and those who remain feel forgotten.

Cultural perceptions of change are not imagined in the dystopian boarded-up streets of northern England. Alongside economic transformation came rapid demographic and cultural change.

Britain has always been a country of immigration, but since 1997, the pace and scale has been unprecedented. In 1997, net migration was around 50,000 per year. In 2022, it reached a record 745,000, according to the Office for National Statistics. Foreign-born residents now make up nearly 15 per cent of the UK population.

The political class encouraged this under the banner of openness and diversity, but integration has often been poor. Former Labour MP for Birkenhead, Frank Field, wrote: ‘Multiculturalism, while well-intentioned, became a way of ignoring problems rather than solving them.’

Social cohesion has weakened. Public services, already under strain, have struggled to keep up.

Roger Scruton, the late conservative philosopher, warned: ‘A society needs a shared identity. When multiculturalism suppresses assimilation, it leads not to diversity, but to fragmentation.’

As Matthew Goodwin argues in *Values, Voice and Virtue*, the cultural revolution of the past two decades has ‘pushed many ordinary people to the margins of their own country.’

● **theguardian.com: The right wants us to think Britain is on the verge of ethnic conflict. The truth is worse than that:**

<https://tinyurl.com/nu3ydsak>

Banking on a decentralised future

Taking back power from the state will enrich us all

by JORDAN DONOGHUE-MORGAN

TO believe that money is the root of all evil is to believe the cargo ship used in the trafficking of humans is the perpetrator of the crime.

Who, then, is guilty? The owner, the captain, those loading the ship or an amalgamation? Question them, and each will blame the other.

This structured dynamic is mirrored in public institutions, where centralised power dictates outcomes, often at the expense of the communities they are meant to serve.

In 1787, Thomas Fox, a wool merchant, established Fox, Fowler & Company (FFC), an independent, private bank legally entitled to issue its own banknotes. Its purpose was to support Fox's wool business and provide local banking services across the south-west.

In its 130 years of trading, FFC had huge success, becoming one of the most successful regional banks in the region, opening multiple branches across Somerset and Devon throughout the 19th century.

Surviving the Napoleonic Wars, multiple banking panics, and the very Act designed to eliminate institutions like it, FFC did not fail, it was not rescued and did not collapse under mismanagement. Its success was due to issuing banknotes conservatively in proportion to local trade; maintaining strong reserves; local knowledge; and relying on long-standing relationships rather than speculative expansion.

The Bank Charter Act of 1844 began consolidating the financial sector, effectively asserting that money is a function of the state, not the community. As part of the merger clause, banks would have to cease issuance of private banknotes in favour of those nationally recognised and issued.

Existing banks stopped gradually as they merged or were bought out.

The passage of this Act was presented as the only rational alternative to the assumption that private banks were inherently unstable, prone to over-issuance of money, fuelling speculation, and collapsing under stress. While there were legitimate concerns regarding stability, and despite being framed as neutral, the Act advantaged large, capital-rich banks, penalised regional diversity and made consolidation inevitable.

This piece of legislation entrenched the Bank of England's monopoly, accelerated



CountyPay is laying the foundations for decentralised finance

the centralisation of note issuance, and marked the end of localised monetary authority.

In 1921, FFC was the last private bank to cease legal issuance of banknotes following its absorption into Lloyds Bank. In this process, however, it exposed an inconvenient truth: the move to centralise money was not driven solely by failure, but also required the disappearance of institutions that were working well. Conformity replaced prudence as the metric of success.

Over years, the centralisation of finance and institutions, proclaimed to better serve the public, has resulted in greater disparity between meaningful accountability and insulation from consequence, with the balance tipping decisively.

In favourable conditions, power is exercised privately for private benefit, leaving little for those required to sustain the system.

When conditions deteriorate as the result of poor decision-making, the burden shifts in the other direction. Decisions are enforced downwards and socialised, becoming a collective sacrifice – increased costs of living, lack of access to affordable housing, rise in unemployment, reduced disposable incomes.

All of this happens while those who made the poor decisions escape any form of accountability or consequence. Time passes, the cycle perpetuates.

While many believe changing the faces of government is a solution to the issue, successive generations have encountered

the same cycle: collective suffering – not just financially, but in reduced freedoms justified as being for ‘the greater good’.

These measures are often envisioned by individuals whose actions do not align with the outcomes they claim to serve.

On this basis, meaningful change cannot be possible when all policy must first appease a financial system burdened by inherited debt.

Blame is directed to previous Cabinets, enabling the underlying problem to persist: private entities abusing the very systems the public are compelled to use. We observe the same thing at a local level: councils funded by national government become compelled to enact centralised directives as experienced in 2020 and subsequent years.

Despite those directives bankrupting local businesses, decimating the mental health of children and threatening personal autonomy, councils turn back to central government for additional funding to cover the resulting deficits – a clear example of institutional hypocrisy.

Citizens who raise these concerns with elected representatives are then ignored or censored, further entrenching the cycle of debt and, with it, subservience to an entity not representative of local constituents.

Meanwhile, corporate entities acquire once-independent, flourishing businesses, turning them into hubs of community extraction for private profit.

Through ignorance or lack of awareness of where to go next, we have continued to perpetuate the same system of extraction, while the problems of today

don't require further funding, but require us to think differently, to act differently; to build differently.

If we can resolve the foundational failures of finance, governance begins to heal as a structural by-product.

With all options of accountability and true reform exhausted, an antidote is a declaration of civil renewal and decentralised parallel public infrastructure.

This would include a county-level treasury and local banks set up in every town and city. We could give choice to those who wish to exercise their right to autonomy, including true representation and local financial systems designed to return control to the community.

Incessant, fragmented taxation could be made redundant in favour of a participatory transaction, Commons Dividends, where every transaction returns a percentage into a trust based in the locality in which it is spent at the time it is spent.

Constituents, becoming trustees, then have autonomy over how assets are used in their own community.

Through the use of technology and well-designed, transparent systems, constituents would be able to respond quickly and strategically instead of waiting on distant entities to choose for them. In the event of national financial volatility, each county would be able to steady its own ship and isolate the problem before it impacts on a larger scale.

Welcome to CountyPay: a concept laying the foundations of decentralised finance where regenerative assets such as the planting of native trees become the backing assets of a currency created exclusively for, and of, the community.

This marks a transition toward autonomy, and away from extractive and speculative instruments. Building from life, for life,

CountyPay is presented strictly as a conceptual framework in *Synarchia: Thought Leadership for a Decentralised Future* and it requires public consultation. It does not claim official status, state endorsement, or regulatory authorisation, nor does it represent an operational system.

Despite the promise of stability by merging with a national bank, by further centralisation, we face inadequate banking facilities.

● To discover more, visit:
<https://synarchia.co.uk/>

Health watchdog needs watching

Medical regulator exists to protect Big Pharma ‘narratives’

by **DR. RACHEL NICOLL**

A DOCTOR who has put the wellbeing of her patients above protocols from above has defeated the General Medical Council (GMC) in a court action.

Dr. Sarah Myhill is a truly outstanding doctor who has devoted the last 40 years to her calling.

As background, the GMC is entitled to investigate doctors ‘where there are concerns that patient safety, or the public’s confidence in doctors, may be at risk, and take action if needed.’

It’s worth stating that the GMC has never received a single patient complaint against Dr. Sarah Myhill in the whole of her 40 years of practice. So what was the problem?

She was suspended from practising medicine in early 2023 for advocating vitamin C, vitamin D, iodine and ivermectin to treat covid-19. There is plenty of academic evidence to support the use of these remedies and Sarah supplied the court with 35 pages of this evidence, fully referenced.

But the narrative for treating covid-19 was all about the vaccines and the fact that there were no other effective treatments available. The Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency’s (MHRA) temporary authorisation of the covid-19 vaccines was predicated upon there being no treatment for covid.

So you can see why certain organisations might not want to know that Sarah’s prescriptions for vitamins C and D, iodine and ivermectin were ‘safe and effective’, to borrow a phrase.

It would jeopardise the validity of the MHRA temporary authorisation by making the vaccine rollout and any attempt at compulsion unethical and possibly unlawful.

Furthermore, vaccine manufacturers have been indemnified by our government against any vaccine injuries. This means that to avoid the government incurring millions in compensation claims, it’s much easier to prosecute and strike off a few doctors who are genuinely putting their patients’ wellbeing first and thereby rocking the boat.

Of course, Dr. Myhill is not the only person to have attempted to bring these and other remedies to the attention of the government of the day. Many of us were quite vocal about this during the pandemic, myself included. We were all ignored.

Interestingly, during the 2005 Shipman Enquiry, the Rt Hon Lady Justice Smith looked into the GMC prosecution of doctors



Dr. Sarah Myhill

Established in 1858, the GMC is the oldest regulatory body in the world. If it were my patient and I its doctor, I would diagnose senile dementia. This is the only example of voluntary euthanasia I can justify

and determined that the process was ‘not fit for purpose’ and made a number of recommendations for change, echoed in the British Journal of General Practice. When asked some years later to review the changes made, she reportedly commented that “the leopard has not changed its spots”.

And it’s worth pointing out that the GMC is funded not just by doctors’ subscriptions but also by the Department of Health (i.e. taxpayers), Big Pharma and Big Food. No incentive there to put patients’ wellbeing first.

Back to Sarah’s fitness to practise hearing in 2023: apparently, the GMC was not interested in discovering treatments that worked. It declined to look at Sarah’s evidence and refused her permission to cross-examine ‘expert witnesses’ brought by the GMC.

Back to Sarah’s current case: this involved a fit and well 84-year-old who died within three weeks of developing septicaemia,

which was not detected until too late. In this, she was failed by NHS GPs and consultants.

Dr. Myhill supplied an evidence-based, private and confidential letter to the bereaved family, which was used without Sarah’s knowledge or consent by the coroner for his cross-examination of the offending doctors.

Despite this, the coroner went on to report Sarah to the GMC for providing an ‘expert witness’ report while she was struck off. This looks pretty bad until you understand that the patient was not one of Sarah’s and that she was not asked to prepare a medical report or an expert witness statement, as alleged by the coroner. It was purely a private and confidential letter to the already bereaved family.

Nevertheless, of the multiple points against her, two were admitted and found proved. These were two points which were so obvious they surely did not even need to be mentioned:

- That Sarah was suspended from the medical register by a Medical Practitioner’s Tribunal in 2023
- That Sarah knew she was suspended from the medical register.

But all the allegations relating to the content of the ‘medical report/expert witness statement’ were irrelevant because she had not prepared anything as such for this already deceased individual.

The judge determined that the allegations were not proved: the equivalent of a ‘not guilty’ verdict and acquittal.

What does the verdict mean for Sarah?

She said: “I believe the reason I have been endlessly prosecuted is to prevent me from being an effective patient advocate by destroying my professional reputation.

“Since the early 1980s, medicine has been increasingly controlled by Big Pharma, which bankrolls doctors’ education and dictates the medical narrative for treatment protocols.

“This is nothing to do with patient welfare but everything about benefit to big business and big government. Quangos who should be protecting patients, such as the General Medical Council, the MHRA and NHS England, have become increasingly funded and controlled by Big Pharma, which means that medical treatments are no longer at the discretion of the individual doctor upholding the Hippocratic Oath.

