**NEWS** 

Medicine in the matrix - healthcare is a business



PAGE 3

**SCIENCE** 

Hidden secret of electricity part 2: the physics



INTERNATIONAL
Ukraine's Nazi

Ukraine's Nazi connections and the British cover-up



HEALTH

Better Way health
conference in Bath -



PAGE 16



LIGHT

Issue 22 - Monthly

**The Uncensored Truth** 

thelightpaper.co.uk

50p WHERE SOLD



## The psychology of totalitarianism

Professor Desmet interview on his new book, pages 4-5

# Are we all being brainwashed?

by **DARREN SMITH** 

NOBODY knows they're in a cult until they leave it, but what if the majority of 'mainstream' thought and discourse is in fact part of an indoctrination process to get us all to think a certain way?

How would you know, if everyone was undergoing the same psychological manipulation, and very few people dissented from what is accepted as the norm, that you were actually in a majority cult?

If you are alive and reading this, you know you have been brainwashed to some degree or other.

We are all born tabula rasa, not even knowing how to get milk from a teat; everything we know is either via our own senses or from what someone else has taught us. It is vital to note that the quality of that second-hand information is not guaranteed; the history of science teaches us this as fact.

"The individual is handicapped by coming face-to-face with a conspiracy so monstrous he cannot believe it exists," said J. Edgar Hoover, former Director of the CIA.

We are taught from birth to trust in the established authorities and institutions, but what if they were run not for our benefit? What if their purpose is to box our thinking into certain beliefs? The compartmentalisation within every sector and department of both public



and private sector means that only those directing the overall policy know exactly what is going on. Everyone else just fulfils their role to the best of their ability, and naturally believes they are contributing to the good of society.

This is also true at universities, mostly now sponsored by Bill Gates and other huge foundations and corporations, where because a chemist knows his science works, he will assume the medical-pharmaceutical guys have their ducks

in a row too. But this is a logical fallacy, of which our brains are full – see this month's centre spread.

Scientific journals are a closed shop, as explained on page 23 - if your results go against the agenda, they simply won't be published; all information and knowledge are thus tightly controlled.

Too many things don't seem to work as they should - yet ordinary people, who generally get a raw deal from those in power, are persuaded once every four or five years to vote for one of the major political parties who always keep the status quo.

One should again ask why, when nobody likes politicians? Everyone knows they lie, are corrupt, and are self-serving; yet every election their numerous past transgressions are somehow forgotten, and we accept again, like little children, that this time will be different, and their promises to improve things will hold. What else but mass mind control could achieve that?

We live in an age where men

can declare themselves women and compete in female sports: where children as young as four are exposed to masturbation, sexuality and changing their gender by authorities; where the truth is labelled misinformation, and lies defended with vigour on all major media outlets; where a fake climate crisis means we have to source the most expensive ways of generating power; where having the wrong opinion will get you fired or imprisoned, and where you are called fascist by those who want to restrict your speech, movement, food, energy and income.

A good comedian would have a field day with all of this madness, but sadly most of them are of the 'woke' variety, pushing the corporate narrative, mocking those who see the truth, and being very unfunny with it.

Like doctors, teachers, musicians and politicians, they have been made afraid for their livelihoods, and can't see another way to maintain their lifestyle, status and income without supporting the corporate agenda.

Here is the ultimate expression of thought control – self-censorship, the exact opposite of the pure human spirit.

Perhaps it is time for all of us to examine where exactly our knowledge about the world has come from, and use wisdom to discern its truth for ourselves, without, as we have been conditioned to, lazily relying on the logical fallacy of 'appeal to authority'?

#### THE LIGHT PAPER JUNE 2022

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is © 2022 thelightpaper.co.uk

For all distribution and bulk order enquiries, please email: lightdistribution@mailbox.org

For advertising enquiries, please contact Nicola at:

#### ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

If you like what we do, please get involved and show someone else this truthpaper. Visit our website:

#### thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith

Sub-editors: Harry Wundas & Jamie Rodriguez

Layout: Miki Kay

**Distribution:** Cath Swann & Matt Smith

Advertising: Nicola Kelly

Subscriptions: Ross & Rebecca Pename

Social Media: Jessica Paris, Andrea Jay & Nicola Kelly

Proofreading: Alan I, Stevie M, Jerry R,

Tracy S, Adrian L, David K

Special thanks to our warehouse hubs & distributors who work tirelessly to bring you the uncensored news. To keep our paper FREE is not free - you can help by ordering advance copies, donating or subscribing at: thelightpaper.co.uk

> "It's a big club, and you ain't in it!" - George Carlin 2005

### **Economic Data**

U.S. Gov't 10-year bond yield: 2.96%

**UK national debt::** -£2,145,067,000

**UK balance of trade: -£11,505,000,000** 

WTI crude oil per barrel: \$121.25

**Gold per ounce: \$1,852.23** 

Crypto market: £1,024,911,854,422

Real inflation index: 11.1%

UK average house price: £278,436

UK average wage: £29,016

Sources: tradingview.com, ons.gov, tradingeconomics.com

### How to create a pandemic

by CHRIS WAKEFIELD

DOES anyone remember SAGE's prediction of 6,000 deaths per day in January if lockdown restrictions weren't reintroduced with immediate effect?

It didn't happen of course, and like all of their predictions, it proved to be wildly pessimistic nonsense.

However when SPI-M-O (who produce the models for SAGE) were challenged as to why they had got it so badly wrong every single time, their defence was that they only ever modelled the scenarios they were requested to model.

So while the government knew full well that other far less pessimistic scenarios existed, they were only ever interested in presenting the worst possible case to the public.

They knew worst case scenarios would produce maximum fear and compliance, while justifying the actions they intended to take.

Even Bill Gates now admits covid-19 was hugely overblown, recently stating that covid was like the flu, but a 'bit different and mostly only affecting the elderly'.

Gates claimed that they didn't know the fatality rate was low, but they knew this all along.

As far back as March 2020, doctors were breaking ranks to announce the fact, and social media knew full well. I. and thousands of others like me, were announcing it daily, so if we knew, how could Gates and the health services not have known?

They knew, and instead of acting accordingly, they and their puppets in government and the media launched a relentless, fear-based propaganda campaign, fully intended to scare the public as much as possible.


The results of this can be seen even now, with some people still wearing face masks in public, and all for a flu equivalent.

With everyone reading from the same script, we next saw unprecedented levels of censorship on all types of media. Nothing and nobody, no matter how well qualified or informed, was allowed to publicly challenge the official story.

Labour did not put up a single piece of meaningful opposition to anything covid-related throughout the entirety of the ordeal, other than that measures didn't go far enough.

Like the whole of the corporate media, they did not ask a single challenging question, such as 'where are all the bodies?'

From the start, everything possible was done to fudge the figures in order to maximise case and death statistics, which were then incessantly broadcast to the public



Cases were generated by testing ever-increasing numbers of people as frequently as possible, with a test which the authorities knew full well was not fit for purpose.

A test deliberately conducted at fraudulently high cycle thresholds, despite the inventor of the PCR technology, Kary Mullis, having stated that it was never intended as a diagnostic tool, because 'with sufficient amplification cycles you can find anything in anybody'. He died in August 2019.

Daily testing of large numbers of people, with a test not fit for purpose, inevitably resulted in many people who were perfectly healthy receiving positive results.

From this sprang the myth of asymptomatic transmission (something else never proven outside of a computer model), which was then used as justification for all of the restrictions imposed.

The manipulation of death statistics was even more blatant, with a death for any reason whatsoever. within 28 (or in some cases 60) days of a positive test result being classified as a covid death.

Questionable treatment protocols did no doubt contribute to the death statistics, including premature ventilation, sedation by Midazolam, a respiratory suppressant, and treatment with Remdesivir, which can cause multiple organ failure, while proven successful treatments, such as Ivermectin and Zinc, were labelled as untested and dangerous.

In addition to this, elderly people suddenly evicted from hospitals into care homes were placed on end-oflife pathways & issued with 'do not rescuscitate' notices, while all normal medication was suspended, as were food and water in many cases

Despite all of the skullduggery and fudging of figures, the overall agestandardised mortality rate for 2020 was pretty average when compared to

the previous 30 years.

With many frightened out of their wits by a successful behavioural modification campaign, which used fear as its primary weapon, the scam then continued with the rollout of the mRNA snake oil in December 2020.

No sooner were the first few shots in people's arms than several new and convenient variants miraculously appeared.

Strange, when there had been no mention of any variants prior to the jab rollout, apart from on social media where pretty much every 'conspiracy theorist' had been predicting it for

They had initially requested the emergency powers under the Coronavirus Act for two years, because the whole charade was always planned to continue for two years, during which the maximum number of jabs had to be administered, boosting nothing but the profits of the big pharmaceutical companies.

Two years in which maximum possible damage was to be inflicted on the economy and small independent businesses, resulting in another huge transfer of wealth to the one per cent.

The list of symptoms of covid also grew following the 'vaccine' rollout, to conveniently include heart attacks. strokes and pretty much every other side effect it had long been predicted to cause, and which Pfizer knew about from the trial data they tried to keep secret for 75 years.

Then, with the two years on the Coronavirus Act almost up, covid (officially at least) just happened to mutate into a mild variant, and finally vacated centre stage. The timing was very fortunate, because after all, the masses could hardly be expected to stand with Ukraine while still cowering in their homes petrified of covid now, could they?

https://www.hartgroup.org/ covid-19-evidence/

## Medicine in the matrix

Why do good clinicians remain loyal to the system?

by **GRAHAM ATKINSON** 

A LARGE majority of patients have been educated to believe that healthcare is concerned with health. Why would anyone think differently? In reality, healthcare is a business and all businesses need customers. There is no money in healthy or dead patients, so the best business model is to have the largest number of unwell patients.

Once an individual has surrendered the management of their health to a clinician, they have become disempowered and are dependent on the system. They keep coming back to the system expecting solutions to the problems that are increasingly being created by the system.

Why do so many clinicians in the healthcare system seem unable to see what is obvious to many people who are outside the healthcare system? The truth is that healthcare is a designed system. A system where the truth can be hidden in plain sight, and individuals can be controlled whilst believing they are free. To understand the behaviours of the clinicians in the healthcare system, we need take a broader view of human behaviour in *The Matrix*:

"You have to understand, most of these people are not ready to be unplugged. And many of them are so inured, so hopelessly dependent on the system, that they will fight to protect it." - Morpheus, The Matrix movie (Wachowski brothers, 1999)

As a young pharmacist I believed that everyone always acted in the best interests of society and for the greater good of humanity. I was frequently disappointed and confused by what I saw in the healthcare system. I came to understand that my idealism was never going to be a match for the system. I now accept that the healthcare system has been very carefully designed for the benefit of those that designed it, and not for the benefit of patients.

I still believe that almost all clinicians join the healthcare system to help patients. I also believe that the majority of clinicians think they are providing high-quality evidence-based services for patients. Sadly, this is not the case today, as few healthcare systems are capable of demonstrating that they are achieving good outcomes for their patients. There are many reasons why good clinicians remain in a system that can be easily shown



During their training, medical students are worked extremely hard and soon learn to reproduce what they have been taught and not to challenge the system

to be adversely affecting a significant proportion of patients over the last two years.

The Western allopathic healthcare system thrives on the division of the practice of medicine into specialisms and sub-specialisms. Whilst this may seem logical, as humans are very complex biological organisms, the result is often the management of illness as a dysfunction of a single organ or tissue, with little attention being paid to the whole human.

