



News

How the state let gang rape victims down for decades

Page 4



Solutions

Liverpool street guardians protect city's vulnerable

Page 6



International

Malaysia boots out technocratic 'Network School'

Page 17



Environment

Rubbish response to river clean-up campaigner

Page 20



The Uncensored Truth

The LIGHT

ISSUE 73 Monthly

FREE FOR THINKERS

www.thelightpaper.co.uk



All assets tokenised in new system

Finance being reinvented to enable more credit expansion Page 2

Welcome to the machine

Slavery sold as sustainability in brave new world

by **DARREN SMITH**

THE digital control grid that will result in total slavery for most of humanity is being sold as technological progress, efficiency, convenience, security and sustainability.

Using manipulative language like this lulls the general public into a false sense of security about the permissioned society that is almost upon us.

Cash still exists, and offline options are still just about available for many things, but once the analogue world has disappeared, it will not be coming back.

The new prime minister may have announced, like his predecessor, that digital ID has been scrapped, but the legislation, infrastructure and companies to run the interoperable systems are already in place. Has Andy Burnham scrapped the One Login system as well? Are we all going back to paper documents now?

Services and utilities are becoming online only. Electricity will soon be managed, priced, monitored and rationed by smart systems which will decide when energy can be used and how much it costs. Water will be the same, hence the big push to install meters; by law, all new properties must now have them fitted.

And while our domestic energy and water use is strictly monitored and limited, huge data centres, networked AI surveillance systems, militaries, automated warehouses and large corporations will use as much as



Is technology more convenient or does it allow the technocrats even more control over us?

they need to sell to us, to spy on us, and to run the machinery that will restrict our access and police the world.

Food is also going to become more controlled. Supermarkets, restaurants and food delivery apps will still exist, but behind the scenes, farming, fertiliser, water and land use, animal farming, packaging, emissions, nutrition policy and supply chains are being conglomeratised.

Meat and dairy may not disappear, but they will continue to become more expensive and more politically targeted. Alternative proteins, lab-created foods, synthetic ingredients, heavily processed industrial substitutes and GMO agriculture will be promoted as sustainable and necessary.

Small, organic farmers, particularly meat and dairy farmers, will face more regulations and restrictions, with higher compliance costs. Large food corporations and agricultural technology companies will gain even more control over the entire food system. Agriculture giant Bayer already owns the seeds.

The public are told this is about saving the Earth and improving health, but of course the opposite is true. What they are actually pushing is the industrial over the natural; chemicals over the cow chewing grass.

In reality, it will mean even higher prices, more processed diets leading to more illnesses and more Big Pharma medical interventions, as well as less

local independence.

Digital ID is the cornerstone of this rationed, permissioned future. It may well be mandated for another coming manufactured crisis such as food and energy shortages, but then what happens when that ID becomes the turnkey to normal life? If you need verified digital identity to access your bank, medical records, government benefits, internet service provider, employment, transport systems and payment apps, then losing access becomes serious. A failed verification, a frozen account, a security flag, a wrong database entry or a policy violation could block a person from services they need to function.

Once we need digital ID, how long before cash is gone? It will probably not vanish overnight, but it is already becoming less common and less accepted.

More transactions will move through digital wallets, bank apps, payment processors, instant payment networks, stablecoins, tokenised systems or central bank digital currency.

The average person may still think they are just paying with a card or phone, but every digital payment creates a record.

Every transaction can be tracked, analysed, flagged, reversed, taxed, restricted or denied; it means the technical ability to control transactions at an individual level through digital ID in real time will be built into the system.

GET INVOLVED – SUBSCRIBE – DISTRIBUTE – PASS ME ON

Assets tokenised to create debt

by **MILES HARRIS**

THE financial system isn't simply becoming digital – it's being rebuilt to support larger debt loads, mobilise private assets and reshape ownership around collateral, settlement and enforceable claims.

The new system is more than just a blunt instrument of control; it is an incredibly sophisticated architecture designed to fulfil a number of strategic aims.

The real power lies in its dual purpose. It makes finance faster, more efficient and pervasive. It also makes asset ownership and financial behaviour more visible, conditional and more easily enforceable.

The financial system has one fundamental problem whether examined in the East or the West: financial claims are growing faster than the system's ability to repay or settle them.

Debt is the visible symptom, but there are many types of financial claim, which include:

- Government bonds (claim on future

tax revenue)

- Mortgages (claim on future household income and property)
- Corporate bonds (claim on company cash flows)
- Bank deposits (claim on a bank)
- Pension promises (claim on future assets and income)
- Derivatives (contractual claims contingent on future events).

Together, these demonstrate that governments, households and financial markets continue to create more claims than can be financed, refinanced and settled easily within the existing architecture. Consequently, creditor institutions are demanding that more assets and income streams get drawn into the system to support the growth of financial claims.

That requires an architecture with greater rigidity, clarity and efficiency which ensures the potential for repayment (settlement) or, when settlement fails, seizure of the asset.

From the creditor perspective, the logical

conclusion is to upgrade the financial architecture. They have now created their blueprint for this system.

The emerging system appears to have three clear strategic objectives to sustain much larger public debt loads:

- a. That requires continuously assessed and monitored refinancing risk to improve confidence in sovereign debt.
- b. Reduce settlement risk via atomic settlement where payment and asset ownership transfer takes place simultaneously or not at all.
- c. And they wish to increase confidence in government short-term sovereign debts, notably treasury bills.

To expand the financeable asset base:

- a. Convert previously idle or illiquid or under-utilised assets into recognised collateral.
- b. Expand the available pool of assets that can behave as collateral to obtain credit.
- c. Reduce dependence upon central bank balance sheet expansion by focusing on the integration of collateral-supported liquidity.

To direct capital toward strategic production:

- a. Promote direct financing of sectors that are deemed strategically important for economic resilience, productivity, and future collateral creation.

Considering all of the above, there could be a move made towards supporting high, sustainable economic growth to increase the income base of the economy to help manage debt. That would be the approach generally adopted by households when confronted with growing debts.

At the national economy level, that would help to ensure that promises can be honoured by higher productivity and higher real income levels.

Sadly, that is not the policy direction of choice.

Instead, they are choosing to increase taxation, while improving the system's ability to finance, refinance and enforce those claims against real assets or income.

A creditor's concern is simply: "If I'm not paid, how do I recover the money I am owed?"

That leads to a natural chain of events:

1. There are too many promises to pay.
2. That increases the risk of non-payment.
3. Creditors demand stronger enforcement methods to recover value.
4. More assets get pledged as legally enforceable collateral.

5. Ownership becomes more visible, verifiable and enforceable.

That means ownership may increasingly incorporate permissions and conditions. The objectives of the creditor class (those who give money, goods or services on the understanding you will pay later) require four fundamental upgrades to the financial system:

1. Monetary upgrade. Increase the speed of settlement, thereby reducing counterparty uncertainty and raising financial system efficiency.
2. Collateral upgrade. To make more assets financeable. Once assets are tokenised they become measurable and verifiable in real time.
3. Asset ownership will become increasingly digital, verifiable and enforceable. As assets become tokenised, ownership is increasingly defined by digitally enforceable obligations and conditions.
4. The new financial system can verify, monitor and enforce financial claims around the clock.

These upgrades fundamentally change how wealth functions within the financial system. The emerging system expands credit by widening the pool of acceptable collateral. More assets become integrated into the financial system becoming digitally recognised collateral. The digital representations – tokens – enhance visibility, transferability and usability of those assets.

Assets are then used to support the debt system and its expansion and refinancing of financial claims – a policy approach that has been used many times before.

That means the rules of the financial game have changed. Credit becomes increasingly selective. Favoured assets will be deemed to be acceptable collateral. The clear danger is that ownership shifts from a clean but imperfect state to one governed by digitally defined rights, permissions and obligations.

The old financial system expanded by creating more debt, much of which was then used as collateral. The emerging financial system expands debt by increasing the quantity, quality and usability of acceptable collateral.

Policymakers could expand the productive income base. Instead, they are expanding the collateral and enforcement base. That is inherently dangerous.

● miles-harris.substack.com:
The Missing Framework Behind the New Financial System:
<https://tinyurl.com/4cb93fts>

TheLIGHT

Sep-Oct 2026 The Uncensored Truth

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free of the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is Creative Commons, 2026. thelightpaper.co.uk

For all subscription issues, please contact:

subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk

For all distribution queries and pre-orders, please email: lightdistribution@mailbox.org

For advertising enquiries, please contact:

ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith Sub-editor: Harry Wundas

Layout: mi.ki Distribution: Fifi Rose & Matt Smith

Advertising: Nicola Kelly Subscriptions: Ross & Rebecca Penname

Proofreading: Alan I, Stevie M, Tracy S, Shane F, David K

Special thanks to every one of our distributors and hubs who volunteer every month to bring you the uncensored news.

To keep our paper FREE is not free – you can help by ordering advance copies, donating or subscribing at:

<https://thelightpaper.co.uk>

‘There is no greater tyranny than that which is perpetrated under the shield of the law and in the name of justice’

Montesquieu

Three layers of control

How technocracy lays the foundation of slavery

by **RUSERE SHONIWA**

WE can trace the birth of technocracy to the publication in 1934 of its bible – the *Technocracy Study Course*.

Operating out of the basement of Hamilton Hall at Columbia University, Marion King Hubbert and Howard Scott produced a 280-page manifesto that proposed a scientifically managed society. Run by engineers and technicians, their vision advocated the replacement of private property, free markets, the price-based monetary system of economics and representative government.

Technocracy was, and is, utopian in the mind of a technocrat, and dystopian to more balanced minds.

In 1937, Scott explained the full sweep of the ideology's frightful ambition: 'Technocracy is the science of social engineering, the scientific operation of the entire social mechanism to produce and distribute goods and services to the entire population.'

'For the first time in human history, it will be done as a scientific, technical, and engineering problem. There will be no place for politics, politicians, finance or financiers, rackets or racketeers. Technocracy will distribute by means of a certificate of distribution available to every citizen from birth to death.'

Money was to be replaced by the issuance of energy certificates, the purpose of which was to track and record, in real time, the production and consumption of every single person within the system.

Thus, the idea of traceable energy certificates encapsulates the ability to control consumption at the individual level – what is consumed, how much is consumed, and when it is consumed.

Fundamentally rooted in scientism, this ideology held that the entirety of society's problems was answerable by science and science alone. Following logically from this viewpoint was that technicians, or technocrats, alone were eligible to run society.

The three layers of control are not theoretical. They have not yet overtaken the economic and social landscape but they have certainly arrived, and the controllers have ambitious plans for their irreversible entrenchment.

The three layers are programmable money, tokenised assets, and digital ID. All three layers of enslavement are



Like Orwell's 'Big Brother', the state's eyes and ears will be everywhere

glued together by blockchain technology, and the computational power driving its efficiency is AI.

Two or three years ago, everyone concerned about liberty and privacy rights was banging on about the threat of Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC), which virtually every single central bank within the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) family was developing and pilot testing.

The concern is that every detail of every transaction is visible to the platform operator which can embed conditions into the completion of the transaction based on the identity of the parties and anything else the platform operator deems fit. This programmable feature of digital money flips it from a medium of exchange into a medium of control.

Every transaction is visible, and digital ID will make every user identifiable. Programmability of the money makes rules enforceable at the moment of the transaction. You do not need police action to enforce economic restrictions when the money itself refuses to complete a prohibited purchase. The enforcement mechanism is embedded in the medium of exchange.

So, what happened to CBDC in the United States? To parry the popular objection, the Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act was passed on July 17, 2025, representing the antithesis. One day later, the synthesis was heralded by the signing of the GENIUS (Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for U.S. Stablecoins) Act, garlanding the road to stablecoins – effectively privatising CBDC.

Six months after taking office, Donald Trump enacted the GENIUS Act, which has been identified as the legislative framework that codifies the stablecoin as the successor instrument to the fiat dollar.

The debt Ponzi scheme is thought to be a fire that's being stoked to justify the 'solution' on the hard road to technocracy. U.S. government debt currently stands at an unfathomable \$39.5 trillion, but by the time you read this article, there's a fair chance that it will have passed the \$40 trillion mark.

What if the debt-based system is not simply failing but is being deliberately driven to failure in order to justify its replacement? That replacement is the tokenised asset-based system in which digital currency is issued as collateral against assets.

When a company 'tokenises' a real-world asset, it takes that asset and divides it into thousands or millions of digital tokens, each representing a tiny fraction of the whole. These tokens can then be traded on digital exchanges, just like stocks.

But your 'rights' to that asset are determined by the conditions programmed into the contract on the blockchain ledger, and the stablecoin used to trade it. The true owners of the asset are the token and digital money platform operators. This is permission-based 'ownership'. In other words, you will own nothing.

The first two layers cannot work without digital ID. This is the final lock.

The digital money platform and the tokenised asset marketplace will

not be accessible without biometric identification. Anonymous participation will be impossible, and every transaction will be linked to a verifiable individual.

The UN is speaking out of both sides of its mouth by promoting a charter of human rights that includes the right to privacy while also advocating digital ID for everyone on Earth under Sustainable Development Goal 16.9.

The idea that a 'unique digital identity' could possibly play any role in poverty alleviation would be insulting to the intelligence of a newt. Before the digital era, did poverty alleviation champions suggest that having a government-issued passport was the first step in putting food on the table?

Tech giant Palantir is essentially the privatised arm of the intelligence services surveillance apparatus. Entities like Palantir specialise in taking data from disparate sources and fusing it into a single environment where patterns can be identified and individuals tracked.

At the International Monetary Fund (IMF) annual meeting in October 2022, Bo Li, the then deputy managing director, spelt out what convergence of these three layers of control means.

He said: "By programming CBDC, the money can be precisely targeted for what kind of people can own, and what kind of use this money can be utilised."

At the IMF Spring Meetings in 2023, Nandan Nilekani, the architect of India's Aadhaar biometric identity system, which enrolled 1.3 billion people, said: "Everybody should have digital ID; everybody should have a bank account; everybody should have a smartphone. Then, anything can be done. Everything else is built on that."

Nothing needs to be confiscated because nothing is ever truly owned. The word 'own' simply loses its meaning.

The control, monitoring and recording of consumption as the means to total social engineering is no longer a theoretical aspiration. The destination has remained unchanged, and we are now years, not decades, away from it.

● **For the full article, visit:**
[plagueonbothhouses.substack.com:](https://plagueonbothhouses.substack.com/)
The Technocratic Endgame:
Part 1 – The New Economic
Infrastructure of Technocracy:
<https://tinyurl.com/4v5suzk4>

They called it ‘grooming’

Decision made to ignore industrial-scale gang rape

by **RAJA MIAH**

THE first girls were not hunted; their lives put them in front of the men.