“No longer can doctors act in the best interests of their patient with proper informed consent. Doctors are obliged to run with the narrative and failure to do this means referral to the GMC for prosecution and erasure from the medical register. Doctors are effectively blackmailed into reluctant obedience.

“My victory is the start of a pushback to re-establish confidence in the medical profession. This will be spearheaded by the UK Medical Freedom Alliance, an independent organisation comprised of doctors for doctors, to restore medical ethics, proper informed consent and patient best interests.

“Established in 1858, the GMC is the oldest regulatory body in the world. If it were my patient and I its doctor, I would diagnose senile dementia. This is the only example of voluntary euthanasia I can justify.”

I thoroughly recommend Sarah’s website (<https://www.drmyhill.co.uk/>) where she sets out her views on a wide range of ailments and treatments.

- *Dr. Rachel Nicoll is a medical researcher, lecturer and writer.*

War on real food hard to digest

by **SALLY DEAN AND ROB ELLIOTT**

Food Finders Hub essential resource for healthy living

AT the recent World Economic Forum meeting in Davos, there was a call to push forward with the fake meat agenda.

Plans were discussed to continue nudging the masses into accepting fake meat as a viable protein alternative to naturally reared animals.

Arguing that it was economically viable, cruelty free and planet friendly, there was no mention of the downside – that ultimately untested foods produced from the stem cells of animals raises questions about the oncogenic (relating to tumour formation) risks.

Further attempts to humiliate and disgust us include a push to make the consumption of insects acceptable. What we are not told is that foods produced from ‘approved’ insects (16 in Singapore) can result in the spread of parasites, digestive upset and allergies to chitin found in their exoskeletons.

As the last six decades have proved, what has been pronounced as good for us has moved in the direction of something that is hardly recognisable as food. It has increased the profits of the food industry, its control

over the food supply and those of the pharmaceutical industry which benefits from the consequences of a malnourished population.

Ultra-processed foods now account for more than 50 per cent of all calories consumed in the UK, and are largely responsible for increased rates of obesity, heart disease, diabetes, cancer – the list goes on.

As our food moves further away from what is natural, real, wholesome and nutritious, human health continues to decline. So, amid the confusion of what is good food and what is bad, it is time to clear our minds of all this clutter and look at the situation clearly.

First, we must understand that the system which has flooded the market with fake food is working exactly as planned by those who stand to benefit financially.

These products are known as ‘convenience foods’, but the greatest convenience is for the industry that provides them, because they are durable (with the help of preservatives) and remain on the shelf for longer. They are cheap to produce and provide added value (think cream from skimmed or semi-skimmed milk) – perfect for maximising profits.

Second, it must be clearly understood that real, fresh, unprocessed natural foods do not deliver the same level of profitability and are therefore useless to the system. Clearly there are really only two types of food available to us – real food and industrial food. Should we support the profiteering corporations, or our health and wellbeing



Mark The Bowler Hat Farmer

and that of our children?

But it’s not just about health. It’s about reconnecting with our food, by understanding where it comes from and how it comes to us.

It’s about appreciating the seasonality of food, enjoying the small window of its availability and learning the art of preserving for the leaner months. It’s about restoring the soil to its organic origins and regaining fertility, repairing damaged ecosystems and increasing biodiversity, and renewing our sense of community.

For those who want to seek out real food in their area, but are finding it a struggle to source, Mark Byford, the Bowler Hat Farmer and his team are making this task much easier. Mark’s mission is to put us all in touch with those in the real food business. His Food Finders Hub initiative, is a free online platform that connects communities with local farm shops, growers and producers.

Its goal is to make it easy for everyone to

discover fresh, sustainable produce and support the farmers and food makers who are the backbone of our local economies. This truly is an invaluable resource for those starting out on this exciting journey of discovery.

The Food Finders Hub does what it says on the tin, but we can also help Mark in his endeavours to grow this database by becoming interactive. Not only can we buy from our local suppliers, but we can become ambassadors by identifying and talking to farmers, growers, producers and independent businesses in any area about this initiative, and encourage them to join the free platform to help spread this crucial message.

Food is fundamental. Every one of us has to eat to stay alive. We can be alive and healthy by choosing real food. It can nourish us on a daily basis; it can be the hub from which the spokes of societal structure radiate to join the rim of the true wheel of life.

To embrace real food and to abandon the false god of its industrial equivalent is to put that wheel into motion and begin the societal renaissance we know is possible.

- <https://foodfindershub.org/>
- [childrenshealthdefense.org: ‘Sign of Things to Come’: Singapore Approves 16 Insects for Human Food: https://tinyurl.com/j48hj53z](https://tinyurl.com/j48hj53z)
- [expose-news.com: UK’s Shocking Dependence on Ultra-Processed Food Exposes a Dangerous System: https://tinyurl.com/59vk2vay](https://tinyurl.com/59vk2vay)

A sinister side to organ donation?

by **JACQUI DEEVOY**

Exposing NHS drive to increase harvesting without consent

THE upcoming documentary, *The Gift of Life? Unravelling the Ethics of Organ Donation*, asks uncomfortable questions that challenge established views on modern medicine.

Organ donation is invariably celebrated as the ultimate altruistic act, the ‘gift of life’ that turns tragedy into hope.

But beneath the inspiring stories and success statistics lie profound ethical

concerns that demand honest scrutiny.

The documentary explores the meaning of ‘brain death’ and asks if the term is applied too liberally by the NHS as a gateway to exponentially increasing levels of organ harvesting.

When was the term brain death first introduced and why? Are people who are declared brain dead actually dead? We know there have been cases where brain dead patients have suddenly shown unexpected signs of life – or even recovered fully. How can that be?

In the UK’s opt-out (presumed consent) system, swapped from an opt-in system in 2020, are individuals and families fully informed about their rights, the realities of procedures like Donation After Circulatory Death (DCD) and the pressures to increase donor numbers?

This unsettling documentary confronts these issues with empathy, balance and

journalistic rigour. It weaves together powerful personal stories from those directly affected and gives insights from medical experts to illuminate the human side of a complex and often opaque system.

This film isn’t here to fearmonger – far from it. It’s being made to shine a necessary light on hidden dilemmas and to empower people to make fully informed and conscious choices.

Right now, the project is in production, driven by two people committed to thoughtful, unbiased storytelling. Without major broadcaster backing, sponsorship or institutional funding, every pound raised goes directly towards essential costs: filming on location, pre- and post-production, editing, promotion, marketing and ensuring the film reaches wide audiences through online platforms and public screenings.

The Crowdfunder campaign has a modest

goal of £10,000 to bring this vital work to life. Every contribution counts, helping spark a national (and international) conversation about life, death, consent and dignity in an era when medical trust is at an all-time low.

If you’ve wondered about the full truth behind organ donation, or if you believe transparency and ethical scrutiny make any system stronger, this is your chance to help make it happen.

- [Support The Gift of Life? and be part of a film that could really make a difference at: https://tinyurl.com/4wtr28vv](https://tinyurl.com/4wtr28vv)
- [Watch the trailer here and see for yourself why this story needs to be told: https://tinyurl.com/43ver3t2](https://tinyurl.com/43ver3t2)
- [Your donation isn’t just funding a film - it’s investing in truth, trust and the future of how we care for one another.](#)

Rorschach Test for modern times

Art perceived in opposite ways at exhibition

by **ROSIE CROCKER**

WE live in an increasingly divided world where, exacerbated by social media, many people only see opinions matching their own.

I have found that even the questioning of certain ideas, individuals or institutions can trigger such an enraged response from otherwise seemingly reasonable people that, like many others, I am decreasingly inclined to speak my mind regardless of the consequences.

Since 2016, I have also noticed an increasing trend of people only wanting relationships with those who share their beliefs, something I don't remember much of when I was at school and university in the 1990s.

It was in this context that we were preparing for portrait painter Jake Fern's

most saw the world fairly similarly to those of us working on the exhibition, many did not, and yet, they did not see any challenge to their world view, but a reinforcement of it.

More than once, I was staggered that someone had interpreted something in the exact opposite way that Jake had intended. They weren't upset by the art, but came to tell us how they agreed with it and how it substantiated their world view. This was so unexpected and surreal for those of us working at the exhibition that none of us questioned these people or pointed out to them that there was another way to view it.

To some extent, this is, of course, the purpose of art – an artist creates the work, but does not choose how it impacts the viewer. Just as beauty is in the eye of the beholder, so too is meaning in the

An artist creates the work but does not choose how it impacts the viewer

exhibition last November, featuring 'The Righteous Brothers' works in which he incorporates his accomplished portraiture (he has sold work at the Royal Society of Portrait Artists) into irreverent pieces cocking a snook at modern life.

We were expecting objections to some of the works – perhaps even some aggression or verbal abuse – but the results were far more unexpected, reminding me of the Rorschach Test.

Hermann Rorschach, a Swiss psychiatrist, developed the Rorschach Inkblot Test as a way to explore how people perceive the world. There are ten standardised inkblot cards which are shown to someone while they are asked simple questions like, 'what might this be?'. There are no right or wrong answers; it's simply an approach to try and establish their perspective. Sitting in The Righteous Brothers exhibition, I felt as though I was witnessing exactly like that.

Many people came, viewed the art, read the information next to each piece, and then came to buy cards and prints and discuss their favourites with us. While

viewer's mind.


Knowing that people are buying these prints, hanging them in their homes and, most likely, having conversations with their friends about them, warms my heart.

We cannot expect to agree with one another about everything – like many people, I am aware I can hold conflicting views on a subject without being fully convinced of any particular perspective.

I like discussing these things with others, particularly people whose perspectives are different from my own, but who engage with me respectfully and openly.

Human thinking and social interaction are intrinsically intertwined, and society needs open discussions in order to evolve. I can't say for certain how The Righteous Brothers works are being interpreted by those who see them, however, I am positive that they are helping to facilitate conversations that society desperately needs.

● **You can see the work of The Righteous Brothers here:**
<https://www.therighteousbrothers.uk/>



A selection of works from The Righteous Brothers exhibition



Pop culture's dark underbelly

by SHANE FUDGE

Celebrities are still exposing themselves as sellouts for the corrupt system

THE recent Grammy awards ceremony has once again demonstrated the dark underbelly of the entertainment industry and how celebrities appear to be no more than mouthpieces for globalist corporate interests.

As political commentator Mark Dice has argued: "The reality is that pop culture is politics. It's woven into the fabric of movies, television shows, music – and now even professional sports."

As the latest event demonstrates, these kinds of award ceremonies are no more than platforms for celebrity-delivered messages that the regime would like people to align with. The event also reaffirmed the insider backslapping, nepotism, and nauseating hypocrisy of an industry which has clearly never been a neutral transmitter of entertainment.

However, perhaps this strategy is beginning to backfire on the industry in a big way. Just as the number of people who no longer trust politicians, corporations, or 'experts' grows by the day, a great many are now beginning to question not just the music industry, but the entertainment world as a whole. The influence of bread and circuses as distraction and the idolisation of celebrities is rapidly diminishing. With every political rally that takes place masquerading as entertainment, these industries become more and more exposed as the arch manipulators that they really are.

The entertainment industry has been used for eons to persuade, cajole and influence a largely unsuspecting public. In 1956, Elvis Presley preceded the celebrity virtue signalling-fest of 2020's covid shot rollout when he was vaccinated live on the Ed Sullivan show as a way of persuading young adults to get the polio jab.