Models of healthcare that have

evolved over thousands of years that take a more holistic and environmental approach to managing illness are often described as quackery by allopathic doctors. This is a learned response, often coming from a position of little or no knowledge of alternative treatments, and quickly closes the door to therapies which may be effective but do not involve a drug.

Doctors are trained to diagnose illness, but few invest their time in trying to address the behavioural or other factors that are causing the illnesses in their patients. The system is designed to produce clinical diagnosis codes that match the symptoms the doctor has observed. The next step is usually to prescribe a drug, or refer a patient to hospital for further investigations that can result in surgery and other interventional procedures, such as radiotherapy.

The medical education system is very effective at producing individuals who believe what they are taught and do not challenge what they are taught. By the time doctors qualify and start treating patients, they have been very effectively conditioned to perform as intended by the system. Medical education also seems to be very effective at removing the ability of intelligent people to think for themselves.

During their training, medical students are worked extremely hard and soon learn to reproduce what they have been taught, and not to challenge the system. Heavy workloads and an intolerance of challenges to authority produces doctors that are too busy or not inclined to do their own research. Most practicing doctors believe the information they are provided with by health authorities, and follow the guidance that they have been given.

Status, power and money are corrupting influences on clinicians. The fear of losing them certainly has a very strong influence on clinical behaviour. Even when an individual clinician wants to follow a different treatment course, the system often makes this difficult or even impossible. Individuals learn to conform with the clinical practice of their colleagues and conform with the system. There is a very strong human survival instinct to conform with your tribe, even when you may have a different belief.

Fear itself has a very powerful influence on behaviour, and reduces, or even removes, objective thought and critical thinking amongst clinicians. This has been particularly evident during the recent 'pandemic', where the fear of death has become very real for clinicians of all ages.

When doctors are busy and stressed, they come to rely on pattern recognition to decide how to manage patients. A collection of symptoms and test results that fit a previously observed pattern can be used to diagnose an illness and then prescribe the appropriate treatment. In a busy healthcare environment, pattern recognition can lead to an incomplete understanding of the condition of the patient, and can result in harm or much worse.

So, where do we go from here? Sadly, my experience has taught me that the existing healthcare system cannot be changed into one which is designed to put patients first.

It is now time to stop conforming and to start reforming.

We must place our energies and actions into building a true healthcare system that is designed within our communities. The future of health lies outside the system - beyond the matrix!

https://theredpillrevolution.com/ why-do-good-clinicians-remainloyal-to-the-system/

# The psychology of

MATTIAS Desmet, a professor of clinical psychology at Ghent University, is the world's leading authority on mass formation psychosis. Desmet has written an accessible book for all of us to gain insight into the conditions within today's society that have led Western humanity to the verge of slipping into a technocratic totalitarianism.

In his seminal new work, The Psychology of Totalitarianism, the journey to where we are now is explained as beginning with the prevalent system's 'mechanistic' world view. Life is viewed as a machine, and technological processes will 'solve all our problems'. How have we allowed totalitarianism to take hold, once again, during the coronavirus situation? Why were people so willing to give up hard won freedoms on the back of quite obviously contradictory and manipulated data and messaging?

The totalitarian state goes to absurd, everincreasing lengths, constantly presenting the population with new objects of fear in order to achieve its aims

Desmet argues that with the rise of unsatisfying work, a loss of contact with the natural world and the hyper-fast, instant gratification world of social media - and within a framework where science promises a future utopia (that, in reality, we are far from living in) - levels of depression, free-floating anxiety, and a general feeling of loneliness and fear have risen to exponential levels. Free-floating anxiety and fear can lead to a kind of hypnosis within the population, and this is the state of mind that led to compliance with, and belief in, the rules and

### How we can help break the mass formation psychosis that the technocrats have caused in most populations

#### by VICTORIA PROSSER

regulations of governments across the world during the coronavirus event.

Compliance seeks to remove the fear of the unknown that has been built into our 'artificial' society in so many ways, and yet compliance and conformity with things such as coronavirus restrictions can actually increase the anxiety and isolation, becoming a vicious circle. As governments proposed ever more absurd projections and rules, many scrambled to comply, and refused to listen to dissenting voices; almost begging for harsher punishments for those who disobeyed, and making their own lives unbearable in the process. Readers will notice how that section of society seemed to desire a master, and will remember how governments and organisations seemed to exploit this by installing more and more rules, stripping away rights with new legislation at lightning pace.

Lessons of history that should have been learned after the 20th Century seemed forgotten. Desmet is qualified in both psychology and statistics. In his book, he explains that right at the beginning of the coronavirus narrative, he noticed the errors in modelling, and goes on to explain that there is serious bias in scientific methodology and data across nearly all scientific disciplines, which leads in many ways to science having moved from a pursuit of exploration to a narrow view.

In his book, Desmet writes that the end effect of mass formation is thus: "a society saturated with individualism and rationalism... suddenly tilts towards the radically opposite condition." The hypnotised mass believes the story offered by the totalitarian government, which uses media and other messaging to bombard the people, not because it is very accurate, but because it creates the social bond that forms the mass. They feel connected, and it becomes almost a ritual in a society where religious and spiritual

rituals have largely fallen away. Relief from fear is sought by having an object to attach that fear to.

The four conditions of mass formation hypnosis are: lack of social bonds and loneliness, lack of meaning in life, the widespread presence of free-floating anxiety, and the rise of frustration and aggression. The catalyst for mass formation hypnosis is then 'a suggestion in the public sphere'. We all lived through this recently with coronavirus, and it now seems to loom again with monkeypox hysteria spreading online. Once people are under this form of hypnosis, they are highly likely to be intolerant of other opinions, and tend towards authoritarianism.


Desmet argues that the leaders themselves are under a form of hypnosis within this too, and although they do not believe the narrative, they have belief in their ideology - often at all costs. As this ideology today veers towards a form of digitalised transhumanism, and away from our true nature as humans, we stand at a crucial point in history, at risk of all our natural instincts and behaviours coming under the full control possible with digitisation.

Desmet writes that totalitarianism is an attempt to rid us all of uncertainty, and replace it with a pseudo-scientific certainty and logic, which eliminates variety and cultural expression, and subverts it all to the mechanistic world view. As history has shown, the totalitarian state goes to absurd, ever-increasing lengths, constantly presenting the population with new objects of fear in order to achieve its aims. Never before has this mattered more, as the regimes have the technological power to observe and manipulate everyone through ever-more pervasive technology (the internet of everything) and even to change the path of life itself, with scientific developments that ignore the human essence of our souls and

This mass formation hypnosis affects up to 30% of the population, with the other 40-60% simply

choosing to go along with the narrative for an easy life, and an unhypnotised 10-30% who will actively resist the masses and the totalitarian edicts. *Our* readers often fall into the last camp, and will know that the pushback from the hypnotised can be massive, as they seek to pull everyone into line, into 'the mass'. Professor Desmet encourages us to continue to speak out, for we may still be heard by the

actually did a lot of self-destructive and destructive things. Once people experienced adverse effects of the jabs, many people think they would wake up, but they will go deeper in the mass formation because waking up would mean they would have to admit they have been stupid or foolish. So the entire narrative used as a framework for their reality would collapse, and with it their identity. Many people in the middle



unhypnotised group that has been choosing to comply.

*The Light* spoke to Professor Desmet, and put some further questions to him:

### TL: Can learning about mass formation wake those who are under the hypnosis?

Desmet: "They would be confronted with the fact that they

group probably participated out of a sense of solidarity, but are the most problematic group in the grip of the narrative, and likely to become more sensitive over time to new narratives.

"In the middle group, they may think that they did not quite believe the narrative: first it was the coronavirus and now it is the monkey pox, and now we see that

# totalitarianism



Professor Mattias Desmet

maybe this state of affairs will continue for ever if we do not speak out, and then they may start to speak out; this can then produce an even more aggressive reaction from the mainstream and the leaders. That is what we are at risk of "

TL: It feels like a crossroads here, and many people feel a pressing need now to speak out. What kind of words and actions can be effective in moving people forward?

Desmet: "It's crucial to continue to speak out. The experience of most people who speak out is that it hasn't been effective, and many have described this feeling. It has been known about for a long time. For example, Gustav le Bon wrote even in the 19th Century that people would try to show others that it is illogical and absurd and become exhausted, because no matter how long they present the counterarguments, people do not wake to it.

"But it is not because they do not wake up that your speech does not have an effect. Le Bon said, when a mass formation emerges, it is typically inclined to stigmatise those who have not gone along with it and they become convinced it is their ethical duty to destroy those who do not go along with it. I recently spoke with an Iranian woman who, during the Iranian revolution had witnessed a mother put a rope around her own son's neck for not complying, and saw herself as a heroine for doing it.

"Psychological energy is sucked into the bond with a collective, seeing punishing those who do not comply as a holy duty. But when people continue to raise a dissident voice, they disturb the mass formation and the effect of the speech will be that the mass formation does not spread as much. It is when the dissident voice falls silent that the most cruelty starts within a totalitarian regime, and when history has shown the destruction programme can start.

"We must realise that, and realise how crucial it is to continue to speak out, and we must do so in a specific way. We never have to try to convince people. That can provoke the opposite effect, causing them to be angry, and stick to their original conviction, more and more convinced that those not going along with the mass are actually inhumane and show no solidarity - consequently stigmatising and agreeing with exclusions.

"We must speak out in a sincere, honest and mindful way. For example, when someone claims they have been ill from coronavirus, and felt it would be worse if they had not had the three jabs, approach them calmly, stating your opinion, allowing them to make their own conclusions. We should be speaking from a kind of ethical awareness that a human being has a right and a duty of articulating what they believe is their sincere view on what happens around them. If we do not articulate our truth, we start to lose our soul. The opposite holds too: if we do speak our truth, we become stronger and stronger as a

We should be speaking from a kind of ethical awareness that a human being has a right and a duty of articulating what they believe is their sincere view on what happens around them

human being, needing less ego to feel strong. The capacity to produce truly resonant speech, articulating what feels our most honest and sincere opinion - for others and for ourselves - we should practise this art in this epoch. We may lose certain things and meet some danger along the way, but in the end it is the safest option for ourselves and others.

"As a dissident voice, I have experienced those trying to censor

me. In the near future we will be confronted with the European digital ID, a successor of the QR code that regulated people during the corona narrative. This will make it easy to make access to the internet regulated by a social credit account or similar.

"A technocratic totalitarian state will be vulnerable though, precisely because of its technological nature. We will always find a way to speak out, because talking in the real world has a more profound effect than talking through the internet. Language is much more than dissemination of information.

"The language that really touches the soul is real language in the physical. It has resonance. Many people say they have watched many podcasts with me, but it is when they come to a reading in the real world, it makes so much more impression. This is a good example: even if we are banned from the digital space, we will work in the physical. If we are limited in the physical world, for example by a new lockdown (which is very possible), we must be faithful that there will always be a small path that will open on which we will be able to walk, to continue to manifest our voice. I am confident of that.

"Society is a complex, dynamic system and never behaves how we expect it to behave. Even if you have the formulas of a complex dynamical system in your hand, it cannot be predicted. Those who think they can control society and the world through digital means and plans are mistaken. It will never work - chaos will develop. The chaos may be used at first to impose more control, but the more control imposed, the more chaotic the reaction becomes, even far beyond the capacity of the people who think they are in charge.