Girls of 13 and 14, already adrift, out at midnight, hungry, unsupervised, in the only places open to them. The taxi rank. The takeaway. The kebab shop at 2am.

The men were already there, working the counters and driving the cars. The girls kept coming back because there was food, warmth, cigarettes, somewhere to sit.

The alcohol and abuse followed. The man behind the counter first, then his friends. Then the clan. And finally, the trafficking across the country.

Nobody at home notices what time she comes in. He is 37 and he is kind. He answers the phone when she calls. He buys her gifts. He tells her she is his girlfriend, that he loves her, and nobody has ever said that to her before.

The cars began circling the children’s homes. Staff logged the registrations night after night while girls climbed in. These were girls nobody would believe, and the men knew it.

Then the men wanted more than the homes could give them. And they wanted girls that were less problematic, less damaged and more appealing to their growing customer base. So they went after girls with families. Girls with attendance records and packed lunches. Those girls could not be approached by a middle-aged man so the approach came at the school gates instead, from boys the same age or a year or two above. A boyfriend nobody would think twice about. The Telford inquiry recorded exactly this.

Two models for two kinds of girls. The older man offering love to the child who had none at home. The young boyfriend the child from a stable home would never be warned about. The outcome was the same.

Rotherham’s inquiry named four brothers as a focus of concern the authorities failed to act on. And the survivors I have sat with over eight years describe how much further it went.

These girls were moved through the same takeaways, in the same taxis, by the same men who moved everything else they sold. They were heroin dealers, human traffickers with hijab-wearing wives at home.



Raja Miah, campaigner against rape gangs, and the corruption that hides and protects them

The tools were the same at every stage. Alcohol and drugs to soften her. Violence when they stopped working. Girls who resisted were beaten. Rotherham’s inquiry records girls threatened with guns, subjected to rape the inquiry itself described as brutally violent, with one child doused in petrol while a man made her believe she was about to be set alight.

And blackmail, which outlasted everything else. The photographs. The films. What your family will be shown. Tell anyone, and we know where your little sister goes to school. We will do the same to her as we do to you. Sometimes, they did anyway.

The independent inquiry in Rotherham conservatively estimated at least 1,400 child victims in that one town over sixteen years. Fourteen hundred, in a town of a quarter of a million people Telford’s inquiry put its number above a thousand. Rochdale. Oldham. Bradford. Keighley. Oxford. Derby. Huddersfield. Halifax. Birmingham. Newcastle.

Victims – battered, threatened, ashamed – started to come forward. They walked into a police station on their own or with their mum. Or a social worker took her. Or an officer found her drunk at 2am in a car park and, for once, asked the right question.

They would sit in an interview room across from adults with warrant cards and notebooks, and they would be told everything.

Men were named. First names, nicknames, and for the ones they had known longest, full names. They could

She is sometimes as young as eleven. She is not one girl. She is tens of thousands, some argue more, and everything that happens to her in this story comes from the record

name the brothers and say which was which. They described the flat above the takeaway, and named the takeaway and the street.

A fourteen-year-old who has lived inside an operation for a year carries more intelligence about it than a surveillance unit could gather in six months, and she handed all of it over, in a recorded interview, to the people whose job was to act on it.

She left that room believing what any child would believe. Now it stops. Now they go and get him.

The interview was filed. In case notes across these towns, professionals wrote that girls like this were child prostitutes. Their rapes became relationships. Their abuse became a lifestyle choice. The men named were not arrested.

The inquiries that we made happen record what happened instead. Girls were arrested for being drunk and disorderly while the men they were found with faced nothing. Fathers traced their daughters to the houses where they were being abused and tried to bring them home. In two documented Rotherham cases, it was the fathers who were arrested. The daughters stayed inside, with the men.

The men carried on. Same takeaway, same taxi rank, same staircase, new girls.

Officers knew the men, the flats, the registrations, which children’s homes the cars circled after dark. Politicians were warned in writing. They knew the cost at the ballot box, dare they speak out. Nearly every one of them remained silent. Or worse, saw it as a way to secure more power. The files grew for years. In some towns, for decades.

The men only ever managed to frighten them. The state convinced them what happened to them was what they deserved.

In Rotherham, and only in Rotherham, the state was eventually forced to count. The National Crime Agency’s Operation Stovewood is the largest investigation into this crime ever conducted in Britain.

After a decade, it has identified around 1,150 victims in that one town, named some 300 suspects, and convicted 39 men.

Nobody can tell you the national version of those numbers, because no police force, no council and no government ever built the count. The gap between the men the children named and the men who ever saw a courtroom is worthy of note.

A crime this size, this visible, this identified, does not run for 30 years in a hundred towns and cities because nobody noticed. It runs because a choice was made, and the same choice was made everywhere, by officers and officials, by politicians and the journalists in the mainstream press, decades apart, who never met each other.

Incompetence is random. This was not random. This was the industrial-scale gang rape of the nation’s children. They like to call it grooming.

● <https://substack.com/@rajamiah>

Open door for illegal immigration

Crown land and military sites earmarked for new arrivals

by **ALEX G. MACLAREN**

FOR years, the public has repeatedly been told that governments were taking action to stop illegal migration.

But as it transpires, parallel to that political narrative, a management system was being developed.

Parliament was debating the new Crown development powers contained in the Levelling-up and Regeneration Bill in July 2022. The responsible minister, Marcus Jones, explained the proposed development on Crown land to ‘accommodate an influx of refugees’.

The government was simultaneously pursuing a very different public narrative of preventing departures from French territory.

But the UK’s former channel operational commander, Dan O’Mahoney, confirmed to Parliament in 2022 that migrants could make repeated attempts as French authorities did not detain or process people.

So the government knew the system was fundamentally flawed in the sense that an individual could just keep trying until they were successful. Its focus did not seem to be on prevention but on management, as they were building and maintaining the infrastructure to accommodate arrivals.

Former military sites were already being used or considered for asylum accommodation. The government was also legislating a new mechanism for urgent Crown development and the minister specifically mentioned refugees.

There is a difference between something being technically available in the parliamentary record and the public being clearly informed, and in this case the public was not clearly informed.

MPs acknowledged the mechanism was the connection to the government’s asylum accommodation programme. There was also written evidence submitted to parliament warning that the Crown development provision could facilitate large-scale asylum accommodation and reduce the role of normal planning processes.

The Illegal Migration Act 2023 was a major response to the problem. It was a well thought out and comprehensive legal machine. A significant milestone toward delivering what was promised to the public. Its purpose was to establish a duty to remove people arriving in specified circumstances and to prevent those individuals from simply entering the ordinary asylum process.

The Rwanda legislation followed The



Photo: openmigration.org

Governments presented immigration policy as fight against illegal entry while quietly developing state infrastructure for managing those entries

Illegal Migration Act. The Safety of Rwanda (Asylum and Immigration) Act 2024 explicitly stated that its purpose was to prevent and deter unlawful migration by enabling removal to Rwanda.

The political message from these two pieces was clear and unmistakable: deterrence, holding, processing, removal and stopping the boats.

Finally, the UK had real world solutions to a very real problem. But something went wrong and the direction suddenly changed.

A major body of legislation that had been promised and delivered to the public as an effective mechanism for controlling and removing illegal migrants was now dismantled. The Border Security, Asylum and Immigration Act 2025 repealed the Safety of Rwanda Act entirely. It also repealed substantial sections of the Illegal Migration Act.

The dismantling of a preventative strategy happened at exactly the same time as another mechanism was being introduced – a management strategy.

The Crown development system was being brought into operation. Four statutory instruments put the management machinery into effect. It was the mechanism that parliament had already heard could be used, in urgent circumstances, for accommodating an influx of refugees.

This is the core of the uncomfortable truth. This was a real policy divergence that was deliberately coordinated. The state was removing architecture designed around prevention while simultaneously activating a mechanism capable of rapidly providing large-scale accommodation on Crown land while still maintaining and promising the public that they were focusing on

prevention strategies.

The site at Piddington/Bicester has attracted a lot of attention, with many locals voicing their concerns and objections to the proposal. But this wasn’t a location suddenly discovered by the Home Office; the government tried to put an asylum accommodation centre there more than twenty years ago during the early 2000s.

It went through planning scrutiny and the Inspector recommended refusal. The Secretary of State overruled that recommendation but the project was eventually abandoned. The government later considered the same site for an immigration removal centre.

The state has been looking at this site for immigration purposes for decades, and in November 2025 the Home Office returned. The government has now confirmed something particularly important: It says the Home Office first informed the Ministry of Defence in November 2025 that it was considering MoD Bicester for asylum accommodation.

This is months before the issue became publicly prominent this year. The government says feasibility work and surveys were already progressing, yet there has been a notable lack of publicly available documentation in this regard. Then, in July, the government confirmed that the Home Office had submitted an application for urgent Crown development for Bicester.

The very mechanism being used to push this through was the Crown development whose refugee accommodation application had been explicitly discussed in parliament back in 2022.

The evidence supports that the narrative

given to the public about illegal immigration control was false. The evidence supports that the narrative about prevention strategies was false – that management and facilitation was put in front of effective preventative strategies.

The parliamentary record shows that refugee accommodation was explicitly contemplated while the legislation was being made and the asylum accommodation system was already expanding on Crown and former military sites.

The minister explicitly identified refugees as an example of the urgent Crown power. The mechanism was legislated, activated, and the prevention and removal legislation was subsequently substantially dismantled. The Home Office then began using the Crown route to facilitate large-scale asylum accommodation and bypass local authorities.

The last few years have seen the British state pursuing management and facilitation strategies regardless of other proposals, strategies or even legislation that had been brought forward.

Meanwhile, what the public has been led to believe is that the Government was focused on prevention and removal.

Successive governments publicly presented immigration policy primarily as a fight against illegal entry, while simultaneously developing and maintaining a substantial state infrastructure for solely managing the consequences of those entries.

The evidence is alarming, it shows a persistent disconnect between political presentation and reality.

● substack.com/@maclarenagmedia

Guarding the city at night

Community spirit driving force for volunteers combating violence

by SHARON GEORGE

RESIDENTS in Liverpool are patrolling the streets to support communities, help vulnerable people, and encourage residents to look out for one another.

The Liverpool Guardians are a group of volunteers who share a strong belief in community spirit and take pride in the city they call home.

Rather than simply standing on the sidelines, members give up their own time to be present in areas where they believe their presence can make a positive difference.

For the Guardians, community safety is an important part of their work. All team leaders hold DBS (Disclosure and Barring Service) certificates and volunteers are actively encouraged to apply for their own DBS checks.

Members say that concerns around violence and sexual crime are shared by many people across Liverpool, and they want to help people feel safer in their own communities.

A Guardian can be recognised by their blue cap, which is a defining and essential part of their identity and bearing a distinctive logo featuring a capital G, with wings and a sword at its centre.

The blue cap must never be overlooked



The Liverpool Guardians

How to support the Liverpool Guardians

The Liverpool Guardians are currently self-funded but donations can help them continue and expand their community work.

Donations can be made through Ko-fi and Paypal.

Anyone interested in finding out more about the group or volunteering can contact

liverpoolguardians@gmail.com

– it is the key identifying feature of a Guardian.

Most importantly, a Guardian will never act alone. Guardians will always operate as part of a team, working together and following a strict code of conduct. No Guardian is permitted to operate independently or outside of the team structure.

But their work extends beyond crime and safety. They are also passionate about supporting people who are

experiencing difficult circumstances, particularly those facing homelessness.

The Guardians recently teamed up with Liverpool In Arms, supporting the charity’s work providing food and assistance to homeless and vulnerable people in the city.

The partnership reflects what the group says is one of its core principles – treating people with dignity and understanding, regardless of their circumstances.

The group says: ‘Many homeless people did not choose to be in that situation. Sometimes people simply need support, understanding, and an opportunity to get back on their feet.’

For the volunteers, being part of the Liverpool community means more than simply living in the city. It means taking responsibility for helping make the places around them better.

The Guardians are entirely self-funded by their members, meaning the volunteers give both their time and their own money to support the work they carry out.

They say that donations, however small, can help them reach more people and expand the support they are able to provide.

Their message is ultimately one of community – encouraging people to look out for their neighbours, support those who are struggling and take pride in the city they share.

As the city continues to face challenges common to many other communities across the country, groups such as the Liverpool Guardians believe that positive change can begin at a local level, with ordinary people choosing to give their time to help others.

For the Guardians, it is about making a contribution to the city they love – and showing that community spirit is still very much alive in Liverpool.

Gift orders available: Send copies to others

Would you like to see *The Light* in your local pub or shop, or posted to public service institutions or those in positions of power?

Now you can send copies of the uncensored truth directly to the business/institution.

Just make the order on our website and we’ll take care of the rest.

Help us spread the truth and sow seeds so that the people can decide for themselves.



NEW!

TheLIGHT
The Uncensored Truth

ORDER NOW

1 copy for £5
10 copies for £10
20 copies for £15
Up to 50 copies for £25

thelightpaper.co.uk/bulk-order

Mandate leaves bad taste in mouth

Major health risks associated with synthetic folic acid in food

by ANNE JORDAN

THE government is mandating the addition of synthetic folic acid (SFA) to all non-wholemeal wheat flour from December 13.

SFA is a synthetic form of folate which is found occurring naturally in leafy greens, lentils, chickpeas, beans, brussels sprouts, beets, eggs and citrus fruits.

However, SFA does not have the same chemical structure as folate and can accumulate in the liver unmetabolised, along with other major health risks.

From December 13, folic acid will be in bread, pastries, pies, cakes, biscuits, doughnuts, breakfast cereals, pizza bases, pasta and many more foods. To avoid consuming it will be virtually impossible.

The government's sole reason for this addition is because folate deficiency is supposedly a known cause of neural tube



Best switch to wholemeal from December 13

defects (NTDs) in pregnancy. NTDs can cause several serious conditions to babies in the womb, including spina bifida.

In the UK, it is estimated that fortifying non-wholemeal wheat flour with folic acid will reduce NTD rates by around 20 per cent. There are currently around 750 cases of NTD per year, so this could prevent approximately 150 babies being affected.

The NHS guidelines for those who cannot take SFA are those who have ever had an allergic reaction to SFA or any other medicine; have low vitamin B12 levels or

pernicious anaemia; have cancer; have a coronary stent; are having a type of kidney dialysis called haemodialysis

The maximum recommended dosage according to the British National Formulary is 500 microgrammes per day.

This will be easily exceeded by eating a high carb diet, which is generally associated with lower income families.