Climate change and environmental issues are now arguably the biggest factors influencing the ways in which we live our lives. The Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation advocates for an end to man-made climate change and the biggest movie



The system has always manipulated the public using celebrities as 'role models'

star in the world is a regular attendee at Davos.

In 2008, Oprah Winfrey openly advocated for Barack Obama on her shows of the time, and Taylor Swift did similarly prior to the 2024 presidential elections, urging 'Swifties' to back Kamala Harris.

Ronald Reagan, Arnold Schwarzenegger, and Volodymyr Zelensky are three who took this relationship literally, crossing over from the world of entertainment to become central players in mainstream politics.

While the importance of music to our lives is self-evident, there is concern that it is the influence of the industry itself which predominates – under the veneer of 'entertainment'.

Aristotle himself argued that music holds the power to shape the human character and to influence our morality, warning: 'If one listens to the wrong kind of music, he will become the wrong kind of person.'

The Greek philosopher was not around to discuss the merits or otherwise of rap music, but industry leaders – and their handlers – have long known about the power of their product and how it can be used to influence the masses. While satanic symbolism was overtly used by heavy metal bands such as Black Sabbath, Motley Crue, Iron Maiden etc., such messaging has arguably become a more sinister element of more recent musical genres such as rap and hip hop. It has been argued that gangster rap – characterised by music which promotes violence, murder, drug abuse, misogyny, and destructive but glorified lifestyles – emerged in part due to CIA influence on the music industry.

Why? Mark Dice suggests that such 'cultural engineering' was actively incorporated into long-term globalist agendas originating in the deep state, to undermine and agitate the social order, principally by targeting the nuclear family, triggering hate, and encouraging division within communities.

This kind of direct messaging has also aligned with more covert symbolism – embraced particularly by the bigger acts – where references to freemasonry, secret societies, and the new world order provide a more subtle influence on audiences.

Kanye West, Sam Smith, Beyoncé, Katy Perry, Madonna, and Bono – who performed 1993 shows playing the demon 'MacPhisto' on the U2 Zooropa tour – are amongst those who have openly used occult imagery in their lyrics, videos and concerts.

The entertainment industry was heavily involved with the 2012 Olympics; an event which incorporated images of what appeared to be giant 'coronaviruses', nurses attending multiple beds filled with sick patients, and the appearance of a giant demon. The imagery, music and performances were disturbing enough but, in the wake of what was to take place eight years later, they are positively sinister.

Perhaps the most strange and unsettling part was the portrayal of sick children in hospital beds accompanied by the music of Tubular Bells by Mike Oldfield that was used in the horror film, *The Exorcist*. Fast forward to 2021, and Bono was supporting the worldwide vaccination programme through his One World charity.

The people who are behind this agenda are perhaps aware that they are indulging in a dangerous game which could come back to bite them with one wrong or mistimed move. As the Budweiser commercials featuring transsexual Dylan Mulvaney and the woke Mercedes Benz ads demonstrated back in 2021, people are perhaps a bit more switched on rather than being the 'useless eaters' they are regarded as by their would-be overlords.

The celebrities at the Grammy awards – making what they considered to be edgy and provocative comments on borderless immigration, fascist state control, and accusing opposition views

as far right – are clearly out of touch if they think that these views constitute genuine anti-establishment positions. They are now more likely to be interpreted as coming from insufferably wealthy, narcissistic and self-absorbed folk who are impossibly out of touch with the realities of today's world. After all, these values are the same ones being openly promoted by governments, corporations, and institutions which clearly don't affect the millionaire lifestyle, gated mansion community.

All these artists are really doing now is pulling the curtain further back on what the music and entertainment industries have always thought about audiences. They are revealed as sellouts who have compromised their integrity for wealth and status. And they have inadvertently exposed how insular and out of touch they are with their fans.

SOURCES

- **barnesandnoble.com:**
Hollywood propaganda-Mark Dice:
<https://tinyurl.com/mr44yty3>
- **express.co.uk:**
Grammys viewers 'switch off' as viewers rage at 'woke' 2026 ceremony:
<https://tinyurl.com/2nhfn4et>
- **neh.gov:**
Elvis Presley Gets His Polio Shot:
<https://tinyurl.com/mprdz7vf>
- **psychologytoday.com:**
The Role of Music in Our Lives:
<https://tinyurl.com/45hbk944>
- **abebooks.co.uk:**
Illuminati in the Music Industry:
<https://tinyurl.com/3ccxyrc4>
- **soundwavemusicmedia.net:**
1991 Secret Summit: How CIA Engineered Gangster Rap:
<https://tinyurl.com/ykxbxnr7>
- **watcot.org:**
The Occult Symbolism of the 2012 Olympics Opening and Closing Ceremonies:
<https://tinyurl.com/y3yafc8a>
- **one.org:**
During COVID-19, our activists came together and demanded a global pandemic response plan:
<https://tinyurl.com/yc2tnjyf>
- **revolver.news:**
Mercedes-Benz goes woke with ridiculously stupid brand-killing ad:
<https://tinyurl.com/mr4yhn69>

Jury still out on two-faced Trump?

Perhaps pantomime politician picking battles wisely

by **MARCUS BLACKETT**

IT is commonplace for President Donald Trump and his project to be trashed by commentators within the freedom and truth movement.

And he certainly doesn't make himself a difficult target to aim at. On the surface, many of those arguments are convincing – some of which I will cite below. However, I first wish to offer an alternative perspective from which to assess the Trump project and its place within the unfolding globalist psychodrama.

To understand Trump's protectionist 'America First' tariff and domestic economic policy, one really needs to appreciate the work of Alexander Hamilton (George Washington's treasury secretary) who implemented a National Bank to fund manufacturing and infrastructure.

Hamilton's bank was prohibited from printing its own money (unlike the oligarchical Federal Reserve) and couldn't monetise government debt, but instead was audited by the treasury. His system of sovereign public credit made loans to manufacturing, agriculture, transportation and other useful enterprises that built a nation.

The Federal Reserve operates today to serve the interest of the major Wall Street banks, working with other central banks from across the world to perpetuate the current Financial Empire of money, which has ruled for at least 250 years.

This has resulted in decades of economic policy (using the medium of inflation) that has hollowed out American industry and increasingly impoverished the working class. Trump's treasury secretary, Scott Bessent, is now once again pursuing the 'American system' in order to revive domestic manufacturing and reshape the global trading system – representing a decisive break with globalisation.

At the end of January at Davos, U.S. secretary of commerce, Howard Lutnick, said: "Globalisation is dead."

He was referring to an ending of the rule of multinational corporations, cheap labour and free trade, adding that "a new American revolution is emerging – one centred on production, economic growth, and national sovereignty."

Other U.S. presidents have periodically tried to overthrow the shackles of the Financial Empire that is centred on the City of London. They include Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley, Franklin D. Roosevelt and John F. Kennedy, all of whom were either assassinated or died in office.



Is Trump leading us to the same place but by a different route?

To understand Trump's foreign policy, one needs to appreciate the principle of U.S. policy originated by President James Monroe (1817–25): that any intervention by external powers in the politics of the Americas is a potentially hostile act. The Monroe Doctrine's four main points established distinct spheres for Europe, Asia and the Americas.

Renamed the Don-roe Doctrine, Trump believes that Greenland and Canada should merge into the United States. Europe and China should have no influence in Central and South America; and sovereign nations, such as Venezuela, should be under the sole influence of the United States.

Without this contextualised understanding, Trump's domestic and foreign policy can easily look chaotic and undisciplined. His foreign interventions around the world have helped stop eight wars in his first eight months in office, with a ninth, the Russia-Ukraine war, still ongoing. I believe his efforts are genuine, though.

He believes that the 'empire of money' deliberately stokes conflict in order to extract profit for the globalist machine. His other stated goals include stamping out drug cartels, child-trafficking rings, and the black market offshore economy in the drugs, money-laundering and the weapons trades.

It is unsurprising that the oligarch-owned corporate media is, almost without exception, scathing of Trump, as he is cutting off the oligarchy's lifelines one by one. In reality, he is a once-in-a-generation president, following an America First policy by exposing the City of London globalist system that has fought to dominate the American economy for some 250 years.

Judge his actions, not his words:

Donald Trump was bailed out in the 1990s primarily by a consortium of Wall Street banks led by banker Wilbur Ross of Rothschild Inc. He has thereafter been compromised and is in life-service to the interests of the oligarchy.

His name, as well as that of Bill Clinton, Bill Gates and Andrew Mountbatten-Windsor, is all over the Epstein files.

The assassination attempt on July 13, 2024 in Butler County was, it has been claimed, staged with fake blood to look like he was some kind of an antihero, an 'of the people, by the people, for the people' populist, but who was in fact just another Manchurian Candidate being manipulated by the 'hidden hand' of the globalist empire of money.

By not taking a salary and supposedly undertaking improvements to the White House from his own pockets, he is merely diverting from the factual reality that the overall Trump fortune has doubled from \$3.5bn when he first took office in 2016, to \$6.8bn today. He is therefore no different from every other self-serving politician.

Despite being against the 'deep state', Trump often proposes or supports expansions of federal power.

Trump has never meaningfully cut spending, and he continues to rack up massive deficits, keeping the debt-based monetary system untouched, strengthening the central banking apparatus he claimed to challenge.

Trump has failed to dismantle post-Patriot Act authorities, with critics saying his populist base was lulled into a false sense of confidence while the technocratic infrastructure of control (facial recognition, AI monitoring, digital IDs) quietly expanded.

While Trump has criticised the Federal

Reserve, he has never structurally reformed it, amplifying wealth inequality. You can't 'Make America Great Again' with the same debt-printing machinery that enslaves it.

Trump defended vaccine policies that aligned with corporate interests rather than bodily autonomy. While he fought globalist mandates rhetorically, he empowered the very institutions executing them. Operation Warp Speed handed enormous power to the Big Pharma establishment.

Trump, though allegedly anti-globalist, still believes in the same top-down statist dialectic. Trump has the heart of a populist, but still operates within a framework that legitimises the state he claims to oppose.

There has been no meaningful dismantling of Big Tech monopolies, the intelligence apparatus, or public-private censorship mechanisms.

He has increased defence spending, and failed to decisively end U.S. involvement in the Middle East where he is completely controlled by the Prime Minister of Israel Benjamin Netanyahu and his Greater Israel project.

Elon Musk, along with Peter Thiel and the giant tech companies, have been courted to oversee the American version of what is already underway in Europe and China, namely the implementation of a central bank digital currency with the Internet of Things that will interconnect smart devices, household appliances, and automatons with biological life to create remote monitoring and the total enslavement of humanity.

Is a full-blown technocracy being smuggled in while he is claiming the opposite?

iKnow 17



every breath you take, every move you make,
I'll be watching you
Available at a Banana store near you



Killogg's
DIABETES FLAKES
The Original Inducer

ENERGY
INACTIVITY
DULLNESS
PLenty of UNNATURAL INGREDIENTS

x12
375g



Thames Water

TESTED FOR 90 CHEMICALS
AND OVER THE SAFE LIMIT
ON ALL OF THEM

Google

We know more about you than you do, because we're evil

EcoGreen Electricity



We think you'll love our new
virtue signalling tariff



NOMARK
Cheap because
it's made by
poor children in
a foreign country



BEYOND SANE



You're against the
eating of meat,
but you're *pretending*
to eat meat

SEMENTS



Capturing horror and heartache in Gaza

by SERENA WYLDE

Recording of six-year-old's plea for help is film's foundation

ON January 29, 2024, six-year-old Hind Rajab is trapped in a car in Northern Gaza with 355 bullets in it, and the bodies of her aunt, uncle and four cousins.