"We don't have to try to predict exactly what will happen; we better use our energy to focus on the ethical principles of humanity that are important to use. I explain in detail in my book that society has been under the illusion that society should be organised by rational understanding. But that is temporary and unstable, an instrument of power not justice, and the basis should be situated in the elementary, fundamental, ethical principles of humanity. They cannot be articulated in a definitive, final way, We must try to feel them and stay in

touch with them.

"Sooner rather than later, the entire illusion of society based on rational understanding is actually contradicted, and the core and essence of humanity and nature escapes rational understanding. That will be the end point of this crisis. In the end, we will be confronted with undeniable evidence in such a way that everyone understands it intuitively: that all attempts to steer society are doomed to fail.

"We will know we need to start with a different way of knowing the world, which is much more resonating knowledge: an empathic way of knowing. This also implies that we will not orientate on that basis but on the principles of ethics, which we can feel when we start to live them. Rene Thom explained this: 'this part of reality that we can understand in a rational way is highly limited, but the rest of reality we can only know by emphatically resonating with it.'

"We can only experience it, and learn to know it this way, and when we start to do so, it leads to true humanity and knowledge of the world, and this is what connects us to the overarching system of Eternal Spirit that we are part of. That kind of knowledge and connection can organise society in a truly human, fruitful and satisfying way.

'In the end, it is in this direction that we can find a solution for what we are facing, and not in the direction of more technocracy and transhumanism. As mass formation goes to the limit and exhausts itself, the other group will be ready at the perfect moment to create a new society, organised in a new way, taking that historic step. In many ways, we are living in a very seminal period of history. The birth of something new is always a difficult process. We may lose a lot, but it is a sacrifice we have to be willing to make."

- Mattias Desmet's new book 'The Psychology of Totalitarianism' is available in the UK from all good outlets on June 22.
- It is highly recommended for an understanding of both where we are today, and how we can move forward for a better future separate from the current ruling narrative. Professor Desmet has been part of the Headwind series, which can be viewed here https://www.headwind.tv

# The Physics of Radiant Energy, Cold Electricity

So far in the short history of electricity, the academic and corporate establishment has managed to stop any type of

free energy machine' coming to market.

Inventors have been ruined; e.g. Nikola Tesla had his laboratory burnt down and funding removed by J.P. Morgan (1). Joseph Newman (2) spent seven years fighting for a patent for his energy machine, only to be totally ruined for



his efforts. Pursuing this study and technology is not for the faint-hearted, and you're guaranteed to be fighting the ruling establishment.

This has happened to me so far at two universities: University of South Wales and Swansea University. The current academic teaching is that electricity only exists as an exothermic reaction, this being a chaotic reaction. The word entropy is used in physics, meaning a change within. A system is said

to always increase in entropy meaning chaos and disorder - in the generation of electricity due to friction (resistance), and hence the word entropy is used.

The truth is, electricity has two states of charge, endothermic and exothermic. Endothermic meaning heat or energy gain.

The term cold electricity - named by Nikola Tesla - or radiant energy, is an endothermic reaction, gaining energy from the surrounding air gases of the open system exchange, and from the material of the system. Electron mass is energy, and electrons are attracted into the charging electric field from the surrounding air gases, and thus the temperature sensors attached to the charging electric field of the battery in the home experiments, show a drop in temperature, due to the energy being removed from the surrounding air gases.

The amount of energy in air temperature is understood in physics as, Boltzmann's / Planck Equation, where the amount of energy (K) is measured per molecule, per Kelvin of temperature:

 $K = 1.380649 \times 10-23$  Joules per degree Kelvin

The Boltzmann/Planck Equation relates to the average relative kinetic energy (KE) of particles in a volume of gas; the warmer the air, the more energy it contains.




Figure 3: A charging electric field activated by light rays. Einstein expressed this using the formula: E photon  $= \varphi$  ion + KE electron




Figure 1: Three charging and discharging cycles

#### The physics of an electric field charge

So let's explore an electric field charge by NASA (3) from 2007.

As seen on the red line in figures 1 and 2, the electric field is an endothermic charge, this is orderly with no friction, gaining energy from the surrounding air gases and hence the temperature drops. It is friction which causes an exothermic reaction and heat (energy) loss, which starts after the endothermic reaction as seen, with an increase in temperature to the battery during the exothermic state.

NASA's conclusion in this experiment is vague: "The presence of an endothermic reaction indicates a significant entropy effect during the beginning of charge cycle."

NASA gave no physics conclusion that would help an understanding of what this temperature drop means. So to make it clear: when the electric field charge is engaged, the temperature sensor attached to the battery reveals a drop in temperature of nearly two degrees in the first 20 minutes of the charge, this time period accounting for around 50% of the overall voltage charge of the electric field. NASA calls this reduction in temperature during the charge, 'a significant

entropy effect', and 'endothermic cooling'. This is very misleading!

It was Albert Einstein (4,5) who was awarded the Nobel Prize for Physics in 1921 for his discovery of the photoelectric effect. The honour was stated to be "for his services to theoretical physics, and especially for his discovery of the law of the photoelectric effect."

In a charging electric field, the electron is not lost as described in figure 4, but removed to the negative part of the electric field. This is an energy gain to the charging system! Energy is transferred to the atom and the electron - the electromagnetic force (EMF) - the light rays are an EMF impact, which causes the metal atom to ionise. The

result is an increased kinetic ion+ and an increased kinetic electron; the opposite charge to each other, and due to this relationship, we have two electric monopoles of attraction and repulsion. These are the fundamental basics of electric fields and electromagnetism. The energy applied has altered the state of the atoms and has not been diminished (just added to the system). This is obeying the conservation of energy law (1st law of thermodynamics). The Photoelectric Effect is the catalyst to the following endothermic electric effect reaction. and the charging of the electric




Figure 4: In this example you will notice the sodium atom loses an electron to become a positively charged atom ion.

# **Endothermic Electricity** by GEOFF BLANCHE




Figure 2: One charging and discharging cycle

#### Why is there an energy gain?

An atom ion has a diameter around 1850 times bigger than an electron, so it can be no other reason than the physical size and attracting vibration which allows for this orderly, frictionless energy gain attraction. One must also consider that attracted electrons will repel each other whilst being attracted to the positive atom ion - they can move in free space. The charge is frictionless until the inward force is greater than the attractive outward force.

I have written this as a new law of physics, and named it after Joseph Newman.

#### Newman's law

There will be no resistance in an electric field charge until the negative electric field current has a greater 'force in' than the positive atom ions 'force out' of the system

And the Newman Point during the charge is: force of  $\phi$ ion+ = force of KE electrons

After the Newman Point, the system becomes exothermic.




Figure 5: Atom ion and removed electron, not to scale

#### Home experiment, showing no laws of physics are broken during endothermic electric charge

In this experiment, a Panasonic 18650 battery was used, similar to the battery NASA used. There was no voltage or current applied to the battery, the battery was placed in my home oven to raise its temperature to ambient room temperature. The battery was then connected to the circuit, and the voltage and temperature data were recorded with respect to time.




Figure 8: The starting temperature of TA and T1 is due to the battery temperature being raised above ambient temperature (T2 = yellow line). T1 shows the direction of kinetic energy flow of surrounding air gases into the electric field during




Figure 6: Battery charge circuit. NB. There was no DC power supply in this home experiment.



Figure 7: Positioning of temperature sensors, TA, T1 and T2 on battery.

charge, as TA temperature drops, voltage increases (blue line). From around 2000 seconds, TA and T1 show that the electric field charge is coming from the surrounding air electrons, as TA shows the battery to be colder, and T1 shows the surrounding air to be colder than ambient temperature T2. Remarkably, this shows an endothermic electric effect, whilst the trend is a rise in room temperature shown consistently by T2 throughout the experiment (it was a warm July afternoon in 2020 when I carried out this experiment). TA shows a temperature below ambient temperature (T2) from 2000 seconds until the end of the charge, due to electrons being attracted into the electric field from the surrounding air gases, leaving the air around the battery cooler than the ambient temperature, as measured by T2 (10cm from

#### Conclusions for no current no voltage applied test

Kinetic energy will flow from a warmer temperature zone to a cooler temperature zone; this we know and understand as high pressure to low pressure - the catalyst to the reaction, the photoelectric effect, (don't be confused to think that this reaction has to involve photons of light; it is an EMF) and is initiated by the elevated temperature of the battery compared to ambient temperature. This experiment confirms there is no reversal in the laws of physics during an endothermic charge; everything is as it should be, and it's academia that does not grasp the physics at present. We can give

them the benefit of the doubt (for now), as this analysis has not been made before, except by NASA, and their description of the physics is lacking any proper explanation of what is happening, according to the known gas laws of physics.

So ends part 2. In part 3 we will look into how to design an endothermic energy generator to benefit from these laws of physics.

For further study please go to: www.endothermic-electricity.com

- JP Morgan and Electric Power: 100 years of mis-conduct Global Critical Media Literacy Project
- 2. Joseph Newman YouTube

- Thermal Characterization Study of Lithium-lon Cells NASA Technical Reports Server (NTRS) [PDF] 100 Years of Einstein's Photoelectric Effect | Semantic Scholar
- 5. The Nobel Prize in Physics 1921

# Freedom activist sectioned - by whom?

by **KENNETH MORLEY** 

ON April 15, six constables, including transport constables arrested Simon Parry in a premeditated move outside Sctoland Yard in London, while he was acting peacefully.

Parry, aka 'Big Sy', is a longtime freedom activist known for his Telegram channel, 'Box Clever Army', and his regular grilling of MPs outside Parliament.

A constable read out allegations of harassment, malicious communications in a social media post, and threatening MPs.

However, no charges have been brought, no charge sheet has been produced, and there has been no trial. Already it looked like the orders were coming from on high. But how high?

Parry was held for two days and then transferred to the northwest, placed under Section 2 of the Mental Health Act for 28 days, and held in Royal Oldham NHS Hospital in Greater Manchester. He was allegedly held down by eight staff and forcibly medicated with an anti-psychotic medication with a long list of side effects, some of them permanent.

He was later moved to the Priory Cheadle Royal, a private hospital to the south of Manchester. In May, the *Daily Mail* ran a hit piece on the Priory Group entitled "Is the Priory fit for purpose?" with 20 patients dead since 2012.

After the 28 days elapsed, the hospital attempted to place Parry under a section 5(2) - a 72 hour extension - but bungled the paperwork, and he was allegedly held illegally during this period. This was admitted multiple times by a ward manager on a recorded phone call.

At this point, we began to suspect that someone wanted him put away for a long time, and the orders were coming from much higher.

The hospital management and staff constantly pushed back against all attempts to help Parry, blocking visits, denying representation, and moving him from ward to ward.

A second section 5(2) followed, also allegedly illegal. Then on May 20, a court hearing took place without Simon present, and with no legal representation, to remove his mother's status as next of kin.

Despite significant effort on the part of activists to prevent it, Parry was placed under Section 3, meaning

he will be locked up for six months, and can be repeatedly forcibly medicated.

But who was his new next of kin? A social or mental health worker? No. None other than a man who gave a speech in Tianjin, China in 2018 at the World Economic Forum conference, and Mayor of Greater Manchester, Mr. Andy Burnham.

It seems amazing that Burnham

power, and I call upon all good souls to unite in freedom, justice and honour to defend his good name. He is a man who has had the stamina to camp outside the Houses of Parliament for four months, peacefully fighting for justice and clarification, cleverly bantering with those who unjustly abuse their power, and yet still remaining



Parry, aka 'Big Sy

has enough time on his hands, in between mayoring two million people, that he can randomly take more people into his family.