The government has ignored The Food Safety Act 1990 Section 7 which states: anybody who renders any food injurious to health by means of any of the following operations namely:-

- (a) Adding any article or substance to the food
- (b) Using any article or substance as an ingredient in the preparation of food
- (c) Abstracting any constituent from the food
- (d) Subjecting the food to any other

process or treatment with intent that it shall be sold for human consumption, shall be guilty of an offence

There has been no debate in parliament about this and the NHS was not consulted during the consultation period. Worryingly, there is very little awareness out there among the general public of this mandate so those who cannot take SFA would not even know.

In summary, the government is mandating SFA to be added to non-wholemeal wheat flour in a major staple food with all the associated health risks attached, and to the entire population, solely to possibly avoid 150 babies a year suffering from NTD.

- **Petition:**
<https://tinyurl.com/yhmm4jyr>
- **www.legislation.gov.uk:**
Food Safety Act 1990:
<https://tinyurl.com/3nc35rde>

Warnings over political shake-up

Downside of Local Government Reorganisation we are not told about

by MADELEINE HUNT

HERE are some reasons why we should be worried about Local Government Reorganisation (LGR):


- The asset disposal agenda – LGR is directly tied to the mass sell-off of public property. Councils are liquidating town halls, community centres, and public land to fund 'transition costs' and force communities into centralised, digital-only services.
- The 'statutory override' debt – councils have been hiding billions of pounds in off-book deficits. The government is using this engineered insolvency to force consolidation, demanding LGR as the price of a bailout and shifting local debt onto the national taxpayer.
- The mandate narrative – councils are pushing the line that LGR is compulsory, with Freedom of Information responses from multiple councils stating or suggesting it is mandatory. Legally, under the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007, LGR is initiated by an invitation, not a strict statutory

mandate. With the current LGR, there has been unjustified spending of millions of public funds.

- The illusion of council pushback – while some councils are issuing pre-action protocol (PAP) letters or launching judicial reviews against the government, this is not a principled stand against LGR. These councils are simply competing for power, borders, and funding within the new unitary structure. True resistance, like from the National Residents for Civic Accountability (NRCA), is actually challenging the illegal roots of this entire unjustified agenda.

We must shine a light on the accountable officers. It will be good for the public to learn who is signing the cheques. Chief financial officers and monitoring officers owe a direct fiduciary duty to the local taxpayer. When they authorise unlegislated spending or asset sales for unmandated LGR, they are legally vulnerable to civic audits and judicial reviews.

- **You can help fight back against LGR - the legal campaign is here:**
<https://tinyurl.com/m342w3jt>



The local government reorganisation map. Map: www.lgcplus.com



Solar installations swallowing up essential agricultural land. Photo: Petr Kratochvil

Exposing myth of renewables

Emissions being outsourced to developing world in order to claim green label

by **MARCUS BLACKETT**

MODERN societies run on energy, yet few people stop to consider where that energy really comes from or what physical limits shape our choices.

We often talk about renewable energy as if sunlight and wind alone can power the world, but the reality is more complicated. All usable energy ultimately comes from the Earth, and every system we build to collect it – whether solar panels, wind turbines, coal plants, or nuclear reactors – depends on materials mined from the ground. Physics, not politics, determines what is possible.

For most of human history, sunlight was our primary energy source but not in the way we think of solar power today. Sunlight grew the hay that fed the oxen and horses that powered transportation and agriculture. These were the vehicles of their time, and they required enormous amounts of low-density energy in the form of food.

As societies advanced, they sought denser sources of energy. Whale oil became a major fuel for lighting homes. Then came coal, which transformed the world. Coal is sunlight stored in plants and compressed by geological time into a dense, energy-rich material. When burned, coal produces intense heat, which can boil water, create steam, and drive generators. The first coal-powered train appeared in 1805, marking the beginning of industrial modernity.

Oil followed. Hydrocarbons – molecules of hydrogen and carbon – are even denser than coal. Refined into petrol, diesel, and jet fuel, they allowed machines to do work far beyond what horses could achieve.

A horse needs constant feeding – a car needs only a tank of petrol.

Then came methane (CH₄), which contains more hydrogen relative to carbon than oil does. By weight, methane is even more energy-dense than oil, making natural gas a powerful and efficient fuel.

Finally, humanity unlocked nuclear energy. Uranium and thorium contain extraordinary energy density. A uranium pellet about one centimetre tall and half a centimetre wide contains enough energy to drive a car thousands of miles. Nuclear fission produces heat, boils water, makes steam, and turns a generator – just like coal or gas but with vastly more energy per unit of fuel.

Across centuries, the trend is clear: societies move toward denser energy sources. This transition is not ideological; it is physical. Physics is not negotiable. Politics and economics may try to bend reality but physics always wins.

Today, the world's population can be divided into three broad groups: emerging economies – about four billion people; developing economies – about three billion; the 'lucky' billion – wealthy nations with abundant energy access.

Energy use reflects this divide. Europe and the United States consume about one-third of the world's energy despite having only 16 per cent of the population. They also produce half of the world's GDP. Energy creates wealth.

By contrast, Africa and Asia together hold three-quarters of the world's population but consume only half of global energy and produce one-third of global GDP. That imbalance is a measure of poverty.

Solar and wind are often described as

renewable but the term is misleading. The sun and wind may be renewable but the systems required to collect their energy are not. Solar panels, wind turbines, batteries, and transmission lines are all made from mined materials. They wear out every 15 to 20 years and are rarely recycled. We then dump them into landfills and build new ones.

The deeper issue is energy density. Sunlight and wind are extremely low-density energy sources. You cannot put sunshine directly into your car; you need vast collection systems. According to energy scholar Vaclav Smil, the key metric is surface power density – watts per square metre. Wind has very low surface power density. Solar is better, but still only around 1 to 6 watts per square metre. Dense fuels like coal, gas, oil, and nuclear deliver hundreds to thousands of watts per square metre.

Low density means you must mine enormous quantities of materials to build enough solar panels and wind turbines to power the modern world. And because these systems wear out, you must keep mining indefinitely.

There are also physical limits: night, clouds, and calm days. The sun and wind are intermittent. Modern societies expect electricity 24/7, so intermittent sources require backup systems – usually natural gas plants or giant batteries.

But batteries themselves have extremely low energy density. By weight, giant industrial batteries are 40 times less energy-dense than dung. A Tesla Model S requires about 1,000 pounds of battery – equivalent to roughly 7,000 mobile phone batteries – to achieve its range. This is not

a moral judgment, it is physics.

The future of energy is shaped by density. Methane, hydrogen, and nuclear – both fission and eventually fusion – offer high-density energy that can power modern societies reliably.

Nuclear reactors do not shut down at night or when the wind stops blowing. They provide constant, dense, dependable energy. That is why the global energy transition is slow. In 1965, the world relied heavily on coal, with some oil and a little gas. Today, 80 per cent of energy still comes from coal, oil, and gas, though coal's share is declining.

Solar and wind are growing, but they remain supplements – valuable for diversification and optionality but not replacements for dense fuels.

Many wealthy countries claim to be reducing emissions but often this is because they have outsourced manufacturing to Asia. China and other Asian nations burn enormous amounts of coal to produce the goods consumed in Europe and the United States. The atmosphere is worldwide; greenhouse gases circulate efficiently. Outsourcing emissions is not solving climate change – it is exporting the problem.

Offsets and accounting tricks allow countries and companies to appear green without reducing total actual emissions.

Energy use correlates directly with wealth. The nations that consume the most energy produce the most economic output. Those that consume little remain poor. If the world wants prosperity for all, it must confront the physics of energy density and the limitations of low-density sources.

Chilling fix for 'climate change'

by DR. JACOB NORDANGARD

THIS summer, the discussion about climate change and extreme weather events has been intensified in the media due to the European heatwave.

It is essentially the same worn out propaganda that has been repeated many times the past two decades. As usual, this is used to influence opinion and justify major policy changes.

The former co-president of international climate thinktank Club of Rome, Anders Wijkman, recently wrote in Sweden's leading newspaper *Dagens Nyheter*: 'Political parties must stop burying their heads in the sand. Many are shying away from the depletion of the Earth's vital ecosystems. We see the effects on the news almost every day. Serious alternatives to today's dysfunctional economic system are required.'

So, what kind of solutions does he advocate? In April, seven policy briefs were published by the Climate Governance Commission (CGC), an organisation Wijkman is affiliated with as a 'contributing expert'.

The seven proposals are:

1. Code red for humanity. Issue a UN General Assembly declaration on the human induced 'triple planetary crisis' (climate change, pollution, and biodiversity loss) threatening 'the entire Earth system'. This would 'galvanise momentum for the needed global response'.
2. An international Earth system crisis response mechanism. Set-up of a Planetary Emergency Platform 'to help manage the rapidly accelerating complex consequences of climate change and the crossing of "planetary boundaries".'
3. Strengthening the UN's international climate COPs (Conference of the Parties). This means to ensure 'that cutting-edge Earth system science, including the science of planetary boundaries, shapes the political center of COP.'
4. Enhancing international Earth system monitoring capacity to inform decision making on Earth system management.
5. Establishing a Global Environmental Agency (GEA). A global agency to ensure the effectiveness of global environmental governance.
6. Strengthening international judicial institutions for the environment, moving towards an international environment court.



Back to the dark ages

7. Institutional representatives for future generations. Establishing a UN envoy for future generations and representatives at national, regional, and local levels. Including a 'potential revitalisation of the UN Trusteeship Council to give voice to future generations'.

These are all important steps in setting up a world government structure. This goal has been constantly repeated in these circles since at least 1948 and in books such as *Our Plundered Planet* by Fairfield Osborn Jr and *Road to Survival* by William Vogt.

The CGC was founded in September 2020 during the virtual meeting UN75 Global Governance Forum with the mission to develop global solutions.

There is also the Global Challenges Foundation (GCF) that was founded in 2012. The GCF's New Shape Prize was recently won by international lawyer Maja Groff, the CGC convener and executive director. This is quite telling. Johan Rockstrom, previous board member of GCF, stated at the World

Economic Forum's annual meeting in Davos 2018: "The New Shape Prize is the first ever attempt to invite the whole world to come up with the best possible innovative ideas of how we can find a New World Order, a global governance pathway that will enable us to transition into a desired future where we avoid potentially global catastrophic risks, ranging from the risk of nuclear war, pandemics, inequality, all the way down to climate system collapse."

Notable members of CGC are the former Club of Rome president Sandrine Dixson-Decleve, as well as Arthur Lyon Dahl from the International Environment Forum. Dahl's 'solutions for global governance' was revealed in a chilling statement during the webinar *Time to Rethink the UN – Addressing Major Threats to Human Security*.

He said: "Maybe the best solution to climate change would be a nuclear winter for a few years to cool down the planet very quickly. And maybe, in the longer term, that would be in our best interest than any other solutions tried

at the moment."

Present at this meeting was also Maria Fernanda Espinosa, one of the candidates in the selection process for the next Secretary-General of the UN.

Among the CGC's 14 partnering organisations are Washington-based think tank Stimson Center, Club of Rome, Rockefeller Foundation, World Federalist Movement and the Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors project Global Commons Alliance. The Rockefeller influence is, as always, profound.

The CGC's proposed policy changes resemble many of the proposals in the 2021 UN report, *Our Common Agenda* and the commission's 2023 report, *Governing our Planetary Emergency*. These proposals were, however, not included in the 2024 UN-agreement Pact for the Future. This is something it is now trying to adjust.

In March 2025, World Federalist Movement, Climate Governance Commission and 28 other organisations set up MEGA (Mobilizing an Earth Governance Alliance) with the aim to 'strengthen existing environmental governance mechanisms and establish additional mechanisms.'

The we have the World Federalist Movement with its goal to 'create more effective, transparent, and accountable global governance leading to democratic world federation.'

However, to achieve the desired result, the same democratic principles appear to need to be set aside for a time to create a 'safe operating space' during the 'Earth system crisis response'.

It also entails the establishment of a large-scale real-time monitoring system (a facility for monitoring and reporting on the Earth system) to provide a basis for decision-making – including a council intended to speak for future generations. The question is who will be given the mandate to speak for generations yet unborn?

These proposals appear to have more to do with these actors' visions for world governance and technocratic management of the planet than a genuine concern for the environment. This is the real problem we must face and deal with – before it is too late.

● drjacobnordangard.substack.com: **Code Red for Humanity: Climate Governance Commission advocates large-scale 'real-time' monitoring system to manage the Earth System:** <https://tinyurl.com/zc8mvjyc>

Beware wolf in sheep's clothing

by SHANE FUDGE

Society is playing long game for New World Order

THE recent book by Brendan O'Neill claims that the ascendancy of wokeism since 2020 has now come under threat from the so-called resurgence of populist politics.

In *Vibe Shift: The Revolt Against Wokeness, Greenism, and Technocracy*, O'Neill makes compelling points to support his argument.

These include Donald Trump's return to the White House, corporations ditching DEI (diversity, equity, and inclusion) policies, and the UK Supreme Court reminding everyone what a woman is.

He summarises: 'The ideologies that have so dominated politics – from wokeness to gender ideology to Net Zero – are now colliding with reality and public opinion.'

But is this really the case? Quite aside from what I feel to be O'Neill's misguided assumption that Trump – along with the other mainstream populist puppets – forms part of some sort of heroic vanguard that will save us all from the globalist takeover, what if these ideologies have merely served an initial objective: to confuse, enrage, and divide, smoothing the way for the greater plan to unfold?

After all, even if it is the case that the influence of wokeism has receded, many are still arguing over the same issues, are distracted from the bigger picture, and remain divided and at the mercy of their would-be overlords.

Maybe the architects of 'build back better' are content knowing that these ideological distractions have done the job that was intended and they can now proceed apace.

Over time, the consolidation of the New World Order (NWO), as we currently understand it, has always been shadowed by a patchwork quilt of secret societies and unofficial think tanks.

The input from these societies and think tanks has contributed in some way to both propagating the aims of the ruling classes and integrating them into practical action in mainstream policy.

The Fabian Society has been crucial to the ambitions of the NWO, where its hidden but very real influence has been



Alleged war criminal Tony Blair at the Fabian Society

functioning to keep the principles of socialism and communism at the centre of mainstream government actions, both in the UK and elsewhere.

There have been similar organisations in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and Italy for over a hundred years.

Originally established as a progressive socialist think tank, the Fabian Society has been in existence since 1884. Its fundamental aim has been to engineer socialist-based reform on a piecemeal basis.

The society took its name from the Roman politician and army commander Quintus Fabius Maximus Verrucosus – a military strategist known for his delaying and attritional battle tactics.