The haunting recordings of the child's voice pleading to be rescued are the subject of a film made by Tunisian director, Kaouther Ben Hania.

The recordings were made by the Red Crescent emergency call centre in Ramallah, in the occupied West Bank, whose volunteers poured their hearts into maintaining the connection with the little girl while their colleague desperately tried to assemble a rescue team.

The cast of the film, *The Voice of Hind Rajab*, embody the group of Red Crescent volunteers trained to take emergency calls, their boss, whose job it is to coordinate rescue teams, and a supervisor/counsellor for the call takers, who steps in to support them when, for example, someone dies on the line while asking to be rescued.

The volunteers' first priority is to try to ascertain the full name of the frightened child, her whereabouts, who she is with, their relationship to her, their medical status, and whether she is under fire. From then on, their job becomes increasingly demanding as they seek to maintain contact and somehow reassure her. This process lasts an agonising four hours, during which the volunteers take turns in keeping the child calm, while their own tempers occasionally fray at the lack of progress in the rescue operation, and disputes break out.

For, although an ambulance has been located just eight minutes away from the child's location, the procedure of authorisation from the Israeli army is convoluted. Contact first has to be made with the Red Cross in Geneva, who then request an allocated route from an agency in Israel, who subsequently liaise with the Israeli army, and, in time, an allocated route is fed back through the same labyrinthine chain of intermediaries. After an allocated route is finally given, the Red Crescent must still wait for the green light via the same circuitous mechanism in order to proceed. When finally both an allocated route and the green light are given, the atmosphere is charged with hope as an ambulance



But awards aside, what no one who sees the film will be able to forget are the words reiterated by the six-year-old Hind throughout the long hours of communications with the Red Crescent volunteers: “Come and get me. Please come and get me!”

draws ever closer to the child's location and reports having sight of the damaged car, when suddenly all goes silent. Both the car and the ambulance had been blown up. Israeli tanks are equipped with infra-red sensors that detect life through heat. Dead bodies don't issue heat. They knew there was still life in the bullet-riven car.

It takes 12 days for both the Red Crescent

and Hind's mother to find out that she and the paramedics are dead, and to be able to visit the location of the wrecked car and ambulance.

The intense violence permeating the background of the film is the silent type, the systemic violence of the Israeli army whose methods, according to the actor Amer Hlehel who plays the rescue coordinator,

are designed to make the Palestinians break under frustration and desperation and start fighting one another.

We have seen how the cruelty of the Israeli army has deepened as the war on Gaza has extended. This is because perpetrators of cruelty resort to ever more extreme acts of violence in order to silence the nagging fear that builds inside them.

The film is neither a documentary nor a narrative. It is the opening of a window of access into the offices of the Red Crescent in Ramallah, that places us on the line with a child pleading to be rescued.

There are no reconstructed scenes of bloodied or mutilated bodies. No images of bombed out buildings. It is not a film about numbers of dead and injured. It is a film about feeling, about meaning, about empathy and goodness in extreme conditions. And, in a time of mass indoctrination and numbed senses, it is testimony of enduring humanity.

At the British Film Institute where the film was screened on February 21 to a packed audience, the director, Kaouther Ben Hania, and three of the main actors spoke of the making of the film. Ben Hania said she thought very carefully about how to craft the film in the most unadulterated and respectful way. How to avoid making a spectacle from a tragedy, while preserving the story's impact. She worked closely with Hind's family, as the cast worked with the volunteers of the Red Crescent. The result is a work of art brought to us by the exceptional sensitivity and awareness of Ben Hania.

Actress Saja Kilani who plays Rana, the volunteer who spends most time on the line with Hind, explained that the cast chose not to hear the recordings during preparation for the film. So when shooting began, their responses to Hind's voice were raw, and their tear-stained faces entirely real. She also explained that, as the film was shot chronologically, the cast's emotions built as the story unfolded.

Actress Clara Khoury who plays Nisreen, the extraordinarily calming counsellor, explained that, although the cast portrayed only a few hours out of the lives of the people they played, they built up relationships with them over time, in order to understand every detail of their personalities, to be able to give a faithful representation of those hours.

Following the film's September world premiere at the Venice Film Festival, where it won the grand jury prize, it received a 23-minute standing ovation.

But awards aside, what no one who sees the film will be able to forget are the words reiterated by the six-year-old Hind throughout the long hours of communications with the Red Crescent volunteers: “Come and get me. Please come and get me!”

Unholy alliance: West waging unprovoked war

Failing to foment revolution, U.S. and Israel begin Operation Epstein Fury

by SERENA WYLDE, JEFFREY SACHS
AND SYBIL FARES

THE joint U.S.-Israeli attacks were described by Trump as necessary because Iran “rejected every opportunity to renounce their nuclear ambitions, and we can’t take it anymore”. This is of course a flat lie.

As the letter of February 16 recounted, Iran agreed a decade ago to a nuclear deal, the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) that was adopted by the UN Security Council in Resolution 2231. It was Trump who ripped up the agreement in 2018.

In June 2025, Israel bombed Iran in the middle of U.S.-Iran negotiations. This time too, the Israel-U.S. war plans were set weeks ago when Netanyahu met with Trump, and the negotiations between the U.S. and Iran were a charade.

This seems to be the new modus operandi of the U.S.: start negotiations and then aim to murder the counterparts. It is easy to understand why the U.S. allies behave in the embarrassing and self-abasing way they did at the UN Security Council. In addition to the United States, eight of the other fourteen Council members host U.S. military bases or grant the U.S. military access to local bases: Bahrain, Colombia, Denmark, France, Greece, Latvia, Panama, and the United Kingdom.

These countries are not fully sovereign. They are partially governed by the United States. The U.S. military bases house CIA operations, and the host countries constantly look over their shoulder to try to avoid U.S. subversion in their own countries.

As Henry Kissinger famously said: “It may be dangerous to be America’s enemy, but to be its friend is fatal.” We can add that to host U.S. military bases and CIA operations is to turn your country into a vassal state.

As an absurd but telling example, the Danish ambassador parroted every U.S. talking point, pointing her finger at Iran for its aggression as if Iran had not been attacked by the U.S. and Israel. She completely forgot that such humiliating vassalage to the U.S. will not play well for Denmark if the U.S. occupies Greenland. The truthful voices at the Security Council came from the countries not occupied by the United States. Russia explained correctly that the so-called West (that is, the countries occupied by the U.S.) is engaged in victim-blaming when it points its finger at Iran. China reminded the Council that the crisis began with the U.S. and Israeli attacks on Iran, not with



The graves of 165 schoolgirls killed in a missile strike on a school on Saturday February 28 in Minab, southern Iran

Iran’s retaliation.

Somalia’s ambassador, speaking on behalf of several African member states, truthfully portrayed the source of this recent escalation. The UN Representative of the League of Arab States spoke brilliantly about the root cause of Israel’s mad aggression: the denial of rights to Palestinian people, and Israel’s use of mass murder and regional war to prevent the emergence of a state of Palestine. When Iran retaliates against U.S. military bases in the Gulf, it is exercising its inherent right of self-defence under Article 51 of the Charter.

We must remember that the U.S. and Israel are openly and repeatedly assassinating Iran’s leaders, with the aim of overthrowing its government. When states murder a foreign head of state and attempt to destroy the government, the target of those threats is entitled under international law to defend itself.

The U.S.-Israeli bombing murdered not only Iran’s Supreme Leader and several top government officials, but also 165 girls in their school in Minab. These young children are the victims of a horrific war crime. The countries today that gave a pass to the United States and Israel for these killings – notably Denmark, France, Latvia and the United Kingdom – are also complicit in this war crime.

Back in 1953, Iranian prime minister Mohammad Masaddegh warned that the struggle of the Iranian people was not against a man or a government, but against foreign domination.

In 1941, Britain and the Soviet Union invaded Iran to take control of the oil fields in order to supply the allies with fuel to defeat Nazi Germany. They forced Reza Shah Pahlavi to abdicate and go into exile, and installed his son Mohammad Reza Pahlavi.

The oil company established by Britain to refine the Iranian oil was called the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company, which later became British Petroleum.

In 1951, widespread dissatisfaction with British dominance in Iran’s economy and politics led to the election of Prime Minister Mohammad Masaddegh, who was a champion of secular democracy and an opponent of colonial exploitation. Mosaddegh sought to audit the books of the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company to verify it was paying the contracted royalties to Iran (just 16 per cent of the net profits from its own resources), as well as to limit the company’s control over Iranian oil reserves. When the company refused to cooperate, the Iranian parliament voted to nationalise Iran’s oil industry.

Britain responded with a naval blockade, shut down the port of Abadan where the refinery was located, organised a worldwide boycott of Iranian oil, and collapsed the economy. When economic warfare failed, London persuaded Washington to effect a coup d’état, and in 1953 under CIA codename Operation Ajax, and MI6 Operation Boot, Mosaddegh was overthrown and the Shah given an iron grip as ruling monarch.

According to American author and historian Stephen Kinzer, Operation Ajax included false flag attacks, paid protesters, provocations, propaganda generation, and the bribing of Iranian politicians and high-ranking security and army officials.

CIA documents and records declassified in 2013 reveal that some of the most feared mobsters in Teheran were hired by the CIA to stage pro-Shah riots, and other men were brought into Teheran in buses and trucks to take over the streets. The choreography of colonial oppression has thus not altered in seven decades; only

now, Israeli intelligence agency Mossad issues the orders.

In 1979, the Iranian Revolution took place, deposed the Shah, and installed the Islamic Republic of Iran, a rigid theocracy. Since then, the country has endured one of the longest sanction regimes in modern history.

Damon Wilson, the head of the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), told a U.S. House of Representatives oversight hearing on February 24: “What we’re seeing today, the Endowment has been making investments over years that have ensured that there have been secure communications, including Starlinks... that allowed information to go both in and out of the country,”

After revealing that his agency “began supporting the deployment [and] operation of about 200 Starlinks early on” amid the violence which swept through Iran in December and January, before he could finish the sentence, he was cut off by the ranking member of the House Subcommittee on State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs, Rep. Lois Frankel, who told Wilson: “You know what, I’m going to interrupt you – we’d better not talk about it.”

The National Endowment for Democracy was founded in 1982 under William Casey, then CIA director, to topple independent governments through direct sponsorship of NGOs, media and political parties.

- ***middleeasteye.net: Iran’s battle for survival is the Arab world’s fight too:***
<https://tinyurl.com/56at355d>
- ***commondreams.org: This Illegal US-Israeli Attack on Iran Is Also an Assault on the United Nations:***
<https://tinyurl.com/y3trvhev>

Too late to say ‘we didn’t know’

by **HEATHER STROUD**

Real-time genocide sparking grassroots resistance in UK

WE are living through an age where genocide, oppression and the moral breakdown of humanity is being revealed live on our televisions and mobile phone screens.

Hostile actions that were once conducted in the shadows are no longer hidden.