When I get sectioned for declaring, as did Kate Shemirani and hundreds of thousands of others in the UK, that under the 1947 Nuremberg Code, MPs, doctors and nurses can be hanged if found guilty of medical experimentation, will you adopt me as well?

Fellow freedom activist Adele

"It saddens my heart to hear that this big, brave man has been forcibly held down by 8-10 wardens, forcibly injected against his will, and is now facing up to six months in a mental institute for expressing his basic human right of freedom of speech, which could happen to any one of us if we do not stand up and unite together to defend our freedoms.

"My mother was sectioned under the Mental Health Act too, and forcibly held down, medically raped, violated and endured electroshock treatment in the 1970s, in a notorious hospital which has long since closed down.

"Therefore, I will not stand for injustice, immorality or abuse of

polite, peaceful and respectful, which is a testament to his powers of restraint - not to mention astounding knowledge, wit and charm.

"Simon Parry is a hero to many. All heroes are flawed and yet what makes them heroes is the moral compass in their heart. Simon has his pointing towards truth and justice, and is loved and admired by many.

"Of course, he is a thorn in the side of those who are proving to be corrupt! It is brilliant men like him who are way ahead of their time and fundamental in bringing great positive change. As Samuel Adams wrote: 'It does not take a majority to prevail... but rather an irate, tireless minority, keen on setting brushfires of freedom in the minds of men.'

"This, we in the freedom movement hold in the fire of our belly, to peacefully advocate for justice for all of mankind, and let us remember that it is the giant in all of us that has awakened to lovingly follow the moral compass of our heart."

Learn more on the Telegram channel t.me/FreeBigSy

## 'Twitter does not believe in free speech'

by **ANDREA WIDBURG** 

EVERY conservative knows that Twitter does not believe in free speech. However, just because one knows something, that doesn't mean it's not tremendously satisfying to hear that admission from the horse's mouth — and in this case, the horse is Siru Murugesan, a senior engineer caught on hidden camera in a Project Veritas video.

Murugesan is an interesting man because he concedes two important things: first, working at Twitter has turned him into a leftist because that's the mindset of the entire company; and second, he's beginning to think Elon Musk is making sense when he says free speech is important. Murugesan's open-mindedness may flow from the fact that he's been experiencing some cognitive dissonance.

According to Murugesan, it's unquestionable that "Twitter does not believe in free speech." However, he hasn't quite grasped that this hostility to free speech is about power and control. Instead, Murugesan attributes it to Twitter's (and Facebook's and Instagram's) unwillingness to allow "bullying and harassment" on the site. As he understands it, free speech is all about preventing "bullying and harassment."

What Murugesan misses is that for leftists — and he characterises his colleagues as communists and "left, left, left, left" — bullying and harassment flow in only one direction. It's bullying and harassment if someone attacks their ideas; it's "speaking truth to power" if they attack someone else's ideas.

Nevertheless, despite this cognitive blindness, Murugesan was clearly beginning to be aware of something funny about the way things have been playing out at Twitter. He states that "if you bully a transgender, the right thinks it's okay, the left does not." Listening to that, you know that, in Murugesan's lexicon, "bully a transgender" doesn't mean hurling vicious opprobrium directly at someone who claims to be transgender. Instead, it means any statement that challenges, whether theoretically or

scientifically, the entire notion that transgenderism exists.

But having stated this purely leftist view, Murugesan goes on to note that he realises that something is wrong here:

"Ideologically, it does not make sense like, because we're actually censoring the right and not the left. So, everyone on the right wing will be like, 'Bro, it's okay to say it; just gotta tolerate it.' The left will be like, 'No, I'm not gonna tolerate it. I need it censored or else I'm not gonna be on the platform.' So, it does that on [to] the right. It's true. There is bias. It is what it is today."

In other words, conservatives are willing to engage in the give-and-take of an open society with free speech. Leftists, however, hate that and will shut it down for everyone.

Another interesting insight
Murugesan offers into the Twitter
environment is that it's not run
in a business-like fashion. He's
been working four hours a week
for some time, and is bestirring
himself to work more, only because
a promotion will net him a big
salary increase; a necessary thing
because inflation is affecting
him. Murugesan notes that
other colleagues have absented
themselves for months because they
needed mental health breaks.

Murugesan describes this disinterest in the bottom line as part of Twitter's socialist, even communist, ethos. This disinterest in the bottom line may also lend credence to a theory that Sundance, at The Conservative Treehouse, has raised in the past: Twitter's model is not set up to make a profit, leading him to believe that it's actually being sponsored by the United States government.

Again, the Project Veritas video doesn't tell us anything we haven't already figured out on our own. Nevertheless, it's still enormously satisfying to have our suspicions and conclusions confirmed. And it certainly makes me hope that Elon Musk has only paused his takeover, rather than abandoning it. Twitter, and the world, needs more free speech, not less.

https://www.americanthinker. com/blog/2022/05/twitter\_does\_ not\_believe\_in\_free\_speech.html

# Stakeholder capitalism - how corporations will rule the world

Covid-19 being used as catalyst to bring about Schwab's vision

THE declaration of the covid-19 pandemic in the spring of 2020 brought the world's economic systems to a near-standstill, decimating small and independent businesses and creating catastrophic hardships on working people everywhere.

In June of 2020, the World Economic Forum (WEF) - an elite think tank representing global corporations, government officials, academia, the media, and social policy influencers - in partnership with the United Nations, announced a plan to address the systemic economic and social injustices that the pandemic revealed or magnified.

Issues like 'gaps' in access to goods and services, the fragility of supply chains, and the environmental impact of daily activities such as driving to work were raised.

'The Great Reset' as the plan is called, aspires to 'seize something good' from the crisis by using it as the 'golden opportunity' to implement 'stakeholder capitalism' on a global scale. Executive chairman and CEO of the WEF, Klaus Schwab, has long argued for a new global socioeconomic order that is equitable, inclusive, resilient, and sustainable - "a new social contract that honours the dignity of every human being".

In order to accomplish this, Schwab argues businesses and corporations must adopt the governance principle (i.e. a framework establishing a corporation's strategic operations toward a mission or purpose) known as Stakeholder Capitalism.

Schwab claims that the realities of life in the 21st century - especially factors associated with The Fourth Industrial Revolution, or 4IR, such as automation, robotics, digital technologies, globalisation, nanotechnology and bioengineering - reveal the fundamental interconnectedness of people and environment.

He says this demonstrates the need to move beyond the outdated paradigm of prioritising the self over the community. Harmony among people and with the Earth that we by JULIANNE ROMANELLO

share is possible if we can set aside our attachment to individualism and individual rights. These must be tempered by reference to the 'common good', which looks toward the needs and preferences of the public as a whole. Schwab's reflection on the mentality guiding Germany's post-WWII recovery captures the heart of Stakeholder Capitalism, i.e. that "one person or entity could only do well if the whole community and economy functioned."

Stakeholder Capitalism is distinguished from two familiar economic models, both of which have a narrow vision of whose interest corporations are to serve:

- 1) Shareholder Capitalism, the standard model for most Western businesses, which sets profit maximisation for corporate shareholders (i.e. equity investors) as its primary criterion of economic
- 2) State Capitalism, a system in which the state dictates the structure and direction of the economy as a whole and requires individual corporations to conform to it. The primary example of this model is China

Where shareholder capitalism prioritises the economic success of individual corporations and their investors - oftentimes at the expense of the economic success of the community as a whole, state capitalism seeks to maximise the economic benefits to the community as a whole - even if that leads to diminished returns for the corporate entities that drive the economy.

By contrast, Stakeholder Capitalism seeks to harmonise the interests of the individual and the interests of the community. This 'third way' is accomplished by evaluating competing interests through a forward-looking or long-term moral perspective that envisions people and planetary balance as the ultimate economic goal. Or, in other words, sustainable development.

That is the core of stakeholder capitalism: it is a form of capitalism in which companies do not only optimise short-term profits for shareholders, but seek long-term value creation, by taking into account the needs of all their stakeholders, and society at large.

In an article in TIME Magazine Schwab explains that as "stakeholders of our global future", government, The Great
Reset plan
attempts to
reconfigure
almost every
feature of
society

that: "stakeholder capitalism...
positions private corporations as
trustees of society, and is clearly
the best response to today's social
and environmental challenges". In
this model, private corporations,
which are able to optimise operations
in response to pressing 'global'
problems, assume the place and
powers heretofore assigned to
representative government.

The Great Reset plan attempts to reconfigure almost every feature of society. Its launch of Stakeholder Capitalism, which positions private corporations to assume responsibility for the programs and powers of governments that (in principle, at social contract" is questionable.

But with rising unemployment and decreased consumer spending come lower tax revenues and, subsequently, severe budget deficits that will prevent public agencies from fulfilling their public service obligations - and at a time when more and more people find themselves in need of this assistance. Covid-19 is just the sort of crisis that's needed if one wishes to launch a 'new social contract' quickly and without the hassle of consulting the public. It is indeed a 'golden opportunity'.

As we saw earlier, Stakeholder Capitalism sets up private corporations as 'trustees of the social good'. How well a corporation lives



Klaus Schwab, founder & chairman of the World Economic Forum

business, and civil society all "have a shared responsibility to shape the world in collaborative ways".

The "golden opportunity" presented by the declared covid-19 pandemic consists in the revelation of the sub-optimal ability of representative governments to respond effectively to a traumatic and disruptive global crisis. Governments were slow and ineffective in their efforts to contain the spread of the disease and to make timely public health recommendations.

He argued that, by contrast, the private sector - especially technology and pharmaceutical companies - proved its ability to innovate, adapt, and collaborate to deliver a quick and effective solution to an immediate need.

Just a few months prior to the pandemic declaration, Schwab wrote

least) were designed to be accountable to the electorate, is one of the most radical and consequential of its innovations."

The governmental functions to be privatised under Stakeholder Capitalism include the administration and oversight of public services such as health and education services, housing, safety, transportation, and cultural events; and they also include executive, legislative, judicial, and regulatory powers.

In the stakeholder model, these functions are delegated to private or quasi-governmental corporations, often through a public-private partnership, or "P3."

Absent the unprecedented economic devastation caused by close to a year of lockdowns, the likelihood that the people of Western nations would readily adopt the WEF's "new

up to that responsibility will become the basis of its reputation capital score (ESG) - that is, its value as brand and that will be the key to securing a competitive edge in the radically-

altered 4IR post-Covid economy.

What better way to earn a reputation for social responsibility than to partner with cash-strapped public agencies in order to support public relief efforts with a variety of grants, exptert research and development services, and operational support?

Through these partnerships, private companies - in contrast to the people or their representative government - will acquire the means to drive social progress and to act as guardians of entire 'ecosystems' of people, places, things, and ideas.

http://www.heartsoverhexagons.com

# Seven questions to ask about the covid 'vaccines'

by **SERENA WYLDE** 

1. Why are they referring to a genemanipulating technology as a vaccine?

The term vaccination comes from the 18th century practice of cowpoxing; vacca being the Latin word for cow, and subsequently became the term given to the practice of introducing a minute quantity of a pathogen into the body in order to stimulate an immune response, as a supposed prophylactic measure in the event of exposure to said pathogen at a later stage.