Such a strategy has been the modus operandi of the Fabian Society, where, in contrast to Karl Marx's exhortations to violent revolution, its tactics have been characterised by patience, consolidation, and 'the long march through the institutions'. The diversity, equity, and inclusion principles did not occur in a vacuum.

While claiming to support broader issues around equality, inclusion, and social justice, the Fabian Society has first and foremost been about upholding the values and beliefs of the ruling classes – which are of course anything but benevolent towards the masses.

Indeed, many of the original members of the Fabian Society were open advocates of communism. And not just the theoretical communism drafted out by Marx, but the top-down dictatorship favoured by both Lenin and Stalin.

Among those who visited Stalin during his time in power were founding members Beatrice and Sydney Webb, after which, as author Guy Boulianne points out, they 'wrote a massive two-

volume propaganda document for Stalin's Russia titled *Soviet Communism: A New Civilisation* in 1935'.

Indeed, not only did these people admire this political regime but, reflecting on some of the reasons for the failure of a similar revolution in the West, the Fabian perspective on progressive socialism was that the working classes were themselves incapable of transcending the 'false consciousness' of which Marx spoke.

The Fabians were and are strong advocates of the idea that societal revolution must be guided by a middle-class vanguard of intellectuals acting on their behalf.

Politically, therefore, the Fabian Society has positioned itself as one of the leading spokesmen for the working classes – a position that was strengthened by its affiliation with the burgeoning labour movement in the UK in 1900.

The Fabians were firstly involved in the Labour Representation Committee and then actively oversaw its integration into the Labour Party in 1906. This is an influence that the society holds to this day where 141 of 411 Labour MPs are members of the Fabian Society, over half the cabinet, and many in key positions (the Prime Minister Andy Burnham for example).

Long-term observer of its activities, author Guy Boulianne, argues that the influence of the Fabian Society goes well beyond domestic politics in the UK.

He claims that its fingerprints are all over the League of Nations, the UN (in cooperation with the Rockefellers and their Council on Foreign Relations), the EU, and the World Economic Forum.

This was always the aim. In *Fabianism and the Empire: A Manifesto* by the Fabian Society, one of its famous founder

members, George Bernard Shaw, outlined the international, imperialist ambitions of the society, and it was hoped that its culmination would be a one world government driven by a small group of experts as opposed to politicians.

The original Fabian's logo of a wolf in sheep's clothing is particularly illustrative of the society's more unpalatable intentions and how these have come to fruition in recent times.

The welfare state is held up as the Labour Party's crowning achievement in the post-war period and was the result of significant input from the Fabian Society.

But has the welfare state really been an attempt to provide social security and public services to the less advantaged or is it really a bureaucratic mechanism for ensuring state control over its citizens?

Such a perception would be given greater credence with soon-to-come digital ID and possibly a universal income where citizen rights would be entirely dependent upon state compliance.

Has the NHS ever really been about healthcare provision or has it been more concerned with creating medicine-caused conditions and illnesses which facilitate profit-making? The covid vaccination rollout would certainly suggest the latter. Is our education system really about developing full potential, or has it always been about indoctrinating people to stay in their box?

Many parents have taken their children out of schools since 2020, aware that they were part of a social engineering agenda, educating in propaganda i.e. climate change, gender fluidity, and identity politics.

On all these challenges we now face, one needs to look no further than the Fabian Society as principal architects and protagonists in this long-game ideological capture of contemporary politics.

- [www.goodreads.com: The Fabian Society: The Masters of the Conspiracy Exposed:](https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/58489328-the-fabian-society-the-masters-of-the-conspiracy-exposed)
<https://tinyurl.com/2vnc774p>
- [spiked-online.com: Vibe Shift – the new book by Brendan O'Neill:](https://spiked-online.com/2022/05/12/vibe-shift-the-new-book-by-brendan-o-neill/)
<https://tinyurl.com/mrynbbbt>
- [thestealingofamily.co.uk: Fabian Society Members in the Labour Party \(2026\):](https://thestealingofamily.co.uk/fabian-society-members-in-the-labour-party-2026/)
<https://tinyurl.com/ycyj86m8>
- [francesleader.substack.com: The Fabians:](https://francesleader.substack.com/p/the-fabians)
<https://tinyurl.com/yc49yhwu>

Acting up over bid to gag journalists

Government passes new terrorism law in just 29 days

by **THOM ASTER**

ON 9 June 2026, the Government introduced the National Security (State Threats) Bill and just 29 days later it was law.

There was apparently a real problem to solve. Ministers cited a growing threat from hostile states and their proxies, including espionage, sabotage, cyber operations, intimidation and violence.

The new regime gives the Home Secretary power to designate bodies involved in foreign power threat activity, then applies serious criminal charges to people who support, assist or obtain material benefits from them.

On July 17, the first three bodies were designated: Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps, the Islamic Movement of Companions of the Right, and Russia's GRU Volunteer Corps.

Nobody needs to pretend those organisations are harmless to ask a different question: how did legislation carrying prison terms of up to 14 years reach parliament when journalists themselves warned that ordinary news reporting could be caught up under the Act's wide remit?

The Bill's new section 17C treated information as a material benefit. As originally drafted, obtaining, accepting or agreeing to accept information from a designated body could constitute an offence where the statutory conditions were met.

A 'reasonable excuse' defence existed for retaining a benefit, but not for obtaining or accepting the information in the first place.

For a foreign correspondent, investigator, humanitarian worker or conflict mediator, that distinction was not academic.

Their job can require asking dangerous people questions.

David Anderson KC, the former Independent Reviewer of Terrorism Legislation, warned that foreign correspondents could be placed at risk. Jonathan Hall KC, the current Independent Reviewer of State Threats Legislation, separately recommended extending the reasonable excuse defence to the receipt of information.

The Society of Editors called for explicit protection. Index on Censorship warned public interest journalism could be chilled. The House of Lords Constitution Committee formally described the Bill as being fast-tracked. It noted that the government's



Censorship fears were raised over National Security (State threats) Bill. Photo: Eden

original explanatory material said the powers should be available 'as soon as reasonably practicable' but initially gave little further explanation for why Parliament needed to move at such speed.

The same committee raised another concern – the Act allows an urgent designation procedure under which serious criminal consequences can begin before Parliament has given prior approval.

To Parliament's credit, the clearest journalistic problem was eventually addressed.

On June 30, the Lords agreed an amendment creating a reasonable excuse defence where the alleged material benefit consists of information.

The government accepted it; the Commons agreed the Lords amendments on July 6.

Ministers explicitly said the defence could cover a journalist conducting an interview. Current Home Office guidance now says legitimate journalistic activity is not criminalised and that the reasonable excuse defence applies when obtaining information from a designated body.

That correction matters.

It would be dishonest to tell readers that the final Act simply makes journalism punishable by 14 years in prison. But it would be equally dishonest to pretend the controversy never existed.

The safeguard was not sitting comfortably in the original Bill. It appeared after warnings from senior legal reviewers, parliamentarians and press freedom organisations, during a legislative process compressed into less than a month.

And it is a defence, not a blanket exemption removing every journalistic

interaction from the legislation. Other offences have their own thresholds.

The support offence, for example, is not triggered merely by reporting what a designated body says. It requires support for a prohibited purpose prejudicial to the safety or interests of the UK.

The assistance offence similarly contains tests around materially assisting UK-related activities. Those distinctions matter, and so do the sentences.

The principal support, assistance and material-benefit offences can carry up to 14 years imprisonment. Agreeing to accept a material benefit carries up to ten years.

The government points to another safeguard: prosecutions require the consent of the Attorney General. Taken together, those protections make the final Act considerably more defensible than the Bill that first entered Parliament.

The story is not that Britain secretly outlawed journalism. It did not.

The story is that a Bill designed to protect democracy from hostile states was pushed through parliament at extraordinary speed while people whose job it is to test national security legislation were identifying consequences its drafters had not adequately closed off.

That is exactly when scrutiny matters most. Governments invariably ask for speed in the language of necessity. Sometimes the necessity is real: the threat from state-backed violence, sabotage and intimidation is real.

But urgency and scrutiny are not opposites. If a law can expose somebody to a decade or more in prison, parliament should be most careful when ministers say

there is no time to be careful.

The final amendment protecting legitimate receipt of information proves the point.

Few will lose sleep over the state making life harder for organisations accused of directing violence or hostile operations. But that is not the constitutional test.

The test is whether we are comfortable with the power itself when the names change, the government changes, and the political climate changes with them.

A free press cannot operate on the assumption that future ministers, prosecutors and officials will always interpret broad national security powers exactly as today's ministers do.

That is why the eleventh-hour amendment matters. Not because it proves the system failed. Because it proves scrutiny worked, just in time.

● [Read more at thomaster.substack.com](https://thomaster.substack.com)

SOURCES / BACKGROUND

1. **UK Parliament, National Security (State Threats) Act 2026, stages:** <https://tinyurl.com/4sb3j5zy>
2. **House of Lords Constitution Committee, National Security (State Threats) Bill:** <https://tinyurl.com/3jwzdvzp>
3. **Jonathan Hall KC, Independent Reviewer of State Threats Legislation, Note on Receipt of Information, 25 June 2026:** <https://tinyurl.com/49tv522a>
4. **House of Lords Hansard, 30 June 2026, Amendment 17A:** <https://tinyurl.com/v7rb8frk>
5. **House of Commons Hansard, 6 July 2026:** <https://tinyurl.com/2wh8fc3y>
6. **Home Office, National Security (State Threats) Act 2026: information for organisations:** <https://tinyurl.com/yc29my2k>
7. **Home Office, First groups designated as threat to national security, 17 July 2026:** <https://tinyurl.com/ybh35wec>
8. **Society of Editors, Society calls for clear legal protections for journalists in UK state threats bill, 30 June 2026:** <https://tinyurl.com/2weum2j8>

Fall of academia and rise of globalism

Former academic *Dr. David A. Hughes* interviewed by *The Light*

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

RICHARD HOUSE [RH]: You have a very impressive academic background. What are the specific capacities and skills that an impeccably academic approach can bring to analysing globalism compared to journalists or media presenters?

DAVID A. HUGHES [DH]: An academic approach is about constructing an evidence-based argument that makes an original contribution to knowledge. It is not about merely reporting facts, claims and opinions. Fundamentally, it is about getting to the truth, as opposed to offering a product for consumption.

Inherent to this is critical thinking, which involves challenging received knowledge and having the courage to go against majority opinion where necessary. This often requires expertise in the subject matter, so as to bring relevant knowledge to bear and set things in their proper context.

Academics are trained in methodological rigour which is required if the argument is to withstand scrutiny. Done well, academic writing is logically structured, making the argument easy for the reader to follow. Fundamental principles of academic integrity must be adhered to, such that there is no attempt to mislead or deceive.

Academic work involves accurately specifying sources and not just claiming ‘sources say...’ or ‘the BBC has learned that...’. Moreover, it requires vetting those sources for their reliability.

All of this requires hard work to get right, and cannot be done on the fly. Academic work does move more slowly than journalism, but at its best, it offers more reliable information, deeper insights, and a firmer foundation on which to stand in the information war.

RH: As an ex-academic myself, I can scarcely imagine a better description than this. So what tactics does the academic world deploy to silence or trash high-quality, impeccably argued academic work that reaches findings that contradict, and/or expose the corruption of, mainstream narratives?

DH: Academia refuses to challenge official narratives that cover up deep-state criminality. My peer-reviewed free book *“Covid-19,” Psychological Operations, and the War for Technocracy (Vol. 1)*, for instance, which appeared with the publisher Palgrave Macmillan, has had over 400,000 downloads at the publisher’s website alone, deals with a topic of immense significance, presents mountains of evidence, and offers original analysis for the benefit of humanity.

Such criteria should surely be enough to prompt substantial academic engagement with the book; yet 28 months later, there has been virtually none.

Several factors explain academia’s complicity with the crimes of the deep state. One is the growing integration of the profession with the national security state, since World War Two at least.

Most academics are reliant on winning external research funding, and this typically comes from governments, foundations and large corporations, which have a vested interest in protecting the establishment. Funding is not awarded for system-challenging research.



David A. Hughes

There is a strong element of socialisation involved. Consciously or unconsciously, academics know that their career will be jeopardised by speaking truth to power. They therefore intuit which questions not to ask. Similarly, university administrators are too worried about ‘reputational damage’ to make the truth their priority.

This creates an environment in which an ethos of defending power prevails. It is a form of groupthink. For instance, when I asked critical, evidence-based questions about the events of 9/11 in a mainstream peer-reviewed academic journal, dozens of academics from around the world abused me on social media. Professor Tim Hayward has written about this debacle.

RH: ‘...unconsciously intuit[ing] which questions not to ask’ – there’s enough material for a conference there! Tell us about your two books; are they part of a wider project? Over 400,000 readers is something most academics can only dream of!

DH: My two books – *“Covid-19,” Psychological Operations, and the War for Technocracy (Volume 1)* and *Wall Street, the Nazis, and the Crimes of the Deep State* – both aim to make sense of macro shifts in the global political economy since 2020. The first explores the psychological warfare operation waged against populations transnationally under the disguise of a ‘pandemic’, and the second traces continuities between 1930s Nazi Germany and the West as we know it today. I am currently working on Volume 2 of the covid book; most chapters are complete and available for free at <https://davidahughes.net/tag/volume2/>.

Yes, 400,000 downloads is gigantic for an academic monograph. My book blows most other academic books out of the water in terms of readership. Nevertheless, not a single academic anywhere in the world has offered any substantive engagement with it, because the profession is virtually incapable of challenging official narratives. I often joke that my book has set the world record for the ratio of downloads to academic citations.

RH: How has it worked out for you, leaving academia and going independent; what are you working on now; and how can readers support your vital work?


DH: Leaving a secure career to do this work was a huge leap of faith. I took it because the pursuit of the truth has always been fundamental to my adult life, and I felt that was no longer possible, ironically, in academia.

Going solo has been a big challenge, as any self-employed person will understand. On the one hand, I love not having a line manager to answer to, I am relieved to have ditched the admin that came with the academic career, I like being able to write about what I want, I can structure my time as I see fit, and I am spurred on by getting positive feedback from readers/viewers.

That said, it is a far more precarious existence. I seldom know what each new day will bring. Subscriber numbers fluctuate, censorship measures encroach, bad actors attack, and it is almost certain that I am being monitored by the state. And the worst part of it is having to file my tax return!