Targeted assassinations of leaders, journalists, aid workers and doctors deemed to be in opposition are bragged about and normalised. This moral breakdown is happening because the powers that be consider themselves to be untouchable and beyond the law.

That might be changing, as evidence of a grassroots pushback is emerging. The UK government’s proscription of Palestine Action as a terrorist organisation has sparked widespread criticism, protests and defiant acts of moral courage across the country.

But people are intellectually capable of understanding the moral decline amongst ‘global leadership’.

When activists smashed weapons that would otherwise have been used to support the genocide in Gaza, they were arrested and held on remand for 18 months. The incident took place at Elbit Systems UK, manufacturing and research hub, in Filton, Gloucestershire.

Elbit is a subsidiary weapons contractor to Elbit Systems Israel. After the arrests, the government and state-sanctioned media tried to paint the activists as violent terrorists. Had they limited their description and described the activists as having committed acts of criminal damage, they might have gotten away with it, but they went on to accuse the protesters of intending to cause physical harm to workers at Elbit.

Pushed to serve Zionist interests, they revealed not only a moral bankruptcy but an hysterical overreach that has seriously undermined their credibility.

The irony is that in international law, when governments and institutions are aware that a genocide, or even the likelihood of a genocide, is taking place, they are required by law to do everything within their power to prevent such an act.

In destroying weapons, and weapons parts, that would likely have been used in the genocide of innocent Gazan civilians, Palestine Action was not only acting in accordance with the law but



Protesters outside an Elbit Systems arms factory. Photo: wrp.org

was then legitimately able to argue the legal defence of trying to prevent a greater crime.

When the trial was held at Woolwich Crown Court, London, on February 4, the jury went against the advice of the judge and refused to convict the defendants of trumped-up charges.

It was a moment of celebration for the defendants and for all their supporters outside and beyond the courthouse.

In a later trial, where Huda Ammori challenged the government over the banning and proscription of Palestine Action as a terrorist organisation, the panel of three senior high court judges went against the government and proclaimed the ban on the group to be unlawful.

Although the government has said it will appeal the decision, support for Palestine Action remains solid. Almost 3,000 people were arrested, and, although proscription hasn’t been officially removed, if the government attempts to reinforce the ban, it is likely that number will rise significantly.

Elbit Systems, along with other weapons manufacturers, are deeply invested in continuing the genocide in Gaza. Israel uses high-tech weaponry and sophisticated AI to track and target journalists, aid workers and everyone else it considers to be even loosely connected to resistance.

This industry rakes in huge profits. For Israel, Gaza is a laboratory where, with

the aid of surveillance cameras, it can exhibit the lethal effects of its weaponry on a human population.

Israel claims it is defending itself. It claims, with the support of Christian Zionists, that this is a religious war because – as ‘God’s Chosen People’ – they have entitlement to all the land of Palestine. However, this claim shrivels into insincerity when one realises how much money this industry makes.

The evidence clearly suggests that this war is not about defence, religion or prophecy. It’s about profit. The money that the military contractors make amounts to billions of dollars annually. This revenue goes beyond weapons sales and into high-tech surveillance. Lavender and Gospel are sophisticated AI surveillance and tracking programmes that can be exported and sold across the world.

The West Bank serves as an experimental environment for tracking and control of mass populations. The demonstrated effectiveness of such a mass control system is directly applicable to homeland security in the U.S. and many other countries.

If all of historic Palestine was to be engulfed entirely within the Israeli state, with no opposition, then the weapons industry would likely migrate further. Lebanon, Syria, Iran, Yemen and Sudan are clearly within their sights.

The military industrial complex, however, goes far beyond the borders of Israel, the U.S. and UK. It emanates from a fascist

predatory ideology that has no national boundaries. Its supporters’ allegiance is to money and power – not the protection of the public.

These surveillance technologies, produced globally, threaten to rupture all of society. By breaking down moral boundaries where genocide becomes acceptable – and sending out the message that, if you resist, you will be tracked and punished – it is clear the aim is to ruthlessly silence and control people.

The claims of a New World Order where we will own nothing and be happy no longer sounds like a conspiracy theory but a conspiracy of fact.

What we are witnessing is the breakdown of nation-states and international law, allowing for the most serious crimes against humanity to be normalised.

The falling of the veil has stripped people of the chance to say ‘we didn’t know’. History is repeating itself, and this time the current genocide in Gaza is visible on our screens in real time.

It should be a warning to all of us. If we allow this to happen to one, it can happen to all.

Visibility and knowledge equate to responsibility. How we respond to these revelations comes down to the individual.

However, we should be encouraged by the bravery of the activists, the courage of the jury in the Filton trial, and the decision of the judges in overturning the banning of Palestine Action – it is an indication of a grassroots resistance.

Europe the losers in Ukraine war

Western leaders in denial over cost of conflict with Russia

by IAN PROUD

SINCE the war started, voices in the alternative media have said that Ukraine cannot win a war against Russia. Indeed, John Mearsheimer has been saying this since 2014.

Four years into this devastating war, those voices feel at one and the same time both vindicated and unheard. Ukraine is losing, yet western leaders in Europe appear bent on continuing the fight.

Nothing is illustrative of this more than Estonian politician Kaja Kallas' ridiculous comment of February 10 that Russia should agree to pre-conditions to end the war, which included future restrictions on the size of Russia's army.

Comments such as this suggest western figures like Kallas still believe in the prospect of a strategic victory against Russia, such that Russia would have to settle for peace as the defeated party. Or they are in denial, and/or they are lying to their citizens.

When I say losing, I don't mean losing in the narrow military sense. Russia's territorial gains over the winter period have been slow and marginal. Indeed, western commentators often point to this as a sign that, given its size advantage, Russia is in fact losing the war, because if it really was powerful, it would have defeated Ukraine long ago.

And on the surface, it might be easy to understand why some European citizens accept this line, not least as they are bombarded with it by western mainstream media on a constant basis.

However, most people also, at the same time, agree that drone warfare has made rapid territorial gains costly in terms of lost men and material. There is a lot of evidence to suggest that since the second part of 2023, after Ukraine's failed summer counter-offensive, Russia has attacked in small unit formations to infiltrate and encircle positions.

Having taken heavy losses at the start of the war using tactics that might have been conventional twenty years ago, Russia's armed forces had to adapt and did so quickly. Likewise, Russia's military industrial complex has also been quicker to shift production into newer types of low-cost, easy-build military technology, like drones and glide bombs, together with standard munitions that western providers have been unable to match in terms of scale.

And despite the regular propaganda about Russian military losses in the tens



Destroyed tank and buildings in Ukraine. Photo: pd

of thousands each month, the data from the periodic body swaps between both sides suggest that Ukraine has been losing far more men in the fight than Russia. And I mean at a ratio far greater than ten to one.

Of course, the propaganda war works in both directions, from the western media and from Russian. I take the view that discussion of the microscopic daily shifts in control along the line of contact is a huge distraction.

The reality of who is winning, or not winning, this war is in any case not about a slowly changing front line. Wars are won by economies, not armies.

Those western pundits who also tell you that Russia will run out of money tomorrow – it really won't – never talk about the fact that Ukraine is functionally bankrupt and totally dependent on financial gifts which the EU itself has to borrow, in order to provide.

War fighting for Ukraine has become a lucrative pyramid scheme, with Zelensky promising it is a solid investment that will eventually deliver a return.

Russia's debt stands at 16 per cent of its GDP, its yearly trade surplus is still healthy, even if it has narrowed over the past year.

Russia can afford to carry on the fight for a long time. Ukraine cannot. And Europe cannot.

The Europeans know they can't afford the war. Ukraine absolutely cannot afford the war, even if Zelensky is happy to see the money keep flowing in. Putin knows

the Europeans and Ukraine can't afford the war. In these circumstances, Russia can insist that Ukraine withdraws from the remainder of Donetsk unilaterally without having to fight for it, on the basis that the alternative is simply to continue fighting.

He can afford to maintain a low attritional fight along the length of the frontline, which minimises Russian casualties and maximises Ukraine's expenditure of armaments that Europe has to pay for.

That constant financial drain of war fighting is sowing increasing political discord across Europe.

Putin gets two benefits for the price of one. Europe causing itself economic self-harm while at the same time going into political meltdown.

At the start of the war, had NATO decided to back up its effort by force, to facilitate Ukrainian accession against Russia's expressed objection, then the war might have ended very differently.

NATO would simply not have been able to mobilise a ground operation of sufficient size quickly enough to force Russia back from the initial territorial advances that it had made in February and March of 2022. That means, the skirmishes at least for the first month would have largely been in the form of air and sea assets, including the use of missiles.

There is nothing in NATO doctrine to suggest that the west would have taken the fight to Russia, given the obvious risk of nuclear catastrophe.

While it is pointless to speculate now, my view is that a short, hot war between NATO and Russia would have led to short-term losses of lives and material on both sides that forced a negotiated quick settlement.

Europe avoided that route because of the risk of nuclear escalation, and the great shame of the war is that our leaders were nonetheless willing to encourage Zelensky to fight to the last Ukrainian, wrecking European prosperity in the process.

Who will want to vote for Merz, Macron, Tusk, Starmer and all these other tinpot statesmen when it becomes clear that they have royally screwed the people of Europe for a stupid proxy war in Ukraine that was unwinnable?

Zelensky is wondering where he can flee to when his number's up. My bet would be Miami.

So if you are watching the front line every day then you need to step back from the canvas.

There is still a chance that European pressure on Russia will prevail, which makes this whole endeavour a massive gamble with poor odds.

More likely, when the war ends, Putin will re-engage with Europe but from a position of power not weakness.

That is the real battle going on here.

● [thepeacemonger.substack.com: Why can't western leaders accept that they have failed in Ukraine?: https://tinyurl.com/mry3t2jr](https://tinyurl.com/mry3t2jr)

Fresh look at origin of cancer

by **LYN MARSHALL**

Fungal infection theory explains all symptoms of deadly disease

FOR more than a century, cancer has been treated as a problem of broken DNA genes, with only modest survival gains. But what if that assumption is wrong?

Despite trillions spent on research, cancer remains one of the leading causes of death worldwide. While survival gains have been modest, treatments are often harsh, and many patients are left confused about why therapies work for some but not others. So why are we still struggling?

The elephant in the room that very few want to talk about is that the origin of cancer remains unknown. Why does this matter? It's almost impossible to effectively treat any disease without knowing the root cause of the problem.

In fact, there are at least seven competing theories attempting to explain what cancer actually is. And the theory you believe in matters – because treatments are built directly from theory. This is where an unlikely challenger enters the picture.

Let us introduce Mark Lintern into the picture. He is not a university professor, a pharmaceutical executive or a career oncologist. He is a graphic designer by training, entirely self-taught in cancer biology, who spent more than eight years independently studying the medical literature after a personal encounter with the disease. What began as a search for answers became something far more disruptive – the development of an entirely new theory of cancer.

Lintern's work culminated in what he calls the Cell Suppression Theory (CST).

Most patients are never told this, but every cancer treatment rests on an underlying assumption about what cancer is.

If cancer is caused by genetic mutations, you try to target mutations.

If cancer is a metabolic disease, you target metabolism.

If cancer is an immune disorder, you stimulate the immune system.