But mRNA interventions do not act to directly induce an immune response. According to their manufacturers, they introduce a set of instructions in the form of a gene sequence, which enters the body's own cells and uses them as factories to produce the S1 spike protein. All this for the secondary benefit, they hope, of building an immune response to a toxin the body is now manufacturing. So the body is set up to attack itself, becoming both the attacker and the defender, which is the very definition of an auto-immune condition.

We are generating auto-immune disease en masse just in order to create a quasi-immunity against a part of a virus. It is hazardous in the extreme, with the short-term effects of disability and death caused by neurological and cardiac damage already manifest, and the medium and long-term effects unknown. As a result of depletion of the body's natural defences, we may well see the activation of many agents which ordinarily lie dormant in the body, such as TB, as well as an eruption of cancer tumours whose cells are otherwise held in check by healthy immune systems; provoking a condition known as VAIDS - vaccineacquired immune deficiency syndrome.

2. How many people would have allowed themselves to be injected had they known the intervention altered part of their DNA?

Humans are not meant to make spike proteins. They are not part of the human genome.

3. Why refer to the mRNA interventions as 'gene-therapy'?

Therapy is a word reserved for the treatment of a condition, disorder or disease. The arrogance of administering therapy to healthy individuals is, at best, an unwarranted



interference, at worst an assault,

4. Why do professions ending in 'ologist' suddenly need studies to declare the obvious, that naturally acquired immunity is far superior to anything artificially stimulated?

The immune system is a multi-faceted, powerful, complex system that has evolved over millennia, and is far from completely understood. What is known is that when the body suffers a disease, it mobilises a battery of defence mechanisms which work in harmony, producing antibodies as a frontline defence to kill pathogens circulating in body fluid or on tissue surfaces, and a T-cell response to deal with pathogens if they manage to enter cells where they can replicate, because antibodies cannot access those cells. Once recovered from a particular infection, the body's T-cells conserve a broad spectrum and lengthy memory, recognising any similar subsequent invader and acting swiftly to overcome

Vaccines that provoke high antibody levels, on the other hand, produce a concomitant reduction in the T-cell response. With T-cell response suppressed, if the pathogen eludes the antibodies, gets into the cells and starts replicating, unlike in an untainted immune system, the tools to combat the microbe are not available, allowing it to establish a persistent, or longer term infection. Furthermore, the

antibodies induced by vaccination are specific, not broad spectrum, which renders them more easily bypassed by a related pathogen.

#### 5. What is meant by 'vaccines waning'?

Vaccines of themselves do not confer immunity. They aim to provoke a reaction by the individual's own immune system. How durable and effective that is is an entire area of enquiry. But if the initial perceived immune response vanishes within a short window of time, it signifies the intervention has failed in its purpose. Continued over-soliciting of the body's immune responses by more failed interventions only leads to a weakening of the body's innate protections, rendering it more vulnerable to attacks by toxic agents.

6. Why was it said that the mRNA payload remained in the deltoid muscle, at the site of the injection, or nearby? On what basis was this assumption made?

Professor Michael Palmer gave a video presentation of the pharmacokinetics and toxicity of mRNA injections as part of the Doctors for Covid Ethics' symposium on 30th July 2021, featuring a bio-distribution study of the spike proteins and how they gravitated in particularly high concentrations to the liver, spleen and ovaries.

The mRNA 'packages' containing

the gene sequence are suspended in lipid nanoparticles (LNPs), which are essentially tiny bubbles of fat that are used to deliver genetic material into the cells. But our immune system has all sorts of gate-keeping ways of protecting our cells from invasion, so polyethylene glycol (PEG) is added to promote wide-spread dissemination throughout the body; to deliver a toxin-creating gene sequence into the cells of all our body's systems.

So why the claim that most of the payload stays in the arm muscle?

The above information is provided by Dr. Byram W. Bridle in his article A Moratorium on mRNA 'Vaccines' is Needed. Re-Visiting the Biodistribution of Lipid Nanoparticles, published on Substack on 22nd April 2022 under Covid Chronicles. He also informs us that PEG is the component most associated with causing anaphylactic shock (severe hyper-acute allergic reaction).

7. Why do academics and physicians recommend it be given to the elderly and immunecompromised?

Does it make sense to give individuals with weakened or poor immune systems an intervention which will further damage their ability to ward off everyday infections?

Project Veritas recently released a leaked recording of an AstraZeneca Zoom call of December 2020 in which its CEO, Pascal Soriot, stated that the millions of people with compromised immune systems could not receive a covid vaccine. Jon Rappoport points out that he himself had explained the simple reason for this back in early 2020, which is that a vaccine is supposed to provoke a reaction from the immune system, but if the system is weak and cannot respond, the vaccine functions as a "super-high toxic invader which overwhelms the

This did not prevent the European Medicines Agency stating:

'There are limited data on immunecompromised people. But although they may not respond as well to the vaccine, there are no particular safety concerns. Immune-compromised people can still be vaccinated as they may be at higher risk from covid-19.

And, of course, from our own Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunisation (SAGE) came the recommendation that 'severe or moderately immune-compromised persons should be offered an additional dose of vaccine'.

#### References:

- 'Micro Blood Clots Explain Covid-19 Vaccine Impacts' published by Joel S. Hirshhorn on Global Research on 31st January 2022, and includes Dr. Charles Hoffe's findings.
- Pfizer's Cumulative Analysis of Post-authorisation Adverse Events Reports to 28.02.21.
- 'Spike Protein in mRNA COVID Vaccines: One of the Most Bioactive and Damaging Substances Known to Mankind' published by Mary Villareal on Global Research on 25th March 2022.
- 'Doctors & Scientists with Brian Hooker PhD' Episode 24 of a series of interviews published on Children's Health Defense on 23rd April 2022.
- 'The COVID Lies' by Dr. Mike Yeadon published on Global Research on 27th April 2022.
- Prof. Suckarit Bhakdi MD's reporting of autopsy findings performed on covid-19 vaccination fatalities across a broad spectrum of ages.

# Try not to believe everything you think

CIA director William Casey is reputed to have said to Ronald Reagan: "We'll know our disinformation is complete when everything the American public believes is false."

Fast forward thirty years, and there's no piece of fiction which the masses will not swallow.

From woke to covid to the war in Ukraine, people no longer make their own ideological pilgrimages to the truth - the truth is served oven-ready by their political betters.

Nowadays, there's little distinction between the two hemispheres: reality and illusion. It's not so much that people have been robbed of their ability to decipher between the two, it's that facts have been reoriented into fiction and fiction into facts. It's a degradation of epistemology so momentous, that people don't even know that they don't know that they don't know what's happening, to quote Noam Chomsky.

In the grand scheme of things, humanity has perjured itself and life as we know it has morphed into a sort of science fiction-soap opera with few common ancestors to reality. Even right-thinking folks require the equivalent of a cerebral chainsaw to hollow out the slew of implausible narratives into something remotely resembling reality. It goes beyond fiction to predictive programming. They're not just deceiving you; they're showing you that they're deceiving you.

What is neither here nor there to the deceived is the track record of their deceivers. Before the ink dried on the newsprint proclaiming the crisis in-waiting, the falsifications of covid were buried under the falsehoods of war, Zelensky's standing ovation at Westminster knocked Pfizer's data release off the rostrum and those formerly joined at the hip to covid got hitched to their Ukrainian brides.

This entire fiasco holds water because what people think they know for sure, that just ain't so, is a consensus. A preponderance of fabrications, falsehoods and false prophets governs the spiritual milieu. People worship the prosaic and glorify artifice. Their moral choices are guided by platitude and not virtue, anecdote

To complicate matters, what was formerly held sacred has become profane and what was formerly

by **DUSTIN BROADBERY** 

profane has become sacred, to quote Robert Sepehr.

There's a war raging, alright, but you will find its theatre of operations inside the human psyche. It is a war on consciousness, an atrophy of culture and its stark consequence is the

In the grand scheme
of things, humanity
has perjured itself
and life as we know
it has morphed into
a sort of science
fiction-soap opera
with few common
ancestors to reality

spiritual malaise of humanity.

Freemasons, for one, understood that information was power.
Concealing beyond the prying eyes of the lower orders, the esoteric mysteries of the cosmos.

Then as now, it's not enough for these people to hold a monopoly over knowledge, they must deprive everyone else of its illumination, or go one further and spread ignorance. It goes beyond censoring counterarguments to fomenting falsehoods, It's not so much societal breakdown but inducing self-immolation. People are being misinformed and stupefied and sent out as agents of disinformation to further deconstruct what's left of an already deconstructed reality.

To make matters worse, precisely zero lessons have been learned these past two years. People flounder from one crisis to the next. Walk aimlessly from quarantine camp to air-raid shelter into whichever direction their political higher-ups point them, to deride whoever is nominated as the scourge of society du jour. The great national pastime is to gather at the pillories and hurl cabbages at

antivaxxers, Russians, (insert your antihero here).

If all this sounds remarkably like an information war, then it probably is.

The battle for hearts and minds has moved online. Our divine spark of life is being overhauled to data. Something of divine proportions compels us to the internet, to data - our daily bread (and circuses), our digital avatars living richer, more meaningful lives than their truant owners.

What ceases to be worth the candle is the epistemology of our data.

It doesn't matter which side of the fence you're on - a card-carrying member of the great awakening or collectivist idiot on the lockdown left, you're still part of the same problem: you have been taken hostage by a series of narratives laid on with a shovel by the predator class and designed for the sole purpose of keeping you rapt and not informed, sedentary and not spirited. In the world of algorithms, everyone is created equal, and data is just data, there's no morality to it.

These events play out as a nailbiting whodunnit, but the reality is, they're not supposed to be solved. There's no answers nor restitution it's your attention and not your belief system which is being harvested.

What these hellhounds want is for you to pick your side, choose your battle, but make sure your battle-lines are social media and you're not throwing Molotov Cocktails at the Tower of Babel.

Everyone has a dog in the fight.
Particularly, those baying for the blood of the unvaccinated or calling for violence against Russians, who do so, according to Voltaire, because when they can make you believe in absurdities, they can make you commit atrocities

If a person's psyche is under siege and they don't designate an enemy to scapegoat, they might get wise to who's really attacking them, and that simply wouldn't do.

In this theatre of the absurd, people acclimatise to fiction because it's easier than confronting uncomfortable truths. But under these fertile conditions any version of reality, no matter how precarious, will wash. That's where the Great Reset enters the fray.

Once you desecrate a person's moralistic and cultural maps of the world, their place in it becomes increasingly untenable. People lose touch with reality and what it means



to be human. The ensuing crisis of identity leaves them susceptible to hostile takeover.

Predictive Programming is the theory of a hidden hand operating the levers of reality. A sort of reality adjustment bureau obfuscating real world events through film, literature, and news media manipulation. The fundamental principle here is psychological conditioning that

There's a war raging, alright, but you will find its theatre of operations inside the human psyche. It is a war on consciousness, an atrophy of culture and its stark consequence is the spiritual malaise of humanity

reduces people's resistance to the acceptance of planned future events and encourages them to swap concrete reality structures for static constructs, until eventually, our inherited world view is replaced by mythos and archetypes.

That we are living through the objectification of the predator class is no moot point. That's their messiah complex imprinted onto the collective

consciousness and projected back onto the real world. Of their own volition, the masses are breathing life into these grotesqueries and blotting the social fabric.

But it runs even deeper than predictive programming. Some call this *Revelation of the Method*.

According to Michael Hoffman: first they suppress the counterargument, and when the most opportune time arrives, they reveal aspects of what's really happened, but in a limited hangout way.