● *Dr David A Hughes is a former academic who left the profession in 2024 to speak truth to power. He is known for his work on totalitarianism and psychological operations, the Omniwar concept, and the ‘three camps of awareness’ framework.*

David’s books - available through the links below



RESOURCES

- *“Covid-19,” Psychological Operations, and the War for Technocracy:* <https://tinyurl.com/k9x43syh>
- *Wall Street, the Nazis, and the Crimes of the Deep State:* <https://tinyurl.com/3j6m89yf>
- *David’s website:* <https://davidahughes.net/>
- *Tim Hayward’s article:* <https://tinyurl.com/5a9eefrd>
- *Omniwar symposium:* <https://www.omniwar.org/>

The climate emergency scam

by **RICHARD ADAM**

LAST month, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration published its latest tracking temperature anomalies (see Graph 1).

Foolishly, I had thought that once temperatures were recorded, they stayed recorded as such, but to my surprise, the temperature for March 2016 has now been recorded as higher than when it was recorded ten years before (see Graph 2).

The latest graph released this year has March 2016 at +1.26C, making it warmer than 2016's graph for March of that year when it was recorded as +1.15C, an increase of 0.11C.

I've come to understand that when the government uses words like 'emergency' and 'temporary' they mean the exact opposite.

'Emergency' is something designed and planned for decades. Dust sits thick on legislation prepared years ago, but ready for use at a moment's notice.

Similarly, when 'temporary' doesn't have a use-by label attached, e.g. on a temporary building, the structure will be there until it rots.

What these words do mean is that the government is providing a sort of local anaesthetic to get the money out of your wallet relatively painlessly. They have 'temporary' and 'emergency' plans for us cooked up all the time.

Hence the 'climate emergency' declared by the Government in 2019, and later, by local councils, almost certainly did not mean what most people thought at the time.

I'm told that in Buddhism there is a saying: 'If you are not in a state of perpetual amusement, you need to pay more attention to what is going on around you.'




For myself, my state of perpetual amusement at these absurdities ends when my money is extracted from me for the purposes of paying for their climate emergency protocols.

Thus in 2020 I ended my membership subscription to my *Engineering Institution* over their support for the climate scam.

I applied my engineering skills to the question of what, if anything, could be a likely cause of increases in global temperatures over the last several decades.

What we need to realise is that water vapour provides the Earth's greatest greenhouse gas effect. We also know that volcanic eruptions in the past have affected the global climate.

In January 2022, a massive volcanic eruption at Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha'apai in the South Pacific blew 146 million tons of seawater into the stratosphere. Following



this, there was a big peak in worldwide temperatures in February 2024.

The rise in temperatures and the quantity of seawater allows a calibration of global temperature rise versus increased tons of H₂O in the stratosphere.

And it turns out that the weight of water vapour emitted to the atmosphere by air travel each year is similar in size to those tons of water hurled up into the stratosphere by that volcano.

So could it be possible that those water vapour emissions from air travel over the years could be approximated to increases in world temperatures?

I've come to understand that when the government uses words like 'emergency' and 'temporary' they mean the exact opposite

I made a chart to find out and was surprised at how closely temperature changes tracked increases in aircraft emissions. I've added some prominent volcanic eruption instances (see Graph 3).

I have predicted that worldwide temperatures would decline to +1.0C above baseline in December of 2027. Now, I'm not so sure how accurate that might be, given the absurdities set out above, and also forecasts that more fuel will be consumed in 2026 and 2027.

Meanwhile, I'm paying for this 'climate emergency' through taxation.

If councillors could be held personally liable for climate emergency costs, the embarrassment to them alone might bring their rapid capitulation.

I have no idea how much of my money my local council has spent on this since they invoked the climate emergency but I suspect the scam extends to tax breaks and carbon taxes.

I'm being scammed. I am not amused. I want my money back.

- [1] *Global Year-to-Date Temperature Anomalies:*
<https://tinyurl.com/bdd9h83w>
- [2] *Year-to-Date Global Temperature:*
<https://tinyurl.com/y6ar5wxz>
- [3] *Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha'apai Eruptions:*
<https://tinyurl.com/mrpjmw5z>



Trilateral Commission

Together with RIIA (Chatham House), devise foreign policy for major countries of the world. The idea that nations go it alone and stand against the empire or there is a clash of civilisations is an illusion. "There are no countries, Mr. Beale...the world is a business." *Network*, 1976.



B
Q
sh
ge
by
Ja
W
ul
is
ev
si
th



Freemasons

Most of the famous names you have ever heard of were freemasons or similar affiliation. Entertainers, politicians, scientists, writers: all members of the same club, hence all singing from the same hymn sheet.



Foundations

Charitable foundations are the means by which members can launder money and use it for political means across the world. Donating huge sums to universities, civic projects, health services and more, which translate to huge leverage and influence.



Skull and Bones

Covered in detail in last month's issue: many American presidents, senators, ambassadors etc. have been 'tapped' for this exclusive order. Many other Ivy League universities have similar societies with similar alumni.



Jesuit Order

Formed to fight the reformation of the early 16th century, eventually the Jesuits infiltrated every intelligence service in every country. Their oath of allegiance is horrifying.

common

Supposed charity s
Middleton in 1989,
managers could bu
policy through the
kind-hearted, horri
workers. The horro
still not widely tal
recent and very evi
of such 'training' b

Real network



wellcome trust

Gates Foundation



Who runs t

Savarian Illuminati

Quickly adapting and reshaping every time it gets exposed, formed in 1773 by Meyer Amschel Rothschild, Jacob Frank and Adam Weishaupt, who created the intimate secret society which is known to have orchestrated every major revolution and war since its inception, including the American one.



Fabian Society

Over 100 current sitting Labour MPs are self-proclaimed Fabian society members, whose logo used to be a wolf inside a sheep. Their goal was the slow capture of the institutions of state without being detected.



Rhodes Round Table

A society set up so the British Empire could take over the rest of the world and install its industrial advances everywhere.

Connected to the Round Table: Council on Foreign Relations, The United Nations, The Bilderberg Group, Club of Rome, Royal Institute of International Affairs and the Trilateral Commission.

arks of power



The Rothschilds
Banking Dynasty



the world?



et up by Julia
so public service
lly government
objections of
fied, vociferous
rs of the covid era,
ed about, are a
dential example
eing put to use.



Rosicrucians

One of the many 'spiritual' type closed orders which lean heavily on new age, esoteric philosophies such as gnosticism, theosophy and hermeticism.

YOU will notice that no government or public office is among these, because that is not where real power lies in the world. The fact that any prominent politician gets humiliated, removed or murdered if they go against what real power wants is the most basic testament to this fact.

Democracy would be nice if we had it and were involved in any real decisions, but we get to vote every four or five years for the middle managers of our regions, that's all. All major decisions for the direction of the world at every level, from education, healthcare, human rights and equality, mass immigration, news, media and 'entertainment', economies, businesses, currencies, standards and enforcement are taken far above national government level.

This basic knowledge should be widely disseminated, while people still believe they live in some form of republic or representative democracy, which couldn't be further from the truth.

Century of Zionist domination

American politics infiltrated by pro-Israel lobby groups

by **SERENA WYLDE**

THE tightly coordinated Zionist project of creating a Jewish state in Western Asia, initiated in the 19th century, involved every conceivable form of clandestine activity.

From Alison Weir's book *Against our Better Judgment: The hidden history of how the U.S. was used to create Israel*, we learn that in 1913, an academic named Horace M. Kallen founded an elitist secret society called the Parushim.

According to former *New York Times* editor and author Peter Grose, this means 'separate' in Hebrew. The Parushim grew out of Harvard's Menorah Society and its aim was to covertly push Zionism in the U.S. and internationally.

One of its leaders was prominent Jewish American attorney Louis Brandeis, who in 1915 became head of the international Zionist Central Office, having moved to America from Germany.

And in 1916, Brandeis was appointed to the Supreme Court by President Woodrow Wilson. From this position, he would exercise immense political power, in contravention of all ethical principles of judicial reserve.

Brandeis recruited ambitious young men, often from Harvard, to work for the Zionist cause.

Australian journalist Peter Grose wrote of the Parushim: 'As the Harvard men spread out across the land in their professional pursuits, their interests in Zionism were kept alive by secretive exchanges and the trappings of a fraternal order. Each invited initiate underwent a solemn ceremony, swearing the oath "to guard and to obey and to keep secret the laws and the labour of the fellowship, its existence and its aims".'

Israeli professor Dr. Sarah Schmidt described it as 'a secret guerrilla force'.

The Zionist strategy for colonising Palestine was first to try to buy up the land, and if that failed, to use force to drive out the inhabitants. So from the late 1930s to 1948, they organised illicit gunrunning operations in the U.S. to supply weaponry to Zionist terrorist groups they had created in Palestine, to fight both the Palestinians and the British.

Virtually every senior Foreign Service diplomat, American State Department chief, and top military advisor voiced strenuous opposition to the plan of partitioning Palestine, on moral grounds,



Protesters outside the AIPAC headquarters in Washington D.C. Photo: Carolmooredc

on grounds of U.S. interests, and on the grounds that it violated every principle laid down in the U.N. Charter, as well as every principle on which American concepts of government were based.

The State Department's Director of Policy Planning, George F. Kennan, issued a top-secret document in January 1947 that outlined the enormous damage done to the U.S. by the partition plan.

The director of the State Department's Office of Near Eastern and African Affairs,

Internal State Department memorandum accurately predicted how Israel would be born through aggression masked as defence

Loy Henderson, consistently opposed the Zionist proposal, maintaining that such a partition would have to be implemented by force, was "not based on any principle" and "would guarantee that the Palestine problem would be permanent and still more complicated in the future."

An internal State Department memorandum accurately predicted how Israel would be born through aggression masked as defence.

In 1949, after what Israel calls its war

of independence in which it ruthlessly forced as many non-Jews as possible from their homes, the Jewish state extended its territory to 78 per cent of Palestine.

The State of Israel was not forged through debate, agreement and consent, but through blackmail, bribery and bullets.

In the 1880s, the Zionists realised that the American political framework was uniquely susceptible to organised external pressure – because the very elements of a system designed to support democracy could be subverted.

America's first president, George Washington, in his farewell address warned that the American system of government could all too easily be exploited by a group with a "passionate attachment" to a foreign country.

A new book entitled *America in the Grip of a Foreign Power: Israel's Lobby*, written by Eli Clifton and Ian Lustick, describes how all the most determined U.S. presidents of the last 80 years were coerced and blackmailed into supporting Israel.

Starting with the Harry S. Truman years, they move on twenty years to President Gerald Ford who commissioned a 'reassessment' of U.S. policy in the Middle East in the face of continuous Israeli intransigence towards a comprehensive Arab-Israeli peace agreement.

The unanimous recommendation was to pressure Israel to withdraw from the West Bank and the Gaza Strip in order to achieve enduring peace. Ford was ready to adopt the recommendation when fierce opposition from the main Israel lobby organisations culminated in the American-Israeli Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) drafting a letter that it managed to get signed by 76 senators.

Next up to have his peace initiative comprehensively sabotaged was Jimmy Carter, of whom Clifton and Lustick write: 'The president set his sights on a peace treaty resolving the core issues of the Arab-Israeli conflict. Carter voiced his belief in March 1977 in the need for a Palestinian homeland as a key feature of the Middle East peace he wished to achieve.' His public consideration of a Palestinian homeland sparked outrage in the Israel lobby as they mobilised against him.

Negotiations were scaled back. A Palestinian homeland would no longer feature as a central issue. And a separate peace deal was signed between Israel and Egypt which led to the isolation of Egypt in the Arab world and the assassination of Egyptian president Anwar Sadat in 1981. As the authors point out: 'It also set the stage for Israel's invasion of Lebanon in 1982 and settlement projects that resulted in Israel's de facto annexation of the West Bank and the Gaza Strip.'

President George H.W. Bush also trod a similar path. And when it came to Obama, the authors write: 'No president before him had entered the White House with such detailed knowledge of the Israeli-Palestinian dispute, such a record of personal engagement with both Jews and Palestinians, and such sustained commitment to closer American relations with Arabs and Muslims.' But he too would be blackmailed into dramatically reversing course. And in his memoir, *A Promised Land*, he complains about the American Israel Public Affairs Committee's ability to cow U.S. politicians into obeisance by invoking fears of being labelled antisemitic.

Of all the covert means they use to secure their goals – money, propaganda and censorship – the Israel lobby's naked exploitation of the word antisemitic has to be the most craven ploy.

Now in a position to silence politicians, journalists, professors and university students across the world, it is not only America that must find the courage to fight for its freedom, but all of us.

PR disaster for tech colonialism

Backlash over school that ‘threatened national sovereignty’

by **GIL DURAN**

MALAYSIAN officials have ordered an education camp – set up to promote plans to replace existing nations with smaller countries run by tech companies – to shut down operations.

The so-called school, run by Network State’s Balaji Srinivasan, claimed to be creating a new nation on Malaysian soil.

Onn Hafiz Ghazi, chief minister of the Malaysian state of Johor, said in a media statement that the Network School’s business license had been revoked.

He had previously said: “The state government and local authorities will not compromise on any breach of laws or matters that could affect national security, sovereignty, and interests.”

Srinivasan has described the Network School as a co-working camp for tech entrepreneurs who seek to create their own countries.

He kept the details vague but initial reports suggested he had acquired an island for the school somewhere near Singapore, where he lives. In reality, it appears he had leased space in the failed Forest City housing development, a ‘ghost city’ on a small island off Johor, Malaysia.

The Network School ran afoul of Malaysian authorities after reports emerged it had allowed Israelis to participate, in violation of Malaysian law which bars Israeli citizens from entering Malaysia.

Investigators did not find any Israeli passports at Network School but the controversy put Srinivasan’s cult compound under scrutiny.

Suddenly, thousands of Malaysians became aware of the goals of the Network State cult which seeks to create new tech countries via a model Srinivasan has described as ‘tech Zionism’.

News agency Reuters reported: ‘Concerns have also been raised over Srinivasan’s mission, outlined in his 2022 book *The Network State*, to set up new decentralised communities separate from existing nation states, which some social media users said posed risks to Malaysia’s sovereignty.’

The reported Zionism-inspired colonial ambitions did not go over well in the Muslim-majority country. Neither did Srinivasan’s wrong-headed response to the scandal.

Srinivasan had demanded a meeting



A Malaysian anti-Network School poster. Photo: [thenerdreich.com](https://www.thenerdreich.com)

with Malaysian Prime Minister Anwar Ibrahim, threatening a major withdrawal of tech investment if his demands were not met. This led some Malaysians to charge him with arrogance and demand his expulsion.

In addition, Malaysians raised fears that their country was being targeted by a high-tech colonial scheme similar to Prospera in Honduras, where Network State-aligned tech moguls funded a new settlement and are suing the Honduran government for nearly \$11bn.