Choosing a treatment, knowingly or not, is choosing a theory. The effectiveness of any treatment depends on whether the theory behind it is correct, and in the world of cancer, those theories differ significantly in



Mark Lintern – researcher behind 'Cell Suppression Theory'

their accuracy.

The Somatic Mutation Theory (SMT) remains the foundation of modern oncology. It claims cancer begins when random DNA mutations accumulate inside a cell, causing it to grow uncontrollably.

This theory underpins chemotherapy, radiotherapy, targeted drugs and much of modern drug development. But the SMT faces growing challenges.

Some cancers show no driver mutations at all. Healthy tissue that contains the required mutations remains healthy. Mutations are random and seemingly unrelated even in cells of the same tumour. Experiments that transplanted mutated DNA into healthy cells did not result in cancer, contrary to expectations. And perhaps most troubling, the SMT struggles to explain most of the defining features of cancer known as the 'hallmarks of cancer', all of which indicate cancer is not a genetic disease. Despite this, the SMT remains dominant, in part because the entire treatment industry has been built around it.

The strongest established challenger is the Metabolic Theory of cancer, championed by researchers such as Professor Thomas Seyfried. This theory argues cancer is primarily a disease of energy metabolism, not genes.

At its centre is the Warburg effect – the observation that cancer cells abandon efficient mitochondrial respiration and switch to fermentation, even in the presence of oxygen.

The Metabolic Theory explains many cancer behaviours and can account for roughly seven of the ten recognised hallmarks of cancer. It also aligns with the observation that metabolism is profoundly abnormal in all tumours.

Yet even this model leaves key features unresolved, including immune evasion, the failure of cell death mechanisms, and the origin of the metabolic shift itself.

Lintern's Cell Suppression Theory begins with a simple but radical question: what if the Warburg effect is not a malfunction, but a defensive response?

The CST proposes that cancer begins when key cellular functions become suppressed by a previously overlooked form of intracellular fungal infection. According to the theory, supported by Dr Robert Naviaux's Cell Danger Response model, the cell deliberately downshifts its metabolism as part of an anti-infection response, activating the Warburg effect to enable mitochondria (the energy-producing organelles in the cell) to repurpose oxygen to defend the cell against the invader.

In this framework, cancer is not a cell 'gone rogue' but a cell locked into a chronic defensive state.

The theory suggests that many defining features of cancer – immune evasion, inflammation, suppressed apoptosis, altered signalling, abnormal metabolism – emerge naturally from this host-pathogen interaction.

Where previous theories explain parts

of cancer, the CST can account for all ten recognised hallmarks, plus numerous additional features that remain unexplained elsewhere.

Importantly, the CST does not reject metabolic dysfunction – it reframes its cause and then goes on to explain all the other missing pieces of the cancer puzzle.

Lintern is careful not to present the CST as settled fact. It is a theory, but one grounded in existing biology, emerging microbiome research and recent findings showing fungal pathogens present inside tumours. This offers patients new insight and new treatment options. In fact, it justifies many of the off-label and lifestyle changes that are being considered as novel anti-cancer approaches, as nearly all of them are anti-fungal.

Could it be that those who have the most success are the ones who are inadvertently targeting the novel fungal infection that Lintern claims is driving the disease? And that by purposefully identifying and then targeting these pathogens within the patient's tumour, we can further improve survival outcomes? This may explain why certain anti-fungal drugs are now being considered for their anti-cancer properties.

What makes the work revolutionary is not just its content, or how accessible it is for the lay person, but its development.

It was created outside institutions, without corporate funding, and without allegiance to pharmaceutical pipelines. That independence allows questions to be asked that mainstream research often avoids.

For readers sceptical of government narratives, regulatory capture or profit-driven medicine, this should sound familiar. Progress rarely comes from the centre. It comes from outsiders willing to challenge assumptions that everyone else takes for granted.

Whether the CST ultimately proves correct remains to be seen. But one thing is clear: cancer's origin is not settled, and patients deserve to know that.

Lintern's work invites people to question the foundations of cancer treatment, to understand why different therapies succeed or fail, and to demand explanations that actually fit the disease we observe.

In an era where information is increasingly filtered, and where questioning dominant narratives can invite resistance rather than discussion, independent research has become more important than ever.

● **For more information about Mark Lintern's revolutionary work and to support his mission to improve survival outcomes for all cancer patients, visit his website: www.cellsuppression.com**

Unmissable new online radio station

by **SKYMAN AND HOUSIE**

Time to junk BBC radio and tune to breath of fresh air

HOUSIE: Just this morning I was listening to BBC radio and their appalling, orchestrated anti-Russia propaganda. One of the things I love about this new radio station is its propaganda-free nature, and that I don't have to spend copious amounts of mental energy sorting the wheat from the lying chaff. How about you, Skyman?

SKYMAN: I totally agree, Housie. Mainstream broadcasts combine propaganda and indoctrination while keeping you dumb, ignorant and truthless; and they talk to you like you're five years old. Far better to be the gatekeeper of the vibes you allow in.

ClearAIR.fm is an educational experience via its varied podcast schedule, with deep insights into all topics covering health, sovereignty, current events and real history – with deep dives into the darkest of rabbit-holes, plus interviews with fascinating guests.

HOUSIE: I also love that there are some really big names from the freedom movement on the station –

folk like the late, great Alan Watt. Tell us about some of them.

SKYMAN: Well we have The Corbett Report, Richard Vobes, Phil Escott, Dr. Jo Whitaker, Bill Cooper, Emma Wall, Nikita Stark, Rachel Mathews, Billy Watson, The Crafty Nihilist, Techtonic – and, of course, the mischievously irreverent and strictly unscripted Skyman & Housie – and more. Recent additions include no less than 100 Alan Watt recordings from his legendary 'Cutting through the Matrix' show – what a coup for the station. You can tune into Alan every morning to start your day – highly recommended.

HOUSIE: That is such a rich menu for freedom folk to feast on – readers of this paper will surely be in wonderland when they tune into the station. And we've not even mentioned music yet! You're a musician – tell us about the rich and varied selection of music that listeners can find on the station.

SKYMAN: Music plays in between podcasts from freedom-loving bands, including Tommy Coyle, Lukas Lion, Mobius Loop, The Light (formerly known as the Daz Band), Nickita, The Troot, Thought Hooligans, Controversial Mark, Artful Codger, Plumb Jam, Emmins, Llan Drovers, The Zunts, Tom Prowse, Eddie Huntley Band, Electric Cheese, The Embers, The Lookout, Conspiracy Music Guru, and many more fine artists. Oh, and make sure to get yer groove on with the excellent Mark Devlin every evening.

HOUSIE: That list leaves me breathless and reaching for the proverbial tuning-in knob (actually, you'll need to listen online). So what do folk reading this who'd like to get involved need to do?

SKYMAN: Musicians and podcasters can send your recordings (in MP3 format) to skyman@clearair.fm; or you can upload them yourself via the ClearAir.fm website. Think of it as a home for the freedom community, local and worldwide. It's a home for our voices, our music, our research, our vibrations, our truth, our learning and our space to express our true selves. You can find a schedule of podcasts on the ClearAir.fm website.

HOUSIE: Let's be hearing from you, dear readers! What an opportunity this radio station affords us to start creating our own media, and so help to subvert the manufactured consent of the MSM. The final words are yours, bro.

SKYMAN: Back in the 1960s, Tim Leary said 'Turn on, tune in and drop out' (yeah okay, he was most probably an asset). Perhaps today's drop out is our own sovereignty and freedom; so anyway, turn on to vibes, tune in to ClearAir.fm, and drop out of the mainstream. We are the news and media now.

- <https://clearair.fm> - *The Sound of Freedom*
- *Skyman & Housie's Substack (all episodes):* <https://tinyurl.com/44jv4397>



True Pearls: Kerth Barker - victim of ritual abuse

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

AMERICAN former child victim of satanic ritual abuse and author of *Cannibalism, Blood Drinking and High-Adept Satanism*.

A former FBI special agent, Ted Gunderson, found out that satanic cults did exist, and that some of them were performing human sacrifices. He tried to inform the authorities and they ignored him, in spite of all of his evidence and credentials. He was a true American hero because of his efforts.

Most people don't realise that the human race is under attack. Many people simply do not want to know about things that are too frightening. The world is in peril not because of the people who do evil, but because of the good people who refuse to confront the existence of evil.

Blood drinking isn't just a rare phenomenon. What I am telling you now is not a myth. Well-organised cult members systematically abduct people, torture them to adrenalise their blood, harvest that blood and then drink



Kerth Barker

it. And some of these cult members are addicted to drinking adrenalised human blood.

High-Adept Satanists are obsessed with demons. They perform ceremonial rituals in which they connect their minds with the minds of demons, and they come to see the human race as their enemy.

The most powerful members of

the Illuminati are from inbred generational billionaire families. They are beyond sociopathy. They are megalomaniacal psychopaths.

The ultimate goal of the High-Adept Satanists is the complete destruction of the human race and the natural ecosystem of Earth. They pursue this goal because they no longer identify themselves with humanity.

The true cause of the widespread pollution that is killing our world comes more from irresponsible corporate greed than from overpopulation. It's the Illuminati leaders who own these polluting corporations, which pollute the world and suppress non-polluting technology. The Illuminati create the problem of pollution, then blame it on innocent people. Then the same Illuminati leaders come up with the solution to the problem they've created. And that solution is to kill off most of the people of Earth.

The Illuminati strategy demands that they remain invisible until which time they have complete control over the

world. The goal of the Illuminati is the eventual creation of an all-powerful world government.

Whether you are Christian, Luciferian, atheist or any other philosophy, your survival and the survival of your family depends upon the defeat of the High-Adept Satanists. And this is achieved by learning the truth and teaching it to others.

Globalist, plutocratic satanism is behind virtually every major problem in this troubled world. You stand a better chance of surviving these difficult times if you understand the true nature of satanism. And this is true no matter what your belief system.

I have known some persons with profound psychic abilities. Although they had different philosophies, they all believed in a spiritual higher power: the power of infinite love. Whatever you call this spiritual force, it is the basis of all legitimate psychic abilities.

Either we will start using our spiritual and psychic powers to defend ourselves – or the human race will be destroyed.

The Light

Winter edition LONDON Dec 31, 1865 The Uncensored Truth A FREE Truthpaper

Truth behind American Civil War

by John Hamer
The American Civil War officially began on the April 12, 1861, when Union troops were attacked by Confederate forces at Fort Sumter, after South Carolina had ‘illegally’ seceded from the Union.

The Rothschilds loaned Napoleon III of France 210 million francs to seize Mexico and then deploy troops along the southern border of the United States.

This was a gross violation of the ‘Monroe Doctrine’ which was issued by President James Monroe during his annual State of the Union address to Congress, in 1823.

Regardless of this, the bankers encouraged the British government to send 8,000 troops to Canada towards the end of 1861, in complete defiance of the Doctrine.

This was then followed in early 1862, by Britain sending troops along with those from France and Spain, to Vera Cruz, Mexico, on the pretext of collecting debts from Mexico.

The Russian Ambassador to America had warned his government: “England will take advantage of the first opportunity to recognise the seceded states and France will follow her.”

On January 1, 1863, Lincoln issued his Emancipation Proclamation, thus ‘freeing’ the slaves, at least in principle, if not exactly in practice.