We were told the vaccines were harmless, until Pfizer debased their own safety claims, but not before the entire world had been vaccinated. Lockdown apologists across the corporate media are now almost unanimous that lockdowns do more harm than good. This is no arbitrary volte-face, but rather a carefully planned sequence of disclosures when the time is ripe.

Michael Hoffman suggests that the ruling elite are giving notice of their supremacy. Declaring themselves virtuoso criminal masterminds, who are above the law and beyond repercussion. But most of all, they are telling you, in no uncertain terms, that you are without recourse, these events are beyond your control, as is your own destiny for that matter. Eventually a sense of apathy and abulia engulfs humanity, demoralising us to the point of conceding defeat to a system we are powerless to change.

In the end, we're all victims of the same masterstroke, whether keyboard evangelist or state-apologist, everyone is being royally screwed, and it's not so much that they're laughing at you, it's that you're laughing at yourself.

https://www.thecogent.org/post/ revelation-of-the-method

# Creating foundations for trust in public life - a threefold approach

Might Steiner's model provide the magic key that critics of both traditional capitalism and centralised state socialism have long been seeking?

AUSTRIAN spiritual philosopher Rudolf Steiner (1861-1925) is not well known for his views on social reform and how best to organise human society.

But 100 years ago, he campaigned for a threefold approach that addressed the failures of both traditional capitalism as well as state socialism. The relevance of this approach to preventing the sort of falsehoods we have seen around the covid narrative is remarkable.

In an April 2022 talk, Dr Ryan Cole discussed just how damaged trust had become in public life (see tinyurl.com/5n8bh3hp). He noted how it's hard to trust someone unless they're both truthful and transparent (i.e. truth + transparency = trust), and provided a devastating list of examples where serious fraud and lack of transparency have recently come to light in the medical establishment. Perhaps truth and transparency – and therefore, trust - have never been squeaky-clean in public life; but of late, things seem to have deteriorated alarmingly.

Steiner first proposed his innovative approach to social reform at the end of World War One. He noted how there are three basic spheres of society – culture, politics and economics – and maintained that if each could be guided by one of those famous ideals of liberté, égalité, fraternité, respectively, then societal health would greatly improve. Exactly how he defined these three realms needs a longer article, but in brief, the cultural realm would ideally be guided by liberty - personal freedom - at all times. The political realm (which is concerned with rights and responsibilities alone) would be guided by equality (e.g. one person, one vote, and all equal before the

by **RICHARD MASTERS** 

law). Third, the economic domain works best for society when run along more brotherly/sisterly lines, more associatively.

To enable these three distinct mindsets to flourish properly, another key recommendation is that the three realms – culture, politics and economics – be kept largely independent from one another. That is the threefold approach in a nutshell. For societal healing to take place, the three realms are allowed a level of independence such that they are free from contamination by each other, and it is best if each sphere follows its respective guiding principle.

This relatively
simple set of
principles shows
itself capable
of contributing
very substantially
to more solid
foundations on
which greater trust
can be built right
across society

The merits of this approach can't be overestimated. If one drills down into the many possible implications, then this relatively simple set of principles shows itself capable of contributing very substantially to more solid foundations on which greater trust can be built right across society.

Take the shocking state of affairs in the medical world. Vast numbers of doctors (by no means all, thankfully) have not baulked at giving healthy children novel gene 'therapy' covid jabs, despite their not being at risk from covid, and despite



there being poor short-term safety data (it transpires!) and no long-term safety data for these jabs. Healthy children have exceptional immune systems; why on earth mess with that?

Under a threefold approach, scientific research and understanding (part of the cultural domain, in Steiner's definition) would be independent from both the state (political domain) and industry (economic domain). Big Pharma would not be able to influence the work of scientists or university departments, and governments would not be able to censor research findings to suit their partisan narratives. The likelihood of falsehoods being peddled would then be very greatly reduced. Researchers would simply be interested in advancing knowledge, not looking over their shoulder to please funders or a state bureaucracy.

Never again would we see the absurd situation where some of the most eminent physicians and academics in their field (like Dr Peter McCullough and Dr Byram Bridle) were 'cancelled', with toe-

curling threats of loss of licence and employment.

Here were just two of the many highly accomplished experts emphatically raising concerns, and yet others were able to threaten them on account of their connections to industry or politics. An example, if ever there was one, of contamination of one societal realm (culture) by the other two (economics and politics). We also saw similar contamination in much of the media (which is also part of the cultural sphere, in Steiner's definition). Both the likes of OFCOM (rights realm) and industrialists (economic realm) were able to come down on the media and perpetuate a particular, one-sided narrative; and woe betide anyone who might dare to point out the serious irregularities in their story!

Or there was the U.S. FDA's disturbing attempt – featured in *The Light* in April – to withhold, for 75 years, the number of deaths following Pfizer's jab trials. Transparency... not! Rather than the cultural realm having been contaminated in this instance, this sorry story suggested it was the

political realm which had been contaminated by the economic. The FDA is a representative of the political realm in that it is supposed to be there to protect the public, to protect people's right not to be harmed. But when, for example, one reads that 75 per cent of the FDA's drug review budget comes from Big Pharma, or that a certain Michael R. Taylor, formerly of Monsanto now Bayer, was appointed the FDA's Deputy Commissioner, it would seem clear that inter-realm corruption between Steiner's three spheres is rife. These are just a few examples - many more could be given.

Under a threefold approach (which in some respects is perhaps just common sense), such excruciating conflicts of interest are minimised, and proper foundations for truth, transparency and trust in public life can be established.

Richard Masters, Rudolf Steiner and Social Reform: Threefolding and Other Proposals (published by Rudolf Steiner Press, April 2022) see tinyurl.com/5n6ft92r.

# The sovereign party - for freedom and rights

THE 'No More Lockdowns' political party has changed its name to The Sovereign Party/ Plaid Sofren, and stood in the Welsh local elections to promote a positive recovery for Wales and protect freedom and rights.

The party was founded by Gruffydd Meredith and Justin Lilley, who along with others contested seats in the Senedd Elections in 2021 under the name No More Lockdowns. In that election they beat a number of more established parties in the regional vote and also won a 'parallel seat' in the Welsh Youth Parliament.

Mr Meredith said: "One of the main aims when we originally set up the party ready for the 2021 Senedd election was to draw attention to just how draconian most of the lockdown policies were, and how our basic freedoms and rights needed to be protected from being eroded or taken away from us.

"We were also pointing out how real and measured science was being dismissed and genuine experts ignored or even attacked for seeking to have real and open dialogue about the pandemic and the reaction to it. For example, under the scientific precautionary principle, experimental vaccines which are still on trial should not be pushed on anyone, never mind young children."

A year on and the party needed to change its name but continue with the simple message of individual freedom and human rights - Sovereignty.

Party Leader Mr Meredith (candidate for Llandaf) said: "There are still real and serious threats to our personal sovereignty and our freedoms. It's already being implied that there may be more lockdowns, mandates and restrictions in the coming months or even years based on incredibly dubious, often non-existent or misleading scientific or medical

"And we are being pushed towards a dystopian, cashless, Brave New World surveillance state promoted by big tech and big pharma corporations, and by a globalist and global governance

by **GRUFFYDD MEREDITH** 

agenda which we never agreed to. Enough is enough.

"Freedom and rights must prevail. As a party we will work to protect the essential and inalienable rights and freedoms of all the people of Wales. And we'll stand for personal sovereignty and free choice in these matters, now and in the future.

"We have an opportunity to create a great future for all communities across Wales and we don't have to accept the dismal narrative of fear and hysteria that the media and government are feeding us – we can and should write our own script and create our own narrative."

These views may be challenging to some but come as a tonic to others.

Justin Lilley (candidate for Plasnewydd) added: "We want to serve the people and protect living standards. We are not afraid to say it how it is and quite frankly – the last few years have not been good enough. Elected officials must do better."

The Sovereign Party's aims are to: Support communities and local businesses; combat crime, unemployment and homelessness; and guard against mass surveillance and cybercrime

"We are for the essential freedoms, rights and personal sovereignty of all people in Wales," said Mr Meredith.

When asked about The Sovereign Party's view on climate change, the members encouraged open discussion, adding: "We are for the environment, for less pollution and greater energy efficiency. However, we think 'net-zero' is a dangerous aim.

"Instead, we believe people, given the best information, and the right to choose; more often than not, make the best choices – government should get out of the way and let people decide. We would support individuals and communities to grow food and learn essential growing skills.

"One of the party's policies for example is that Wales should have food and energy independence locally and nationally so that the

# The Sovereign Party Plaid Sofren

country and its people are as selfsufficient as possible in its own food and energy production, ready for the challenges of the future."

Clearly unafraid of being out of step with the mainstream, The Sovereign Party is certainly giving people an alternative to what other parties are saying. With concerns over rising energy and fuel prices and the knock-on inflation to follow, maybe there will be some sympathy for the ongoing campaign of The Sovereign Party. But how much of an impact can a small, new party with very little funding have?

Mr Meredith said: "We are not saying we are David against Goliath. We are saying we are a clear alternative and want to focus on helping communities and businesses recover from the lockdowns we strongly opposed.


"If people want something different, a party to connect with on a human level then they are welcome with The Sovereign Party/Plaid Sofren. We want to serve the people and the greater good."

"We stood in four wards in Cardiff. Our aim was to establish our new name in this election and then build on it for the future. As mentioned, we were previously called the No More Lockdowns and we decided to change the name in order to have a broader platform and to promote protecting all our fundamental rights and freedoms that are under obvious threats, not just lockdowns.

"We are inviting anyone that may want to stand for us in any future elections in Wales, locally

or nationally, to get in touch and let us know. We simply ask that people read our 10 basic priority policies or principles - which hopefully they can agree with - these points are essentially to do with freedom, rights and sovereignty. Anyone from outside of Wales is also obviously welcome to get in touch to discuss possibilities at any time - all people from all countries have to start working together as much as possible as all of our freedoms and rights are being seriously threatened by the same criminals. We realise that people are distrustful of politics and most political parties by now, as we are, but our belief is that we need to try and fight within the corrupt system as well as outside of it if we can. We believe the rise of independent candidates is also a good, healthy thing to see in general.

"The Sovereign Party/Plaid Sofren is also proud to say that we believe that we're the first Welsh party - or possibly within Britain - to officialy and openly declare as one of our fundamental policies and values that we are totally opposed to attempts to create an oppressive system of unelected global governance - often referred to as One World Government or New World Order etc., and that we instead favour diverse nations working together as independent friendly and equal partners. As readers of The Light know very well, undemocratic policies and agendas are interfering in democratic decision-making and policies both locally and nationally, and must be reversed.



**DEAR Light paper,** 

I read and distribute your paper monthly, thanks so much for producing it.

As a practising and registered Homeopath however, I need to reply to an article in issue 20, page 11 written by Serena Wylde regarding Homeopathy.

Homeopathy was used widely in America in the early 20th century, however this was not "using ancient knowledge developed over centuries by Native Americans..."

Homeopathy, as we know it today, was founded by German physician Dr Samuel Hahnemann (1755-1843).





Hahnemann was the first to establish the fundamental principles of the science and art of Homeopathy.

Homeopathy uses medicines derived from plant, animal and mineral kingdoms and is an energetic medicine based on the ' Law of Similars' or 'like cures like'.

The Law of Similars was also known to ancient Greek 'Father of Medicine' Hippocrates (460-370BC), and was taught in Greek schools such as Pythagorean.