A similar city-building scheme has been proposed for Greenland which President Donald Trump keeps threatening to take from Denmark.

The Network State’s main billionaire backers are also major supporters of Trump, including Coinbase CEO Brian Armstrong and venture capitalist Marc Andreessen. Srinivasan formerly worked at Coinbase and Andreessen Horowitz.

Over the weekend, it became clear that Network School did not have a future in Johor. Thousands of Malaysians excoriated Srinivasan on social media, ordering him to leave the country. Among other things, they expressed outrage over the claim that Network School was located on an “island near Singapore” which they

interpreted as a major snub to Malaysia.

Srinivasan, who seeks to remake the world order, failed at the most basic steps of diplomacy. His bombastic marketing came back to bite him, providing the outrage fuel that brought his Malaysia project crashing down in less than a week. His impertinent demand to meet with Malaysia’s prime minister blew up in his face and likely expedited Network School’s ejection.

‘Super excited for this to go down as one of the worst/best own goals ever scored in the history of entirely avoidable PR disasters,’ said one observer on social media.

In the end, Network State’s cancellation was decided by the Iskandar Puteri City Council, a move that put Srinivasan in his place.

“No investor, company, or organisation can be placed above the sovereignty of the country’s law,” said Johor’s Chief Minister.

What’s amazing is how quickly Malaysians acted. While the U.S. media largely ignores the Network State ideology (even as it swallows the U.S. government whole), Malaysia wasted no time in pushing back on its explicit goals. In

thousands of social media posts, citizens expressed fear of a billionaire-backed cult that seeks to overthrow the current order.

They wondered why such an organisation was building its headquarters in their country. Now, it’s history.

It’s a model for how the rest of the world should respond when the Network State comes knocking.

Srinivasan responded with dramatic measures to cover up his astounding defeat. After denying that Network School would leave Malaysia and going silent on X, he posted a video of a ceremony in Kazakhstan where he apparently signed a rush agreement to open a new campus. Kazakhstan has proven to be more friendly to the Network State and is even planning a ‘crypto megacity’ near the capital of Astana.

‘I am pleased to announce that a memorandum of understanding has been signed between the Republic of Kazakhstan and Network School,’ wrote Srinivasan. ‘Our new campus will become a haven for global techno-optimism’.

FURTHER READING

- www.thenerdreich.com: *Malaysia crushes network school balaji flees to Kazakhstan*: <https://tinyurl.com/u792pwsu>
- www.thenerdreich.com: *Balaji Srinivasan’s Tech Cult Threatens Malaysia*: <https://tinyurl.com/2suw73t5>
- www.wired.com: *A Mysterious School for the Network State Crowd Is Now in Session*: <https://tinyurl.com/4tdc8hbj>
- [newrepublic.com](https://www.newrepublic.com): *The Tech Baron Seeking to Purge San Francisco of “Blues”*: <https://tinyurl.com/hhvrp5az>
- docs.house.gov: *How a Start-Up Utopia Became a Nightmare for Honduras*: <https://tinyurl.com/vm2j4jdh>
- astanatimes.com: *Kazakhstan’s Cryptocity: More Than Special Economic Zone Experiment?*: <https://tinyurl.com/4wsuypn7>



NATO members meet to discuss war opportunities. Photo by U.S. Air Force Master Sgt. Jerry Morrison

Clinton's raw deal for Russia

Declassified documents reveal NATO's hidden expansion plans

by **ALEX G. MACLAREN**

THE expansion of NATO was presented by the Clinton administration not as a response to Russia's desires but as a project pursued irrespective of them with deliberate efforts to manage the inevitable backlash.

Declassified documents and internal memos from the U.S. NSC (National Security Council) and supporting information from the U.S. National Security Archive reveal a clear intention to move forward with NATO enlargement while simultaneously attempting to limit Russian opposition through carefully constructed diplomatic manoeuvres.

These documents demonstrate that U.S. policymakers viewed any initiatives such as the Partnership for Peace as stepping stones towards expansion. The goal was always NATO enlargement, with the 'inclusive security architecture' rhetoric serving primarily as a public relations tactic.

Crucially, the administration recognised and exploited Russia's vulnerability. Former U.S. National Security Advisor Anthony Lake's memos reveal that the now former Russian president Boris Yeltsin's

greatest fear was appearing weak and irrelevant. This allowed them to leverage negotiations and offer incentives like G-8 membership but it was never to reach a genuine compromise, but a trick to control the narrative and prevent Russia from effectively opposing NATO expansion.

The resulting 'NATO-Russia Founding Act' wasn't a mutual agreement built on shared understanding but rather a carefully orchestrated process designed to minimise Russian resistance while allowing the U.S. to achieve its strategic objectives. The administration actively worked to avoid any 'joint decision-making' and insisted Russia refrain from public criticism, even as it privately protested.

The documents reveal a deliberate strategy of downplaying Russian concerns in public diplomacy, focusing instead on building an image of inclusivity while quietly pushing forward with enlargement.

This created a situation where Russia was left to reluctantly accept the expansion, without any real ability to shape the outcome or prevent it. The subsequent protests from Russia are not evidence of broken commitments, but rather a reaction to being tricked into a situation where Russia was presented with a *fait accompli*.

In essence, the U.S. pursued a path of strategic hedging, taking what it wanted while attempting damage limitation through carefully managed communication and exploiting the weakness it manufactured in Russia.

This resulted in a verbal understanding that prioritised U.S. interests, leaving Russia feeling side-lined and quite rightly betrayed by a process framed as collaborative, but designed for NATO advancement. The ultimate outcome was the U.S. achieving its goals at Russia's expense, resulting in the broken trust and misaligned expectations that fuelled the current tensions.

Clinton-era officials were well aware of Russia's deep concerns. This did not translate into a more balanced or refined agreement – a point underscored by the subsequent inclusion of numerous Eastern European nations into the alliance.

Critically, these documents confirm Russia's long-held contention that NATO expansion violated a tacit pact made through a complex series of understandings and commitments during the Clinton years, a claim now cited by President Vladimir Putin.

The original vision of a 'Partnership

for Peace' including both Russia and Ukraine was merely a stepping stone and abandoned in favour of eastward expansion, based purely on the belief that Russia would not resist, a significant strategic miscalculation.

President Donald Trump's repeated engagements with Putin and his outspoken desire for improved relations present a potential opportunity to correct past missteps and forge a more stable future.

His willingness to speak directly with President Putin, despite criticism from some quarters, is testament to his commitment to finding common ground and de-escalating tensions.

The path forward will undoubtedly be complicated and the declassified documents from the Clinton administration serve as a powerful reminder that ignoring the security concerns of major powers can have devastating consequences.

President Trump's efforts to restore dialogue and rebuild relationships to avert further conflict and build a more peaceful future is an opportunity we cannot afford to ignore.

● substack.com/@maclarenagmedia

Collapsing society from within

Carney pulls strings on globalist takeover of Canada

by **PAUL GREGORY**

WHAT is unfolding in Canada is not politics – it is a hostile takeover by global forces.

It is using one of the most sophisticated and destructive plans ever devised to collapse a free society from within – and it is being mirrored across the world.

The man chosen to spearhead the takeover is former Governor of the Bank of England Mark Carney. He was not elected but selected. His loyalty is not to the Canadian people.

It is to the World Economic Forum

(WEF), the United Nations (UN), the Bank for International Settlements, and other unelected international institutions that aim to erase national sovereignty and individual freedom.

The utilised Cloward-Piven Strategy aims to stimulate ‘militant anti-poverty groups’ to facilitate a ‘political crisis’ by overloading the welfare system via an increase in welfare claims, forcing the creation of a system of guaranteed minimum income and ‘redistributing income through the federal government’.

In 1966, Columbia University professors Richard Cloward and Frances Fox Piven developed a strategy to collapse a free

nation from within intentionally.

Their idea was to overwhelm the system through massive economic and social destabilisation so that citizens would beg for authoritarian control in exchange for survival. This strategy has now been adapted and weaponised by financial elites and is being applied to Canada right now.

You are not imagining the chaos. It is designed to demoralise you, to confuse you, and to make you submit.

Below are the eight points of the Cloward-Piven Strategy, along with concrete actions Carney has taken to implement each one.



Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney

- 1 Overload and bankrupt the welfare system:** In 2020, during the covid-19 lockdowns, Carney publicly supported massive government stimulus spending, including the Canada Emergency Response Benefit (CERB), which gave more than eight million Canadians free money with no strings attached. This program cost over 81 billion Canadian dollars. Carney, in a July 2020 speech to the London School of Economics, called these types of stimulus packages ‘essential tools for transition’.
- 2 Raiser the cost of living until survival becomes the only focus:** In February 2021, Carney co-authored a report for the Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero, where he pushed for removing capital from carbon-based industries and redirecting it into climate-compliant investments. The direct outcome has been a reduction in oil and gas supply, spiking prices across the board. In Canada, the cost of groceries has increased from March 2020 to March 2025, approximately 30.2 per cent. . When individuals struggle to feed their families, they stop resisting.
- 3 Defund and destabilise law enforcement:** On June 8, 2020, just days after the death of George Floyd in the United States, Carney wrote an op-ed in the Globe and Mail endorsing social movements that called for defunding police. His exact words were that the economy must become more ‘inclusive’ and must reflect new social priorities. In Canada, this narrative led to police budget reductions in major cities. The result has been a significant rise in violent crime, carjackings, and open drug use.
- 4 Control education to indoctrinate the next generation:** In October 2020, Carney became head of the Brookfield ESG advisory group, which has since partnered with the United Nations to fund youth education programs across North America, designed to train children and university students to accept climate compliance, equity mandates, and global governance as normal. In March 2023, the University of Toronto received millions in funding tied to a Brookfield-backed ESG curriculum. These classes redefine economic freedom as dangerous and promote submission to centralised digital control.
- 5 Eliminate private property through regulation, taxation, and debt traps:** In April 2023, the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation proposed mandatory energy audits for homes before sale. This policy was developed in part by the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures, which Carney chairs. These audits will be used to justify carbon taxes on homeowners, making it impossible for many families to sell or afford upgrades. At the same time, interest rate hikes driven by central banks have doubled mortgage payments since early 2022. Carney supports these hikes as necessary to curb inflation.
- 6 Promote division through identity politics:** Carney has repeatedly made public statements aligning with social justice narratives. In May 2021, he gave a speech at the Public Policy Forum where he called for economic systems that serve ‘racial justice’, ‘climate justice’ and ‘gender equity’. These are not neutral goals. They are designed to divide individuals and pit them against one another based on race, gender, and ideology. While Canadians fight over perceived slights and identity labels, the real theft is happening quietly. Carney’s controllers benefit from chaos. The more fractured society becomes, the easier it is to dominate.
- 7 Undermine faith and family to replace them with state loyalty:** in 2019, Carney spoke at the Vatican in support of the Council for Inclusive Capitalism, a group designed to unite corporations, financial institutions, and the Catholic Church under a single moral-economic framework. The message was clear: loyalty must shift from traditional institutions to a centralised system where moral authority is dictated by economic compliance. At the same time, Canadian government programmes now incentivise children to bypass parental consent on gender decisions, vaccines and personal health records. Carney supports these policies which remove the parent and insert the state.
- 8 Create permanent dependence on the state through programmable money:** Carney is one of the most vocal international advocates for Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC). In a December 2019 speech at the Bank for International Settlements, Carney said that the future of money will be programmable and trackable and must support sustainable development. In October 2023, the Bank of Canada began pilot testing a CBDC. Carney is advising that effort. Once implemented, this programmable currency will allow the government to freeze accounts, limit purchases, and control every financial transaction. There will be no cash, no privacy and no freedom.

River clean-up rubbish response

Environment Agency brought to brook over probe threat

by **ALEX G. MACLAREN**

THERE is something deeply wrong with the way we are regulating England's rivers.

An environmental lawyer has spent his own money, recruited volunteers and co-ordinated the removal of hundreds of bags of rubbish from a neglected waterway, only to find himself under investigation by the very agency whose job is to protect rivers.

Paul Powlesland, a 40-year-old barrister and environmental campaigner who lives on a houseboat on the River Roding, spent days clearing a badly neglected stretch of Alders Brook in Ilford.

Powlesland and his team from the River Roding Trust removed more than 200 bags of rubbish, including packaging, needles, domestic appliances and weapons. They even hired a digger at a cost of around £1,000.

The result, Powlesland says, was environmental recovery: fish returned, dragonflies appeared and herons came back. Iris and reed beds began to regenerate. A stretch of urban river that had been little more than stagnant mud began to look like a living waterway again. And what did the Environment Agency do?

They investigated him as the work was unpermitted. But this wasn't a developer attempting to carve up a watercourse for profit; this was an environmental lawyer working with a grassroots organisation and local volunteers, spending their own time and money to remove rubbish and restore a habitat.

There are so many questions left unanswered: where was the Environment Agency when this river was being neglected and where was the urgency when rubbish was being dumped?

Where was the machinery when the channel was choked, and where was the intervention when local people were repeatedly asking for something to be done?

There was no regulatory urgency when pollution was being discharged into England's waterways and England's rivers are in a state. So why is the regulator standing in the way of people trying to fix them?

The Environment Agency's own evidence makes the scale of the problem impossible to ignore.

Its long-term monitoring shows that pollution from sewage, agricultural runoff and other sources continues to affect England's rivers. The agency says elevated



If the waterways watchdog really wants to protect Britain's rivers, it should be encouraging volunteers



A cleaned up Alders Brook, Ilford (photo: www.walkspast.com) and, left, Paul Powlesland

nutrients can cause eutrophication, disrupt ecosystems and deplete oxygen, while ammonia can be toxic to fish and invertebrates.

The latest national picture is even more uncomfortable as just 14 per cent of England's rivers are rated as being in good ecological health.

A Channel 4 investigation into the state of England's waters has highlighted the extraordinary breadth of the problem – sewage, agricultural pollution, pesticides and persistent chemicals are all contributing to the degradation of our waterways.

In other words, rivers that have been allowed to deteriorate for decades.

That makes the treatment of Powlesland and the River Roding Trust particularly difficult to understand.

The EA has now acknowledged that the Trust had a genuine commitment to improving the local environment, but that admission arguably makes the episode more troubling.

If the agency accepts that these people genuinely wanted to improve their local environment, why was the first instinct enforcement rather than collaboration? That would be regulation doing what regulation is supposed to do, but instead, the message received by people willing to give up their weekends and spend their own money restoring a river was essentially: stop.

The bureaucracy is particularly hard to swallow when the alternative appears to be doing nothing.