Later in 1863, the Confederacy had offered the states of Louisiana and Texas to France, on condition that it would deploy its armies against the North.

Russia further declared that if Britain and France entered the conflict on the side of the South, then it would be regarded as an act of war.

On September 8, 1863, at the behest of Lincoln, Russia deployed its navy to San Francisco and New York and placed it directly under the command of Lincoln. This arrival of the Russians came at a most crucial time for the North and it thereby caused the British and



America in 1865

French to make a ‘U-turn’ on their proposed actions.

Entirely contrary to his false, saintly image, Abraham Lincoln had a darker side to his character. He was no friend to the slaves. He said at the time: “I have no purpose directly or indirectly to interfere with the institution of slavery in the states where it now exists. I believe I have no lawful right to do so and I have no inclination to do so...My paramount objective is to save the Union and it is not either to save or destroy slavery. If I could save the Union without freeing any slave, I would do it.”

Lincoln took the country to war without the consent of Congress, completely ignoring the U.S. Constitution, which he had taken an oath to defend. He imprisoned many people without trial and strictly enforced the draft while ensuring that his own son remained safe at college.

Was Lincoln doing the bankers’ bidding by promoting the war, or was he just anxious to save the Union from them? One thing is certain and that is his next move proved very costly indeed. He travelled to New York to borrow money to finance the war but found the quoted, extremely high 24-36 per cent interest rates that

the bankers asked, outrageous. But the bankers knew that already. Their goal was that the North should lose the war and the country be divided.

Upon returning to Washington, Lincoln summoned Colonel Edmund Taylor and offered him the position of saving the Union’s precarious finances with a creative fiscal policy. Taylor suggested emulating the Rothschilds themselves through the creation of money ‘out of thin-air.’ This then resulted in the debt-free currency, the ‘greenback’. It was the first attempt by a U.S. government to create a national currency based upon worthless paper money rather than gold and silver. The ‘greenbacks’ were instigated in July 1862 with an initial printing of \$150 million, but would later total \$450 million.

However, Lincoln needed even more money to finance the war—even after introducing income tax. Knowing that the President could continue printing greenbacks, the bankers exerted their power over Congress once again to force through the National Bank Act and from then onwards, the U.S. money supply was to be created out of debt by the bankers.

By causing their trademark

financial panics, they had already destroyed many of their competitors in the form of 425 other financial companies. Lincoln decided to wait until the following year and until he gained the necessary public support to reverse the Act, which he had been pressured into signing.

But the bankers were now pressing for a gold standard, because gold was scarce and therefore easier to monopolise, while Lincoln was as strongly opposed to this as he was to the re-establishment of a central bank.

Lincoln was the last president to issue debt-free U.S. notes. In his own words, “We gave the people of this republic the greatest blessing they ever had, their own paper money to pay their own debts.” *The Times of London* responded, rather predictably and defiantly:

“If that mischievous policy, which had its origin in the North American Republic, should become indurated down to a fixture, then that government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and it will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous beyond precedent in the history of

civilised governments of the world. The brains and the wealth of all countries will go to North America. That government must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe.”

In effect, *The Times* was advocating the removal of Abraham Lincoln.

Despite all the bankers’ efforts, Lincoln was nevertheless re-elected to a second term and in a statement to Congress, he declared, “I have two great enemies, the Southern army in front of me and the financial institutions in the rear. Of the two, the one in my rear is my greatest foe.”


The statistics of this totally manufactured banker’s war were staggering. It was the first war of the modern industrialised age, a war that saw 240 patents for military weapons, the first war to use telescopic gunsights, the first to use landmines and the first war to utilise the 200 rounds per minute, unprecedented ‘killing-power’ of the Gatling gun, the world’s first machine gun.

The war cost the lives of over 600,000 men, with half of that total being from disease and a further million were to die later from their injuries. There were 51,000 deaths alone, in the Battle of Gettysburg, the bloodiest battle of the war and incredibly, more than 100,000 Union soldiers were under the age of 15.

It is also staggering that 25 per cent of all military-aged men in the South died in the U.S. Civil War. The financial cost of the entire war, damages and pensions included, was estimated at close to \$7 billion.

On the evening of April 14, 1865, just five days after Lee officially surrendered to Grant, thus ending the four-year, bloody conflict, Lincoln was shot in the head by the 33rd degree Freemason, John Wilkes Booth, a lackey of the bankers, at Ford’s Theatre, Washington DC.

● falsificationofhistory.co.uk/



Letters to TheLIGHT

Love us or hate us, we are helping raise the level of debate in this country and across the world, so we welcome views from all perspectives, because the enemies of free thought and free speech are censorship and group-think. We are all individuals – let's have your thoughts! Please email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk

Dear The Light,

To see what I have been warning acquaintances of for years published is incredibly vindicating.

While working for one of the largest banks in the UK I was astonished at my colleagues' indifference/enthusiasm for a carbon footprint tracker being built into the customer app.

We are only a few years away from legitimately being denied purchases at the butcher, travel agent and petrol station, unless you can offset this by purchasing carbon credits, available from others with less disposable income.

Thanks to the group on Buchanan Street, Glasgow, for handing out your free copies.

J R Reid

Dear The Light,

Will insurance companies be revising their excuse to 'it was an act of Allah'?

Will our women be publicly executed in our car parks?

Will our gay friends be base jumping without parachutes?

I mean no disrespect so please don't kill me. It's just that if Charlie Boy, Starmer and the Bish' are all 'on board' with dismantling civilisation so they can make even more money than they can ever spend, I might need to notify my insurance company.

Peter Manley

Dear The Light,

Consistent polls indicate that a majority of the country are in agreement with Lib Dem MP, Dr. Al Pinkerton who has diagnosed a key factor explaining Britain's dire straits – namely Brexit, an economic failure that is costing us £250 million daily.

Let that sink in! Don't laugh it



Tir Mostyn Wind Farm, North Wales. Photo: Peter McDermott

Dear The Light,

May I through your letters page congratulate the hundreds of folk, especially from mid-Wales, for their recent protest on the steps of the Senedd, against the impact of wind generators and their associated ugly pylon routes. Very well done all who attended, the truth needs to be told.

A spokesperson for Bute Energy had the cheek to say: "We have spent the past two years engaging with host communities for our energy parks, answering questions

and actively ensuring that we take on board community views while delivering a greener future for Wales. Meaningful and ongoing engagement with communities on the design of our energy parks is a key part of our approach."

Well, what a load of old codswallop, as they are ignoring all our protests to their huge Wellsian monstrosities, and the necessary associated ugly pylon routes. As a retired engineer that has worked in and around every power station in Wales, including Dinorwig during its construction stage, I

can assure you that the lights will be going out if we put our faith in wind and solar generation – think of that cold and windless night in mid-winter dear reader.

It is highly insulting to our intelligence when Bute Energy talks about a greener Wales when they hypocritically plan to industrialise irreplaceable Welsh countryside – where do they get these people from?

I sincerely hope that many readers will actively support this brilliant protest.

Dave Haskell

away, which is Nigel Farage's usual response.

Instead of the Boris Bus promise of saving £350 million every week, we are instead losing £1,750 million over the same period. That's over £90 billion lost to the Treasury per year.

No wonder we are suffering from the highest tax burden in 70 years and still unable to meet our defence requirements, mend our roads and guarantee every pensioner a warm winter.

Many Brexiteers voted leave for the noblest of motives, but it's time to wake up and smell the coffee. The best possible general election result next time round is a Green/Lib Dem coalition. The worst would be a Farage

government. That would be like putting an arsonist in charge of a fire station – an arsonist with very deep pockets and apparently millions to burn.

Anthony Hentschel

Dear The Light,

I need not remind your readers of the times and age in which we live. That the signs and revelations we are witnessing daily are a foretelling of things to come.

I urge every one of us to not put power and faith in ourselves alone, but to look to the Lord God Almighty, in whose hands we all rest.

The prophets were told of these

days and what is to come. Look to He that is the keeper of all souls in the world that He gave us, that you may be counted as worthy to escape the tribulation. In love and truth, I write these words.

Helen Wilson

Dear The Light,

The Government admits in its document 'MindSpace 2010' how it deliberately changes people's thinking without them realising.

For example, during the covid 'crisis', people were shamed into getting the covid jab by being made to feel they were being selfish if they didn't. Plus it instils a constantly heightened sense of crisis, risk and fear.

This brutal assault on people's minds is continuing. For example, recent DEFRA documents suggest that we can soon expect the government to be pushing to a new level the notion that the risk of a worldwide ecosystem collapse and UK food and national security are inextricably linked, and that any future collapse will be our fault as individuals. The buzzwords will be 'preparedness', 'resilience' and 'whole society approach'.

So be ready for National Emergency Briefings on Prime Time TV, telling us that the solution is for citizens personally to make sacrifices (even accepting conscription, if not to the battlefield then to jobs geared to war) while increasing money and power in the hands of the already rich and powerful. More green finance, more 'innovation', more public-private partnerships, more top-down government.

So we must always consider who is benefiting, and never take their claims and statements at face value.

Mary Curran

Dear The Light,

Another tree has fallen
Its limbs lay all around
What's causing this to happen?
Is something in the ground?

Its roots they have all shrunk
Brown bark now turning green
Just go about your business, slave
There's nothing to be seen

I lift my head unto the sky
Those lines are everywhere
Why don't the masses notice this
But do they even care?

My fear now lies in times ahead
When food it may not grow
I can only take some solace knowing
We tried to tell them so

David Kane

The story of Methylene blue



ONLY RESULTS COUNT

It started 160 years ago in the form of a blue dye for cotton, which was subjected to moldy conditions when being transported from far away continents on ships and would not have lasted those weeks and months in good condition without some intervention. The addition of just a few drops of this dye to a bale of cotton insulated it from mold and decay.

Nowadays this substance has shown much promise in the prevention of Alzheimers, Diabetes and many other conditions modern society is plagued with.

So, what's all the hype about? It is the base material for making hydroxy chloroquin, it has been shown to inhibit the spike protein seen during the SARS-CoV-2, see the PubMed medical article "Methylene Blue inhibits spike protein. " (one Ref amongst others Med Hypotheses. 2020 Nov;144:110163. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110163. Epub 2020 Aug 6.PMID: 33254484))

"From personal experience", says Harry

Rhodes, producer of the best quality Methylene Blue, "and from feedback of our own customers, the results from taking this substance have been almost miraculous. See the testimonials on our website www.onlyresultscount.com "

"We regularly have customers telling us how their health has spectacularly improved and when you add our technologies and other supporting products to the equation, it is not surprising when we get substantial feedback of recoveries"

Special frequencies are infused into the product, when manufacturing Methylene Blue and a very unique process for structuring water, invented by Harry Rhodes, is applied in creating this product. Some of these water facts can blow your mind. Harry has discovered sacred water frequencies and numbers based in sacred geometry that the body recognises. These are infused into the Methylene Blue's water memory, and Harry describes : "We

have also matched the infrared frequency in the water, and thus the intake of the fluid can be combined with infrared light to create a photobionic reaction. Infrared light enhances the performance of the Methylene Blue. It helps to stimulate the mitochondria (energy factories in our cells) to promote cell growth and hemoglobin levels in the blood cells. These are all critical for recoveries and overall good health and healing.