Dr Hahnemann treated thousands of difficult and chronic cases that defied the best care from allopathic doctors all over Europe. Thus, he became so famous that physicians from Europe and America came to him for coaching in the new science and art healing called, Homeopathy.

Thanks.

Luci Joan Lawless, RSHom.



#### Misrepresenting someone's argument to make it easier to attack

By exaggerating, misrepresenting, or just completely fabricating someone's argument, it's much easier to present your own position as being reasonable, but this kind of dishonesty serves to undermine rational debate.

When Adam said he loved his mum, Bernie accused him of racism, and that the country should be open for everyone, not just those who lived there previously, like Adam's mum.



#### slippery slope

#### Asserting that if we allow A to happen, then Z will consequently happen too, therefore A should not happen.

The problem with this reasoning is that it avoids engaging with the issue at hand, and instead shifts attention to baseless extreme hypotheticals. The merits of the original argument are then tainted by unsubstantiated conjecture.

'If the government didn't pass new laws all of the time, people would soon find ways to get one over on others, and pretty soon you'd have total anarchy!'



### special pleading

#### Moving the goalposts or making up exceptions when a claim is shown to be false.

Humans are funny creatures and have a foolish aversion to being wrong. Rather than appreciate the benefits of being able to change one's mind through better understanding, many will invent ways to cling to old beliefs.

'You have to let us introduce this draconian legislation which noves everyone's freedom because TERRORISTS!! We now need to closely surveil every single person to keep everyone safe.



## the gambler's fallacy

#### Believing that 'runs' occur to statistically independent phenomena such as roulette wheel spins.

This commonly believed fallacy can be said to have helped create a city in the desert of Nevada USA. Though the overall odds of a 'big run' happening may be low, each spin of the wheel is itself entirely independent from the last.

Although all major parties have immediately reneged on their promises once elected, the general public have continued to vote for one or other of them for centuries.



#### black-or-white

#### Where two alternative states are presented as the only possibilities, when in fact more possibilities exist.

Also known as the false dilemma, this insidious tactic has the appearance of forming a logical argument, but under closer scrutiny it becomes evident that there are more possibilities than the either/or choice that is presented.

"You're either with us, or you're with the terrorists," said George Bush after 9/11, but gave no option to be with neither, which is the most sensible path for ordinary people.



#### Presuming that a real or perceived relationship between things means that one is the cause of the other.

Many people confuse correlation (things happening together or in sequence) for causation (that one thing actually causes the other to happen). Sometimes correlation is coincidental, or it may be attributable to a common cause.

Attempts to prove more Co2 is the cause of higher temperatures fail when closer inspection reveals the temperature changes around 500 years before any changes in Co2 levels



#### ad hominem

#### Attacking your opponent's character or personal traits in an attempt to undermine their argument.

Ad hominem attacks can take the form of overtly attacking somebody, or casting doubt on their character. The result of an ad horn attack can be to undermine someone without actually engaging with the substance of their argument.

'You don't have a medical degree so you can't know anything about health, even if you are talking about research papers, experiments and what those who are highly-qualified are saying'.



## loaded question

#### Asking a question that has an assumption built into it so that it can't be answered without appearing guilty.

Loaded question fallacies are particularly effective at derailing rational debates because of their inflammatory nature - recipients of a loaded question are compelled to defend themselves and may appear flustered or on the back foot.

How much did the Queen pay Virginia Giuffre to keep the sordid details of Prince Andrew's alleged paedophilia out of the courts and a lengthy, highly embarrassing news cycle?



#### Appealing to popularity or the fact that many people do something as an attempted form of validation.

The flaw in this argument is that the popularity of an idea has absolutely no bearing on its validity. If it did, then the Earth would have made itself flat for most of history to accommodate this popular belief.

Wearing a mask obviously helps prevent something, because nearly everyone has one on, and they're all still alive'. Usually, the opposite of what the masses believe is closer to the truth.





#### A circular argument in which the conclusion is included in the premise.

This logically incoherent argument often arises in situations where people have an assumption that is very ingrained, and therefore taken in their minds as a given. Circular reasoning is bad mostly because it's not very good.

'We know the Earth is a sphere, because any attempt to survey it must take into account its curvature for it to be accurate Unfortunately, most attempts to explain cosmology begin like this.



## appeal to authority

SOCR

#### Saying that because an authority thinks something, it must therefore be true.

PLATO

It's important to note that this fallacy should not be used to dismiss the claims of experts, or scientific consensus. Appeals to authority are not valid arguments, but nor is it reasonable to disregard the claims of experts who have a demonstrated depth of knowledge unless one has a similar level of understanding.

'The Chief Medical Officer has told us not to walk within six feet of strangers, and he knows more about health than you' 'If it was true, it would have been reported on the news'



### appeal to nature

#### Making the argument that because something is 'natural' it is therefore valid, justified, inevitable, good, or ideal.

Many 'natural' things are also considered 'good', and this can bias our thinking: but naturalness itself doesn't make something good or bad. For instance murder could be seen as very natural, but that doesn't mean it's justifiable.

Although the new food was called 'plant-based', the fact they actually meant 'industrial plant-based' was kept from the public during the marketing launch phase of new 'meat-free' products.

## thou shalt not com

A logical fallacy is a flaw in reasoning. Strong arguments are void of logical fallacies, whilst arguments that are weak tend to use fallacies in place of cogen This poster has been designed to help you identify and call out dodgy logic wherever it may raise its ugly, incoherent hea





#### Manipulating an emotional response in place of a valid or compelling argument.

Appeals to emotion include appeals to fear, envy, hatred, pity, guilt, and more. Though a valid, and reasoned, argument may sometimes have an emotional aspect, one must be careful that emotion doesn't obscure or replace reason.

'If you don't get injected with the experimental mRNA jabs, you might never be out of lockdown or be able to go on holiday any more, and what about the wedding?'



#### tu quoque

#### Avoiding having to engage with criticism by turning it back on the accuser - answering criticism with criticism.

Literally translating as 'you too' this fallacy is commonly employed as an effective red herring because it takes the heat off the accused having to defend themselves and shifts the focus back onto the accuser themselves

MPs answered the accusation of inflating their expenses and robbing the taxpayer blind, by saying too many small, independent businesses didn't pay their full tax each year.



#### burden of proof

#### Saying that the burden of proof lies not with the person making the claim, but with someone else to disprove.

The burden of proof lies with someone who is making a claim, and is not upon anyone else to disprove. The inability, or disinclination, to disprove a claim does not make it valid (however we must always go by the best available evidence).

Without the news offering any proof of what they claim happened, Mike insisted his friend prove it didn't happen, as they had just announced it on the nightly news.



### ambiguity

the fallacy

fallacy

fallacy has been committed.

Presuming a claim to be necessarily wrong because a

It is entirely possible to make a claim that is false yet argue with logical

coherency for that claim, just as it is possible to make a claim that is true and justify it with various fallacies and poor arguments.

'You called Bill Gates an evil psychopath, therefore everything you say about his funding the WHO, many universities and media like the BBC and The Guardian is false'.

personal

Saying that because one finds something difficult to

Subjects such as biological evolution via the process of natural selection

require a good amount of understanding before one is able to properly grasp them; this fallacy is usually used in place of that understanding.

Frank could not believe that Graham thought God had created

everything; everyone knew that was an outdated concept and long

, since proven false by modern science.

understand, it's therefore not true.

incredulity

#### Using double meanings or ambiguities of language to mislead or misrepresent the truth.

Politicians are often guilty of using ambiguity to mislead and will later point to how they were technically not outright lying if they come under scrutiny. It's a particularly tricky and premeditated fallacy to commit.

'I did not have 'sexual relations' with that woman,' said Bill Clinton, meaning he didn't have intercourse; it later transpired they had 'relations' of which a wife would not approve.



### composition / division

#### Assuming that what's true about one part of something has to be applied to all, or other, parts of it.

Often when something is true for the part it does also apply to the whole, but because this isn't always the case it can't be presumed to be true. We must show evidence for why a consistency will exist.

Most racist/groupification thinking comes from this fallacy. The world is a complex place, and trying to simplify it by putting everything in a box will give one a very un-nuanced view of it.



#### no true scotsman

#### Making what could be called an appeal to purity as a way to dismiss relevant criticisms or flaws of an argument.

This fallacy is often employed as a measure of last resort when a point has been lost. Seeing that a criticism is valid, yet not wanting to admit it, new criteria are invoked to dissociate oneself or one's argument.

'You can't possibly be a conscientious and caring member of society unless you go along with everything the government tells us to do, no matter how pointless or unreasonable'.



#### genetic

#### Judging something good or bad on the basis of where it comes from, or from whom it comes.

To appeal to prejudices surrounding something's origin is another red herring fallacy. This fallacy has the same function as an ad hominem, but applies instead to perceptions surrounding something's source or context.

Harry mocked lan's views, as they had come from doing his own research on the internet, and not from an established corporate or government media outlet like the BBC or The Guardian.



#### anecdotal

#### Using personal experience or an isolated example instead of a valid argument, especially to dismiss statistics.

It's often much easier for people to believe someone's testimony as opposed to understanding variation across a continuum. Scientific and statistical measures are almost always more accurate than individual perceptions and experiences.

You are statistically more likely to be killed in a hospital than out of it, yet Charles insisted this could not be the case, as he had recently been in hospital with a broken arm, and survived.



#### the texas sharpshooter

#### Cherry-picking data clusters to suit an argument, or finding a pattern to fit a presumption.

This 'false cause' fallacy is coined after a marksman shooting at barns and then painting a bullseye target around the spot where the most bullet holes appear. Clusters naturally appear by chance, and don't necessarily indicate causation.

Ignoring the high rates of infant mortality in the past, the Health Officer claimed the fact people lived longer today was down to pharmaceuticals and vaccines - i.e. modern medicine.



#### middle ground

#### Saying that a compromise, or middle point, between two extremes must be the truth.

Much of the time the truth does indeed lie between two extreme points, but this can bias our thinking: sometimes a thing is simply untrue and a compromise of it is also untrue. Half way between truth and a lie, is still a lie.

Jamie says the sky is blue, while Kevin insists the sky is red; Lucy looks up to both of her friends and thinks they both might be right, and so concludes the sky must be purple.

## mit logical fallacies

t logic. They're like tricks or illusions of thought, and they're often very sneakily used by politicians, the media, and others to fool people. Don't be fooled!

ad. If you see someone committing a logical fallacy online, link them to the relevant one e.g. yourfallacy.is/strawman

d redistribute this artwork non-commercially with the binding proviso that you reproduce it in full so that others may share alike

# Ukraine's Nazi connections and the British cover-up

The UK taxpayer is funding a Nazi regime in Ukraine rather than prioritising its domestic interests

THE origin of the war in Ukraine and its propagation of neo-Nazism can be traced back to the 2014 Ukrainian revolution, which saw America help overthrow the legitimately elected president, Viktor Yanukovych, and create the terror of Maidan Square.

Months before, Assistant U.S. Secretary of State, Victoria Nuland, had publicly stated that the U.S. had spent \$5 billion to support democracy in Ukraine. When that 'democracy' spiralled into predictable national violence, much to the dismay of European leaders, Nuland famously stated, "Fuck the EU." A three-word synopsis for U.S. democratic diplomacy then and now.