There is, of course, a legitimate reason for permits, but regulation should not become an excuse for paralysis.

The EA's own rules recognise exemptions for some environmental work and does have some guidance. The question is whether the regulatory system was sufficiently intelligent and proportionate to distinguish between someone trying to damage a river and someone trying to restore one.

Meanwhile, the EA says it is getting tougher on polluters but it is also getting tougher on those who genuinely care about the environment.

The agency claims it has dramatically increased its water-enforcement workforce, from 41 roles in 2023 to 195 in March 2026. It has also completed more than 10,000 inspections of water company assets and says those inspections identified more than 3,000 permit-condition breaches.

It has also launched criminal investigations into water companies and says its enforcement action is increasing. While they may seem like important developments they also expose a central contradiction.

The regulator knows the water environment is in crisis. It knows there are thousands of breaches. It knows water company environmental performance has been poor. It knows pollution comes from sewage, industry and urban runoff and yet, in this particular case, some of its most visible attention has been directed at people who physically removed rubbish from a river.

Local volunteers have spent years watching

the river; they know where the rubbish accumulates. They know where the invasive species are choking the banks. They know where sewage is entering the system.

They are precisely the sort of people an effective environmental regulator should want as allies, but instead it treated them as potential offenders.

Around 200 metres upstream from the section of Alders Brook restored by the volunteers is a Thames Water sewage outlet.

Powlesland says it is frequently 'spewing' sewage into the water. Thames Water says its outfalls operate within limits set by the Environment Agency, and are legally permitted parts of the wastewater system.

But legal does not necessarily mean desirable or safe; a system can be operating within its regulatory limits while the river receiving the discharge remains in poor ecological condition. This warrants a systematic review of the limits applied to sewage.

Environmental regulation exists to protect the environment. It should not become an obstacle course that discourages people from restoring it. England's rivers do not need more barriers between people and the natural environment, they need more people willing to stand in the muck and restore what has been lost.

If the Environment Agency really wants to protect Britain's rivers, it should be encouraging volunteers.

● substack.com/@maclarenagmedia

How are you helping the resistance?

by **DARREN SMITH**

GOVERNMENTS are not there to make life better for us. Schools dumb us down, hospitals make us sick and the monetary system robs everyone not close to the top of the financial pyramid.

And if you have woken up to this reality, then what are you doing about it, exactly? How many hours per week do you spend reaching out to people in real life to get them thinking, asking questions, and even sometimes answering one of them?

Hard-hitting graffiti in a busy place has been shown to do more than 1,000 hours of online scrolling, commenting and feeling good that there are plenty of others who know what we know, and see what we see. We do need that boost once in a while, but the echo chamber becomes addictive and then we look back after a year and we have developed very little – no new skills, no soil improvement, no real-life connections



The Light won't dumb you down

made, no improvement in our financial situation, nothing new built. So the question is, are you going to change your daily habits so you're making a difference in your own life and in other people's in terms of helping them see the true reality of our world and what is now very close to completion with digital ID, tokenisation of all assets in a permissioned economy and total control of our lives through programmable digital blockchain

currencies? Or are you going to continue scrolling, posting and sharing information that you already know, with other people that also already know, all day, every day? Because it is guaranteed that the rulers don't mind that one little bit.

You *can* make a difference, but the minutes, hours and days count, and too many of us are wasting precious time addicted to watching the show, wishing we didn't know or just ignoring it all together and not actually resisting, or rather building escape hatches and the means to avoid being forced to do whatever the murderous, psychopathic Epstein class wants us to do in the future.

There are some great researchers, and plenty of good writers, but again, how many hours per week are we spending connected to the machine and interacting digitally in echo chambers of little utility?

It's been six years since many woke up and figured out the world's leadership is actively working against the population to make

us sick, poor, stupid, sterile and helpless, and what do we have to show for it? There are certainly a few good examples but not enough. Meanwhile, many of the awake, truth-loving freedom fighters believe one thing in their heads, while in reality are right where the rulers want them.

We know what we need to be doing, but somehow we can't manage to find the time to do it. Let's make it a priority and look forward to doing real life, in-person stuff, even if it's going to a rally to meet like-minded folk, putting a postcard up in the newsagents (or a *Light* paper of course), digging up the lawn to make raised beds for veg and herbs, or meeting one awake person locally to develop a relationship and do some outreach. It all adds up and you'll be proud of what you have achieved in a week, a month or a year.

● **Please order copies of The Light to give out/deliver/distribute in your area:**
<https://thelightpaper.co.uk/bulk-order>

True Pearls: Carroll Quigley, 1910-1977

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

American, Georgetown University history professor, author of *Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time* and *The Anglo-American Establishment*

The argument that the two parties should represent opposed ideals and policies, one, perhaps, of the Right and the other of the Left, is a foolish idea acceptable only to doctrinaire and academic thinkers.

It should be possible to replace the government, every four years if necessary, by the other party, which will still pursue, with new vigour, approximately the same basic policies.

The powers of financial capitalism had the far-reaching aim of creating a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the world economy. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world, acting in concert by secret agreements.

Each central bank sought to dominate



Carroll Quigley

its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.

In addition to their power over government based on government financing and personal influence, bankers could steer governments in ways they wished them to go by other pressures.

The history of the last century shows that the advice given to governments by bankers, like the advice they gave

to industrialists, was consistently good for bankers, but was often disastrous for governments, businessmen, and the people generally.

The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralisation of world economic control and use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups.

For the first time in its history, western civilisation is in danger of being destroyed internally by a corrupt, criminal ruling cabal which is centered around the Rockefeller interests, which include elements from the Morgan, Brown, Rothschild, Du Pont, Harriman, Kuhn-Loeb, and other groupings.

The Council on Foreign Relations is the American branch of a society which originated in England... [and...believes national boundaries should be obliterated and one world rule established.

Originally financial and going back to George Peabody, there grew up in the twentieth century a power structure between London and New York which penetrated deeply into university life, the press, and the practice of foreign policy.

Everything is too important ever to be entrusted to professional experts,

because every organisation of such professionals and every established social organisation becomes a vested-interest institution.

The basis of social relationships is reciprocity: if you cooperate with others, others will cooperate with you.

In a stable society, government ultimately becomes unnecessary; the restraints on people's actions are internal, they're self-disciplined.

No true scientist ever believes that he has the final answer or the ultimate truth on anything.

We are violating every aspect of life because we have adopted the view that insatiable individualistic greed must run the world. Insatiably greedy men are prepared to sacrifice anybody's health and tranquility to satisfy their own insatiable greed for money and power.

Hope rests on recognition that war and depression are man-made, and needless. They can be avoided in the future by turning to characteristics that our western society has always regarded as virtues: generosity, compassion, cooperation, rationality, and foresight, and finding an increased role in human life for love, spirituality, charity, and self-discipline.

The Light

LONDON, DEC 7, 1941 The Uncensored Truth A FREE Truthpaper Winter edition

Pearl Harbour: The real truth

by John Hamer

Roosevelt hid intelligence on looming attack

It had already been planned that America would take part in World War Two – the vast profits available for the military-industrial elite corporations in an operation of this scale being too good an opportunity to pass up.

However, the huge stumbling block to the U.S. entering the conflict was President Franklin D. Roosevelt’s reticence to commit American forces, as he had been re-elected on a ‘no European war’ ticket and at that time over 80 per cent of the American population were not in favour of partaking in yet another European war which they felt (quite correctly) was ‘none of their business’.

It has also now become known that Roosevelt, under pressure from elite industrial and financial interests and Winston Churchill, desperate for the U.S. to enter the war to aid a floundering British war effort, conspired with others to set in motion events to create a situation which would turn public opinion and generate the outcry that would make war inevitable.

Despite the fact that Roosevelt had won a second term as President largely due to his oft-repeated promise that American soldiers would not become embroiled in the ‘European war’, he knew only too well that that is exactly what was planned.

Representative Philip Bennett, Missouri, said in 1939: “But our boys are not going to be sent abroad says the President. Nonsense, Mr.



USS Shaw exploding during the Pearl Harbor attack. Photo: U.S. Archives

Chairman; even now their berths are being built in our transport ships. Even now the tags for identification of the dead and wounded are being printed by the firm of William C. Ballantyne & Co, in Washington.”

Also in 1939, Senator Nye of North Dakota, quoted words in the Senate from a volume named ‘The Next War’, printed in London some years previously. In it was detailed the plan to drag America into World War Two by whatever means it could muster.

He said, to persuade the U.S. to take part would be much more difficult, so difficult as to be unlikely to succeed. It would need a definite threat to America, a threat which would have to be brought home by propaganda, to every citizen, before the U.S. would again take arms in an external quarrel.

The position would naturally be considerably easier if Japan was involved, and this might and probably would bring America in. At any rate, it would be a natural and obvious effect of propagandists to achieve this, just as in the Great War where they succeeded in

somehow embroiling the United States against Germany. Since the war began last month, it has been established that intercepted messages from Japan and warnings from other countries revealed that the Japanese intended to attack Pearl Harbour. These messages and warnings were deliberately unheeded in order to provide a justification to enter the war.

Henry Stimson, U.S. Secretary of War, said in November 1941: “We face the delicate question of diplomatic fencing to be done so as to be sure Japan is put in the wrong and makes the first overt move.”

In 1940, Roosevelt ordered the fleet to be transferred from the West Coast of the U.S. mainland, to its exposed position in Pearl Harbour, Hawaii and ordered that the fleet remain stationed there despite complaints by its commander Admiral Richardson that there was inadequate protection from air attack and no protection at all from torpedo attack.

Richardson felt so strongly about this that he twice disobeyed orders to berth his fleet there. He raised the

issue personally with Roosevelt in October and he was unsurprisingly replaced soon after this. His successor, Admiral Kimmel, also brought up the same issues with Roosevelt in June 1941 and was also ignored or forestalled.

Then on the June 23, 1941, FDR’s advisor Harold Ickes sent a memo to Roosevelt the day after Germany invaded the Soviet Union: “There might develop from the embargoing of oil to Japan such a situation as would make it not only possible but easy to get into this war in an effective way. And if we should thus indirectly be brought in, we would avoid the criticism that we had gone in as an ally of communist Russia.”

On July 25, 1941, Roosevelt froze all Japanese assets in the U.S. as well as cutting off its main supply of oil and forcing it into some kind of desperate action against the United States.

Intelligence information regarding the Japanese threat was deliberately withheld from the military command in Hawaii from this point forward.

Roosevelt’s wife Eleanor is reported

to have said: “The attack on Pearl Harbour was...far from the shock it proved to the country in general. We had expected something of the sort for a long time.”

And so the Japanese attacked Pearl Harbour, but the U.S. pulled off an international sting operation to trick them into doing it.

Roosevelt actually moved the shipping lanes so that the Japanese fleet would not be discovered and reported by uninvolved ship captains. U.S. naval radar picked up the incoming Japanese planes but that was explained as just a flock of birds which, given the extremely limited capabilities of radar at the time, was believable.

The fact remains that Roosevelt knew that Pearl Harbour was to be attacked, because U.S. intelligence had broken the Japanese codes. Pearl Harbour was not warned because Roosevelt wanted to get rid of the obsolete navy ships and be forced to buy new ones and because war, like all the others, is never about nation-states.

It is always about the money that every nation needs just to fight in these contrived wars. And those who died in the attack on Pearl Harbour were just ‘collateral damage’ and the price of doing business.

Through this elaborate deception and subterfuge, America joined the war as had been planned all along. Among other horrors, this resulted in the deaths of more than 250,000 American servicemen and appalling injuries to many hundreds of thousands more.

Not to mention the many other lives that were shattered forever due to the loss of thousands of husbands, fathers, sons and brothers.

● falsificationofhistory.co.uk



Letters to TheLIGHT

Love us or hate us, we are helping raise the level of debate in this country and across the world, so we welcome views from all perspectives, because the enemies of free thought and free speech are censorship and group-think. We are all individuals – let's have your thoughts! *Please email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk*

Dear The Light,

Mary Shelley, Westworld, Star Trek, Phillip K. Dick, 'Humans' and many more have warned us for years.

So what happens when we can't 'turn it off'? Are we really this foolish?

Peter Manley

Dear The Light,

I've been distributing your paper for many months and wondered if you planned to do an article on the government mandate to add folic acid to all non-wholemeal wheat flour.

I've attached correspondence to our local MP that I'm awaiting a response from.

I look forward to hearing from you, and keep up the good work!

Anne Jordan

[See page 7 for how we responded – if there's something we should cover, write it and send it in - Ed.]

Dear The Light,

I have been reading Issue 71 and I am interested to hear your feedback of Mark Gartner about supposedly that lead and aluminium are being used in the weather modification.

If they have been doing this bioengineering, adjusting the weather for the last 40-50 years, is there any way of encouraging people not to pay something like tax for example, to make a stand against them spraying these chemicals?

Otherwise what are we to do when they are spraying stuff, I'm not keen on being inside constantly?

I didn't have any of the covid jabs, though for the last few years I did previously have jabs because I wasn't aware what was in them.

I have been dealing with a blood clot behind my knee which acupuncture has been helping me with, although none of the doctors

Dear The Light,

The article by Jacqui Deevoy ('Dark side of pulling a Moonie', issue 70), was interesting.

I too realised the Artemis II moon mission was 100 per cent fake, just like the fake Apollo moon missions.

It is truly unbelievable how many people in the world still believe the Apollo fairy story of landings on the moon when there is a mountain of concrete evidence which proves all nine missions were staged and never left Earth.

It is obvious we are being fed lies by the likes of Google, Wikipedia, YouTube and Encyclopedia Britannica, all of which are globalist-owned.

Go figure and take a look at the Nasascam website for solid



"You came in that thing? You're braver than I thought!" Photo: NASA

hard evidence that Apollo did not happen as we were told. View all the links at the bottom of the page; the site is a real eye opener for anyone who

distrusts government.

D. Abbey

● You can view the site at: nasascam.atspace.co.uk

can understand why I have this.

I'm active, not overweight and 37 years old,

Charlotte Sparkle

Dear The Light,

Andy Burnham has left the Manchester people in debt to the tune of £1.3bn pounds, but what has happened to the £350m he borrowed to build a data centre in Salford, just outside Manchester?

We went up to see it, but could only find a field where it is supposed to be. So where has the £350m gone, any idea Prime Minister?

Dan Draper

Dear The Light,

According to your article *Death by cruise ship* in Issue 70, there are around 200 cruise ship deaths across the industry each

year, among roughly 30 million passengers.

The annual age-standardised mortality rate (ASMR) for England and Wales is around 900 deaths per 100,000 population. Thirty million is almost half the entire UK population, so 200 cruise deaths a year seems a surprisingly low number!