Harry is currently working on health improvement projects such as reversing eye cataracts, detached retina, Alzheimer's Disease, Dementia, Severe Fatigue, even certain ways to counter cancer proliferation, Lyme disease as well as other current critical conditions, He told us: " the latest results are quite emotional for all of us, when we realize that we can help"

So, If you are looking for beneficial results from some newly discovered scientific and also organic products, have a look at his website



www.onlyresultscount.com for more information on these developments

Pricepoint of Methylene Blue is 24.99 for 100ml and 13.50 for 50ml • Dosage is 1 drop for every 10 kg of body weight. (1 stone is 6.3 kg) Daily for 6 days and then skip one day.

**EVERYWHERE YOU LOOK, THE WORLD SEEMS
TO BE GOING TO HELL IN A HANDCART
BUT WHAT CAN YOU DO?**

**SO IS THERE A BIGGER PICTURE? WELL YES THERE IS!
JOIN US ON A JOURNEY OF DISCOVERY THROUGH SCIENCE
& PSYCHOLOGY, METAPHYSICS & FINALLY SPIRITUALITY**

**OBTAIN KNOWLEDGE BOTH ANCIENT AND NEW TO
REALISE A DEEPER UNDERSTANDING OF THE NATURE OF REALITY**

**WE ARE A NON-RELIGIOUS ORGANISATION WITH NO HIDDEN AGENDA
FOR MORE INFORMATION PLEASE EMAIL**

123.wayoflife@gmail.com

WAY-OF-LIFE



123wayoflife.wixsite.com/way-of-life



FREQUENCY MEDICINE CENTRES

Advanced frequency medicine using BioCharger, FSM, Photobiomodulation (Thor Laser), Biomat Crystal Bed, PEMF, and Bioresonance Scanning.

High-quality supplements, B12 & vitamin injections, PRP & hyaluronic acid injections for joint pain and muscular injury.

BASINGSTOKE:
11-14 Winchester Street,
Basingstoke, RG21 7EG
01256 280777

GLASTONBURY:
33a High Street,
Glastonbury, BA6 9HT
01458 898567

www.frequency-medicine.co.uk

Are you looking to Home Educate?

Contact us to find out how we can support for ages 11-18!



**national
tutors**

book a call with us via

www.nationaltutors.co.uk



"This programme has been a life saver for our family, it's really is all your home schooling needs met in one place and can support into higher courses."

**Quote
'Light'
to grab a
deal**

**IS IT TIME YOU CHANGED
YOUR STRINGS?**



SMITHANDJAMES.CO



"Music is what feelings sound like."

The Great Banking Deception: How to Recoup the Credit You Created

by **ECCLESIA LAW**

FOR decades, the freedom movement has whispered about a secret account—a hidden ledger where the value of your birth certificate and your signature is stored. Many have tried to access it. Many have failed, facing ridicule, ‘frivolous filing’ penalties, or even jail. But the failure wasn’t because the money didn’t exist. It failed because they knocked on the door as a debtor, begging for relief, instead of standing as a creditor, demanding a correction.

To understand the solution, you must first understand the deception. The money in your bank account was not mined from the earth. It was not printed by the government. It was created by you.

The Death of Substance and the Birth of Credit

Since the suspension of the gold standard (marked in the US by HJR 192 in 1933), the world has operated on a system of credit, not substance. When you walk into a bank to sign a mortgage or a loan, a distinct magic trick occurs. You believe the bank is lending you its own money, reserves held in a vault. This is the first lie.

Under the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, your signature on a promissory note creates a ‘negotiable instrument.’ To the bank, that piece of paper is an asset. They do not lend you existing money; they monetize your signature. At the moment you sign, they create credit ‘ex nihilo’ (out of nothing) and book it as a deposit. You are the funding source of your own loan. The bank is merely a ‘nominee’—a middleman facilitating the transaction between your signature’s value and the vendor.

The ‘Abandoned Credit’ Windfall

Here lies the theft. Because you are unaware that you are the creator of the credit, you never claim it. You act as the borrower, dutifully paying back a ‘loan’ that you funded yourself.

In the banking ledger, this creates a massive surplus. The bank holds the

security (your note) and monetizes it, trading it and re-hypothecating it for massive profit. Because the true owner (you) remains silent, the system treats this value as ‘abandoned credit’. The bank then files tax documents (like the 1099-OID) in its own name, claiming the tax credits generated by your signature. They are harvesting the fruit of your labour because you never showed up to the harvest.

Why the ‘Strawman’ Methods Failed

For years, ‘sovereign citizens’ and freedom advocates have tried to reclaim this value using the ‘Strawman’ theory. They file 1099-OID forms using their Social Security Number (SSN) or National Insurance Number, claiming the bank owes them millions.

This approach is fatal. Why? Because the SSN/NINO is a tax-identification number for a Debtor. The state-created legal person (the name in ALL CAPS) is designed to owe, not to own. When you file a claim using that number, the system sees a debtor trying to wipe out a debt with a ‘made-up’ credit. The IRS and treasury algorithms are hard-coded

to reject these as ‘frivolous’.

Courts have consistently prosecuted these filings because they mix jurisdictions. You cannot claim to be a sovereign creditor while standing in the shoes of a corporate debtor. This error has cost good people their freedom.

The Solution: The Clifford Protocol

The Clifford Protocol succeeds because it abandons the ‘sovereign’ myths and focuses on hard accounting. It does not ask for a handout; it corrects the ledger.

The core of the protocol is a shift in capacity from Debtor to Fiduciary Creditor.

Instead of filing as the ‘strawman’ (SSN), the Clifford Protocol utilizes a 98-Series International Grantor Trust. This trust is a separate, foreign legal entity with its own Employer Identification Number (EIN). It acts as the ‘Holder in Due Course’ of the original credit.

How the Recoupment Works

The process relies on IRS Publication 1212, which governs ‘nominee reporting.’ The Protocol effectively says to the Treasury: ‘The bank acted

as a nominee. It received the benefit of the security (the signature). However, it failed to pass that benefit to the true owner. We are now filing a corrective 1099-OID to attribute that abandoned credit to the Trust.’

Because this filing is done by a Trust (a creditor entity) and matches the bank’s own ‘Master Record’ (Form 945), it bypasses the fraud filters that trap individual filers. It is not a claim for a tax refund; it is a recoupment of abandoned property.

The Path to Restoration

The Clifford Protocol is not a ‘get rich quick’ scheme; it is a complex jurisdictional repositioning. It begins with the Envoy Protocol, using the doctrine of Clausula Rebus Sic Stantibus to rescind the agency relationship with the state-created persona. The individual moves from being a ‘subject’ to occupying the Office of General Executor.

Once standing is corrected, the credit is recouped into the Trust and protected within an Asset Fortress—a private international trust structure that operates outside the commercial jurisdiction of the state.

The banks have relied on our ignorance for a century. They have bet that we would never understand the difference between a debtor and a creditor, or between a man and a person. The Clifford Protocol proves them wrong. It turns the lights on in the accounting room, revealing that the debt they claim we owe is, in fact, credit we simply forgot to claim.

● For more details scan the QR code below...



Awake Alcoholics Anonymous



Government's biggest mind control

Here to Help • Support Groups • Fight Back

Stuck in the matrix of alcoholism?

Need to break free from alcoholism?

Please email for guidance support

awakeAA@proton.me

Covid CBDCs
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G UN-WEF etc

We trawl the
web so you
don't have to



<https://www.freecitizen.uk/>
Free Citizen UK 



The Trust Works
All Rights Reserved In Trust Under God

**Protect Everything You've
Worked Hard to Build.**

Settle Your Property into Your Own
Private Trust. Own Nothing. Control Everything.

**What You Do Not Own,
Can Not be Taken Away from You.**

www.thetrustworks.co.uk
Bespoke Trust and Will Writing Service



zoom_mayflower@hotmail.com

JENNY ZOOM



07939 486703

Backdrops available for hire

Commissions welcome



Pitches, Yurts, Collage & Lodge

20% OFF: USE CODE AWAKE20



fforestfields.co.uk

We invite you to Forest Fields,
a haven for those who want to
reconnect with the land, nature,
quietness and each other.
Camp under the stars, swim in the
lake & roam the ancient hills.
Come & escape the noise.

Advertise in



The **Uncensored** Truth

TheLIGHT

contact:

ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

CLIVE DE CARLE



"Your body is not lacking pharmaceutical drugs"
Highest quality, essential supplements and more...
CLIVEDECARLE.COM

SECRET HEALTH CLUB
WITH CLIVE DE CARLE
The Magic Chair




Perfect for stress and trauma release. Just one session will leave you feeling calm and focused. Bespoke sessions can be tailored to your individual needs.

Sessions now available by appointment in: Berkshire, Gloucestershire, Somerset and Wiltshire.
To book a session email: magicchair@clivedecarle.com
For more information: www.secrethealthclub.com
See testimonials: www.tiny.cc/magicchair

What is Truth?

Does it exist?



What is Truth?
- The Satanic Attack on Christianity
THE ULTIMATE DECEPTION IS THE TRUTH YOU'VE BEEN TAUGHT
John Shackelfree

- All belief systems are equal - **but some are more equal than others**
- Whistleblowers **Silenced**
- Profits over **Scientific Truth**
- Control by using **Forever Wars**
- The collapse is not Chaotic - **It is Planned!**

John Shackelfree takes you on a journey detailing the **corruption of Science, Politics & Religion.**
GET THE EBOOK NOW! →



Shackelfree shackelfree.co.uk

GRANDER WATER REVITALISATION

A Quality Water Solution for the Whole Family



"When we drink Grander Water, it is as if we are refreshing ourselves from a pure mountain spring"

Water & Family Health

Water loses its natural energetic potential when treated with chemicals and pumped through pipes over long distances, causing water to become damaged, stagnant, less vital and flat to the taste, losing its natural health giving properties.

- Choose to have a vibrant healthy water flowing from every tap in your home
- The taste and quality of bottled water straight from your tap
- Scientifically proven to reduce scale, increase microbiological stability and save on heating costs

Table top systems from £225 & £1,161 for single tap systems to standard house systems with up to three baths prices from £3,980

WATER IS OUR STRENGTH

GRANDER WATER

Sales Office t: **0333 390 9479**
e: waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk
www.granderwater.co.uk



Boiler service, breakdown, replacement and repairs

by qualified and registered engineers covering the Hertfordshire and North west London.

Up to 12 years warranty on certain boilers

All central heating and underfloor heating work undertaken

All general plumbing work undertaken

Quote **Light5** when booking in for discounted Hourly Rate /Boiler service

07885556007

Gas safe 520291 • CIPHE

Which trusted traders • Herts trading standards • Checktrade
Accredited by all leading boiler manufacturers



KNIGHTS BULLION

BUY | SELL | STORE

As a Bullion Exchange we offer excellent rates on the liquidation of all precious metals including scrap Gold, Silver, Platinum and Palladium.

Knights Bullion are trusted suppliers of The Royal Mint, Metalor and Umicore products, giving you the assurance of authenticity.

Silver bars and silver Britannia coins are available for pre-order, call for more information.

BE YOUR OWN CENTRAL BANK

www.knightsbullion.com

Visit: 42 Wood Street, Stratford-upon-Avon, CV37 6JG

Email: info@knightsbullion.com

Call: 01789266595

SHIELDING YOUR WEALTH, PROTECTING YOUR FUTURE