Of course, not all these 30 million passengers are cruising at the same time. Assuming the average cruise lasts 10-14 days, then only around 1 million people would be at sea at any one time. Based on that, we might expect almost 10,000 deaths each year on cruises.

Well, perhaps not, because many deaths in the general population occur in people with serious illness or debility, who would not be able to travel. However, the author does point out that 'the average cruiser is usually

getting on a bit,' and many do have serious health problems. So, sadly some deaths at sea are bound to occur, as they could either at home or on holiday elsewhere.

We should not be complacent about deaths from specific risks or negligence, such as unsecured barriers. But how many cruise deaths each year should we really expect? Not 10,000, but certainly not zero; perhaps a few hundred? So the figures given in the article may not justify its morbid title.

Dr. John Elliot

Dear The Light,

The latest MoD accounts showed over £1.2 Billion of losses.

This included over £400m of impairments relating to what was known as Aldermaston Atomic Weapons Establishment, Cancellation of Shadow Mk2 (£253m), Cancellation of

Project Morpheus (Tactical Communication and Information Systems, (£235m, Assets under construction write-offs (£86m).

In case you think this is exceptional, last year the losses were nearly £2bn. This all counts as MoD expenditure.

Mark Bill

Dear The Light,

I would like to respond to Mark Gartner's letter in issue 71 of *The Light* in which he comments on the chemicals in the chemtrails making people tired.

He asked if it is a deliberate policy to make people tired and therefore more docile and easily managed. I would say yes definitely, but there is more. There have been a couple of articles in *The Light* (issue 20, p9 and issue 48, p19) about the toxicity of the new 5G/LED streetlights now in almost every city, town and village in the UK.

They all emit a massive level of microwave radiation (MWR) which causes suicidal thoughts, disrupted sleep, fatigue, increased anxiety, low mood and demotivation apart from cancer and infertility.

This is all part of the war on humanity, keeping the people sleep-deprived, tired and ill, and serving the depopulation programme.

Reform UK are the only party against the fraudulent Net Zero policies that have a controlling majority on many local councils.

They need to be lobbied to turn off this MWR and change the toxic LED lights to safe incandescent ones as well as scrap the Clean Air Zones (CAZ) and Ultra Low Emissions Zones (ULEZ) which were all introduced as fraudulent Net Zero policies.

Paul Gregory

● Paulgregory34@hotmail.com



Energy Enhancement System, West Yorkshire



Are You Looking For a Different Approach to Your Health and Wellbeing?

Pain? Inflammation? Fatigue?

Poor Sleep? Anxiety or Overwhelm?

Health Optimisation?

We believe that frequency modalities are going to play an increasingly important part in future healthcare.

Talk to us to find out how our **scalar frequency room** might be the alternative solution that is right for you.

Call: 0113 426 4000

Email: hello@thezenergycentre.co.uk

Visit: www.thezenergycentre.co.uk

New clients £25 for 1 hour + 2nd hour free

Awake Alcoholics Anonymous



Government's biggest mind control

Here to Help • Support Groups • Fight Back

Stuck in the matrix of alcoholism?

Need to break free from alcoholism?

Please email for guidance support

awakeAA@proton.me



WHERE

Can you hear the truth about the world today, from technology to food to politics and health, as well as real music by great musicians with something real to say?

ClearAIR.fm

THE SOUND OF FREEDOM



The Trust Works

All Rights Reserved In Trust Under God

Protect Everything You've Worked Hard to Build.

Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust. Own Nothing. Control Everything.

What You Do Not Own, Can Not be Taken Away from You.

www.thetrustworks.co.uk
Bespoke Trust and Will Writing Service

HAPPY TUMMY CHARCOAL CAPSULES

The best natural food supplement for settling your tummy. They adsorb toxins, ease gas, bloat and calm acidity. Happy Tummy supports gut health and are suitable for vegetarians and vegans. They come in packs of 100 and 500 capsules, and are manufactured in the UK to AIB and GMP standards.

www.finefettlefeed.com
Tel: 0800 612 7496





Pitches, Yurts, Collage & Lodge

fforestfields.co.uk

We invite you to Fforest Fields, a haven for those who want to reconnect with the land, nature, quietness and each other.

Camp under the stars, swim in the lake & roam the ancient hills.

Come & escape the noise.

20% OFF: USE CODE **AWAKE20**



ARMY SURPLUS DEPOT

Unit 5, Durham Way, Heathpark Ind. Estate, Honiton EX14 1SQ.

MILITARY ISSUE KIT AND CLOTHING - INCLUDING COMBAT BOOTS, TROUSERS AND JACKETS; GORE-TEX WATER PROOFS; HEAVYWEIGHT TARPAULINS; ROUGH CAMPING/BUG OUT KIT; OVERALLS; CADET KIT; VETERANS BADGES AND BERETS.

Tue: 10.30am - 4.30pm Wed-Fri: 9.30am - 3.30pm Sat: 9.30am - 1.00pm

0140443612

www.armysurplushoniton.co.uk






FREQUENCY MEDICINE CENTRES




Advanced frequency medicine using BioCharger, FSM, Photobiomodulation (Thor Laser), Biomat Crystal Bed, PEMF, and Bioresonance Scanning.

High-quality supplements, B12 & vitamin injections, PRP & hyaluronic acid injections for joint pain and muscular injury.

BASINGSTOKE:
11-14 Winchester Street,
Basingstoke, RG21 7EG
01256 280777

GLASTONBURY:
33a High Street,
Glastonbury, BA6 9HT
01458 898567

www.frequency-medicine.co.uk



Liberation Economics: Spirituality in Supply and Demand, Second Edition, Y Lolfa, 2026, by Guy Wildwood.

The book draws together an holistic view of the subject of Economics, incorporating, vitally, our living, innate, spirituality - an element so often absent from consideration here. In a style that is both free-range and free-wheeling the piece examines what this perspective can offer for greater human thriving in an economy.

£9.99

Available to purchase on Amazon
<https://tinyurl.com/bdhnuats>

Testimonial

"This is a really interesting and thought-provoking read. So much of how the world works is assumed, ignored, taken for granted or misunderstood. In this book, Ancient Wisdom, modern conclusions, and personal musings are all present, cloaked in rich language with erudite cultural references. The author is clearly well-read, is a clear thinker and has the skill of clear communication on difficult issues".
Dr Andrew Tresidder - review on Amazon is with the permission of the Books Council of Wales.

Covid CBDCs
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G UN-WEF etc

We trawl the web so you don't have to



<https://www.freecitizen.uk/>

Free Citizen UK 

Gentle Energy Healing for People & Animals

- Whether you're feeling overwhelmed, carrying the effects of past trauma or life events, or simply seeking greater wellbeing, Full Spectrum Healing offers a gentle, holistic approach to support your emotional and physical balance.
- **Helping with:**
 - Trauma and emotional healing
 - Life shocks and stressful experiences
 - Limiting beliefs and unwanted patterns
 - Restoring calm, balance and wellbeing
- **Appointments available**
 - In our peaceful healing room in the heart of the Cotswolds
 - Distance healing sessions available across the UK and worldwide via video or telephone.
- To book or find out more call Sally: 07971 922254

Or email on: sally@fullspectrumhealing.co.uk

www.reiki-flow.co.uk

RESTORE BALANCE & RELEASE WHAT NO LONGER SERVES YOU



Full Spectrum Healing

Chlorine Dioxide Solution (CDS)

- Strength: ~ 3000ppm
- Made in the UK
- Free shipping around the UK
- Glass or PET bottles
- Bundles available
- Starting at £10.99

Buy online at buycds.co.uk and campingstuff.uk





The Autonomy hotline

0333 772 1227

www.theautonomyhotline.org
Autonomyhotline@protonmail.com

* Resisting Coercion in Health and Social Care *

Have you...

- ...Been referred to Social Services?
- ...Tried to seek alternative treatment?
- ...Been ignored by social care?
- ...Tried writing a complaint?



We need volunteers! Ask us about our training programme!



We provide advocacy and advice to families facing legal challenges in health and social care

We specialise in 'multi-faceted' cases; referrals which involve various professionals, e.g:

- Domestic Abuse
- Child Protection
- Social Services
- Medical Complaints
- Mental Health
- Disability
- Family Law
- Court of Protection

"Autonomy" - The right to self-directing freedom and moral independence.



Public Notice
As stated in National Debt Act [1870]

I :Darren-anthony. [JAMES]
Born on England November twenty sixth nineteen eighty
Birthed in the UK on December thirty first nineteen eighty do give full notice to;
Governor at Bank [of] England that my and my son and daughter; land, water and mineral rites
where transferred to The National Debt Commissioners via UK Crown Corporation Birth
Registration.
Without our consent,
The transfer now in dividends are owed to the claimants.

I :Emma. [JAMES]
Born on England June third nineteen eighty two
Birthed in the UK on June seventh nineteen eighty two do give full notice to;
Governor at Bank [of] England that my and my son and daughter; land, water and mineral rites
where transferred to The National Debt Commissioners via UK Crown Corporation Birth
Registration.
Without our consent,
The transfer now in dividends are owed to the claimants.

As i :Emma. [JAMES], I :Darren-anthony. [JAMES] and our son and daughter have rejected the
transfer to the UK and are all entitled to the return [of] our dividends.
our property son and daughter born nameless but gifted by i the mother as;
Liam-kai. [JAMES]
Born on England March seventeenth two thousand and nine.
Birthed in the UK on March thirtieth two thousand and nine.
:Esmee-lyla. [JAMES]
Born on England September twenty third two thousand and fifteen.
Birthed in the UK on October fifth two thousand and fifteen
We command peace and full dividend returns c/o
[7] Ada Wrighton Close
New Invention
Willenhall
England [WV12 5AX]

DO YOU SEE HEALTH DIFFERENTLY?

RECEPTIONIST WANTED - SOUTHAMPTON

Do people naturally feel at ease with you?
Are you confident, organised and keen to learn? Would you enjoy work that feels worthwhile?

Our holistic dental practice is looking for a receptionist who shares our whole-person approach to health.
Dental experience would be very helpful, but is not essential.

Full-time preferred; part-time considered.

If this feels like your kind of place, please send your CV and a few words about why the role appeals to you directly to Elmar at

elmar@dr-elmar-jung.com

Dr Elmar Jung Dental Clinic
14 Millbrook Road East
Unit 4 Mountbatten Business Centre
Southampton, Hampshire, SO15 1HY

Dr Elmar Jung Dental Clinic

26

GRANDER WATER REVITALISATION

A Quality Water Solution for the Whole Family



"When we drink Grander Water, it is as if we are refreshing ourselves from a pure mountain spring"

Water & Family Health

Water loses its natural energetic potential when treated with chemicals and pumped through pipes over long distances, causing water to become damaged, stagnant, less vital and flat to the taste, losing its natural health giving properties.

- Choose to have a vibrant healthy water flowing from every tap in your home
- The taste and quality of bottled water straight from your tap
- Scientifically proven to reduce scale, increase microbiological stability and save on heating costs


Table top systems from £225 & £1,161 for single tap systems to standard house systems with up to three baths prices from £3,980

WATER IS OUR STRENGTH



GRANDER WATER

Sales Office t: 0333 390 9479
e: waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk
www.granderwater.co.uk



insightious.com

Book Summaries

Insightious is a book summary service that focuses on books on politics, history, philosophy, religion and folklore, especially those that other services overlook.

Read or listen to our 20-minute book summaries to discover new perspectives.

75% for your first month, on monthly billing, with the code **THELIGHT**



insightious.com

Walk your way through

Winter



Clive de Carle

To keep you healthy and happy during the colder months we would like to treat you to...

15% OFF OUR TOP 4

immune boosting supplements



Use code: **Wellforwinter15**

Need any human advice? Clive's Angels are always happy to help.

email: info@clivedecarle.com
www.clivedecarle.com



SAVE your ENERGY Ltd

Boiler service, breakdown, replacement and repairs

by qualified and registered engineers covering the Hertfordshire and North west London.

Up to 12 years warranty on certain boilers

All central heating and underfloor heating work undertaken

All general plumbing work undertaken

Quote **Light5** when booking in for discounted Hourly Rate /Boiler service

07885556007

Gas safe 520291 • CIPHE

Which trusted traders • Herts trading standards • Checktrade
Accredited by all leading boiler manufacturers

Please pass The Light on when you've read it

27



BUY | SELL | TRADE

As a Bullion Exchange we offer excellent rates on the liquidation of all precious metals including scrap Gold, Silver, Platinum and Palladium.

Knights Bullion are trusted suppliers of The Royal Mint, Metalor and Umicore products, giving you the assurance of authenticity.

Gold Britannia coins, Sovereigns coins and Silver Britannia coins now in stock.

BE YOUR OWN CENTRAL BANK


www.knightsbullion.com

Visit: 42 Wood Street, Stratford-upon-Avon, CV37 6JG

Email: info@knightsbullion.com

Call: 01789266595

SHIELDING YOUR WEALTH, PROTECTING YOUR FUTURE



**HIDDEN IN PLAIN
SIGHT
INDIVIDUALIZED
CELLULAR LEVEL
HEALTHCARE
Research Revolution**

A PARADIGM SHIFT IN HEALTHCARE AntiCancer ProResearch
Narrative Safe and Effective for Holiday Discussions

L J STEELE



Available on Amazon
<https://tinyurl.com/4whf53kk>

Pre-Vaccine Screening

ImmunoCure is my Vision for a future of Franchised Cancer Research Centers and Individualized Cellular Level Research in General... Imagine lots of tiny labs throughout the world where people like me get our Dream Jobs of Researching to find cures while the public is no longer defrauded by Big Pharmaceutical into believing horrendously painful, and costly "treatments" are the only option.

ImmunoCure.org is out of date, because we have decided creating these labs in the United States even for canines will NOT be allowed... We need to find countries with autonomy for healthcare and research.

Hidden In Plain Sight covers:

- I. A behind the scenes look at what working in a cancer research lab really looks like, including hand holding while you actually learn how immune cells are isolated from blood and cryopreserved.. Not to worry totally painless!
- II. My assessment of the Covid-19 Pandemic- Including:
 1. Bioterrorism Defense Units called into action.
 2. Widespread Immunity Assessments and in vitro inoculations.
 3. Release of raw data from worldwide healthcare database.
- III. WE The PEOPLE Launching a Pandemic Survey: Pandemic Survey eventually linked to Immunity Assessments

immunocure.org • immunocureks@gmail.com

ClearA/R.fm

THE SOUND OF FREEDOM

Online radio station challenging mainstream narratives and supporting independent music