Regionally and culturally Ukraine is divided East to West, on either side of the Dnieper River with the capital, Kyiv at the north end. Eastern Ukraine is primarily culturally Russian and has been for centuries. The 1939 Molotov/Ribbentrop Pact divided Poland and Ukraine along new borders and today western Ukraine is far more aligned culturally and politically with western Europe and the U.S. For these reasons, western Ukraine has great animosity towards the East, hence the 2014 election was very close and violent.

Yanukovych was from the Donbas of far eastern Ukraine and until the 2014 election, the people of the city regions of Luhansk (LPR), Donetsk (DPR), and the Donbas had little to fear from the Ukrainian government. These regions are the important industrial. manufacturing and mining centres of Ukraine while the western half is far more agrarian. Regardless, east and west lived in relative harmony post-1939 until 2014. On February 20 that year, pro-democracy snipers murdered in cold blood forty-nine innocent Ukrainians and four policemen in one night, during the U.S.-backed postelection protests against Yanukovych at Maidan Square.

The murders - falsely blamed on Russia - had the intended effect of sending Ukraine into a tailspin of East

#### by **BRETT REDMAYNE-TITLEY**

vs. West anti-Russian ultra-violence. Yanukovych abandoned the presidency and went to Russia; Parliament installed Arseniy Yatsenyuk as temporary president until new elections brought to power Petro Poroshenko, who was aligned with U.S. interests and did nothing to restrict the growing influence of the neo-Nazi Right Sector or Azov Battalion.

Thus began the Ukraine war. Before 2014, the Armed Forces of Ukraine (AFU) had been rife with anti-Russian/Jewish sentiment for decades but were held in check by Yanukovych and other Russia-aligned leaders. During WWII, Ukrainian Nazi collaborator, Stepan Bandera, achieved hero status in western Ukraine for his genocide against Ukrainian Russians and Jews, and statues were erected in his honour after he was assassinated in 1959. Bandera was unabashedly a neo-Nazi and created his legion of the like-minded. However, his death only galvanised his underground supporters, many of whom remained within, not only the Ukrainian Army, but the political structure itself.

This is evidenced by a Ukrainian politician, Andriy Parubiy. He has served as Deputy Speaker and Speaker of the Ukrainian parliament from 2014 to 2019, and Secretary of National Security and the Defence Council of Ukraine. Andriy Parubiy is a Nazi. He has proudly proclaimed this many times before his parliament, before the Ukrainian military and the public on TV.

When Poroshenko was elected, Washington used this opportunity to open the floodgates into Ukraine for U.S. weaponry and military training, in preparation for its eventual *de facto* assault on the East and Russian influence there. As such, Ukraine incrementally became the largest military in Europe. It was also at this time, that the previously suppressed "Banderists" dominated the AFU and Ukrainian politics, much to the pleasure of the U.S. and NATO.

The Right Sector are admittedly disciples of Stepan Bandera, and exert neo-Nazi influence as they act as a political watchdog, propagating their philosophy across Ukraine. The AFU is not exclusively Banderist but the massive Azov battalion stationed in the east is predominately so. Like Bandera, they hate Ukrainian Russians and Jews.

The United Nations Human Rights Commission reported that over 14,000 Eastern Ukrainians have been killed since 2014, as they repeatedly begged Russia for military assistance to help their regional militias fight back.

To stop this slaughter in 2014, Russia brokered a truce called the Minsk Protocols which the AFU ignored. This was followed in 2015 by Minsk II which also had no practical effect on the AFU genocide. For seven years, this terror continued unchecked, as Washington salivated in the wings for more dead Russians.

In 2019, Volodymyr Zelensky, a comedian and actor famous for his role in the TV series, *Servant of the People*, defeated Poroshenko in a landslide by promising peace through honouring the Minsk accords and controlling corruption and the rising violence of the Right Sector. But it took mere months for the Ukrainians to become the brunt of this comedian's dark joke that saw him become, not a leader for peace, but a U.S. and Banderist puppet.

With the collapse of the Soviet Union and the divestiture of its many satellite countries in 1990, NATO had promised not to expand into these countries. However, almost without exception NATO expanded and began

For the UK media
to suggest that
Russia was not
incrementally
provoked into
defending both
eastern Ukraine
and its own
national interests
is to turn the
truth on its head

to ring Russia with U.S. weapons and NATO influence. With Ukraine being the launching point for past wars against Russia, the Kremlin had made it clear to the U.S. that Ukraine joining NATO was a red line.

For the UK media to suggest that Russia was not incrementally provoked into defending both Eastern Ukraine and its own national interests is to turn the truth on its head. With spring being historically the best time to begin a war,



"WE'VE HAD IT UP TO HERE WITH DUPLICITOUS THUGS."

during February Zelensky ordered the Azov Battalion and the AFU to begin amassing 100,000 troops and munitions towards the east in preparation for a massive attack designed to take back the autonomous eastern regions.

The DPR, LPR and Donbas militias again begged Russia for intervention but Putin still refused. Instead, the Russians tried diplomacy and repeatedly contacted Washington and Kyiv in an effort not to militarily intervene. The demands were simple and rational: Abide by Minsk I & II; not attack the East; de-Nazify the AFU and not join NATO.

The U.S. and Kyiv did not respond. In an effort to get a negotiated response, and with the AFU continuing to amass forces eastward, Russia began to prepare its army on the Russia/ Ukraine border. Instead of negotiating with Russia for peace, Ukraine and the Western media falsely screamed 'Russian aggression'.

Then, in the last week of February, Zelensky did the unthinkable. He informed the U.S. that he was now willing to allow U.S. nuclear weapons into Ukraine.

The next day, the Russian army crossed into Eastern Ukraine. Thus began the Russian/Ukrainian war and the incredible barbarity of the AFU.

For the Western media to cover up the neo-Nazi connection in Ukraine is the biggest lie of this war. I have recently returned from two months' reporting in and around Ukraine. Certainly, both sides are guilty of atrocity, but I have seen a different kind of barbarity by the AFU that is beyond the pale of war because the AFU and the Avovs consider and treat all Ukrainian Russians, Jews and

even peace advocates as vermin. They have given up all morality. I can bear witness to the killings of the innocent, the torture and killings of prisoners, the firing on civilian targets, the mining of the humanitarian corridors to prevent escape, and the execution of anyone who suggests peace, much less negotiation, and I have seen the Swastikas and pro-Nazi tattoos scrawled on the hands, arms, necks and chests of the AFU killers.

Many facts are being ignored, such as the network of U.S. bio-weapons labs discovered across Ukraine and that the Ukrainian army is not winning this war, it is being decimated. Air Force, Navy, fuel refineries, supply and railway lines destroyed. 50,000 men dead with so many surrendering that the Russians are building larger POW camps. All men 16-60 being - by law - conscripted as replacements. NATO munitions supplies destroyed as soon as they cross the Polish border, and command and control communications centres are in ruins.

This day Boris Johnson's favourite democratic champion, President Zelensky, signed a new law banning all opposition parties from existence, after already arresting five generals and the main opposition leader, Viktor Medvedchuk as 'anti-heroes' for the treasonous crime of suggesting peace.

- Brett Redmayne-Titley has spent the last decade travelling and documenting the 'Sorrows of Empire'. An archive of his many articles can be found at watchingromeburn.uk. He can be contacted at live-on-scene@gmx.com
- https://watchingromeburn.uk

# German MEP speaks out against WHO pandemic treaty

MEMBER of the European Parliament (MEP), Christine Anderson, gave a stark warning that the World Health Organisation's proposed Pandemic Treaty is 'a direct attack on the rule of the people by the people'.

In early December of 2021, the World Health Organisation (WHO) issued a news release on their official website stating: 'In a consensus decision aimed at protecting the world from future infectious diseases crises, the World Health Assembly today agreed to kickstart a global process to draft and negotiate a convention, agreement or other international instrument under the Constitution of the World Health Organisation to strengthen pandemic prevention, preparedness and response.'

The World Health Assembly is the decision-making body of the WHO with a strong focus on setting policies within the organisation.

'The intergovernmental negotiating body (INB) will hold its first meeting by March 1 2022 (to agree on ways of working and timelines) and its second by August 1 2022 (to discuss progress on a working draft). It will also hold public hearings to inform its deliberations; deliver a progress report to the 76th World Health Assembly in 2023; and submit its outcome for consideration by the 77th World Health Assembly in 2024.'

On May 2 in Strasbourg, Christine Anderson passionately pleaded to lawmakers and EU citizens to by **PAUL BENNETT** 

denounce the proposals by the WHO, saying: "Mr President, dear colleagues, you might not be aware, but the abolition of democracy by the global elites continues. On March 3, the EU commission was authorised by the Council to renegotiate the Treaty, with the World Health Organisation on behalf of the Members States.

"Under the guise of improving global pandemic responses, the plan is to allow the WHO to seize executive governance powers of the member states in the case of a pandemic. Granting governance powers to a non-elected body is the exact opposite of democratic recourse and takes away any possibility for the people to hold officials accountable.

"This amounts to no less than disenfranchising the people. We, as elected representatives by the people for the people, must not allow this to happen. I am imploring you: do what you were elected to do. Look into this and protect the rights of the people; the people were elected to act in their best interest.

"And to all the people of Europe, I would like to say, start acting now, write to your MEPs, let them know you will not tolerate to be stripped of your rights to democratic recourse, let them know that any MEP supporting this or voting for this will never get your vote again."

The courageous German MEP, who continues to be a thorn in the side of the globalists, also stated that the treaty "aims to give the



WHO de facto governing power over its member states in the event of a pandemic, without involvement or consultation with national governments or national parliaments."

She added: "The plan, for anyone who can use [their] thinking skills, is easy to see through. A democratically non-legitimised body, into which the richest of the super-rich buy their way through donations, is to decide in the future whether a pandemic exists, in order to then directly take over governmental power."

Many people will not have heard of the planned WHO Pandemic Treaty highlighted by Christine Anderson. There are many reasons why the non-elected bureaucrats in the WHO and other international agencies involved want to rubber stamp this treaty quickly and under the radar for future generations before the public wake up to their disingenuous motives.

### Indian Supreme Court rules against mandatory shots


IN early May, a landmark Supreme Court judgement ruled that no individual should be forced to get vaccinated in India and also strongly advised that adverse reactions from the jabs be documented in the public sphere.

The Supreme Court ruling was delivered in response to a petition filed by Dr Jacob Puliyel against mandatory vaccinations. He, demanded public disclosure about the by **PAUL BENNETT** 

Constitution of India gives protection of life and personal liberty to citizens.

The Court also ruled against vaccine mandates in public places by stating, "As long as covid numbers are low, no restriction should be placed on individuals from accessing public areas and the same should be recalled if such restrictions are in place."

Supreme Court Justices, LN Rao



vaccine clinical trials and filed a plea for adverse reactions experienced post-vaccination to be documented on an accessible monitoring system.

According to Indian News Channel NDTV: "A petition by Jacob Pulivel, a former member of the National Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation (NTAGI), had argued that states mandating vaccination for accessing benefits or services is a violation of citizens' rights, and therefore, unconstitutional. The petition called for clinical trial data of covid vaccines to be made public and alleged that vaccines being administered had not been adequately tested for safety or efficacy and were licensed under emergency use authorisation without trial data being disclosed to the public."

The Supreme Court ruled that, "Considering bodily autonomy, bodily integrity is protected under Article 21. No one can be forced to get vaccinated." Article 21 of the

and BR Gavai, strongly requested that data from both the covid vaccine trials and for adverse reactions from the jabs be made public. The court said, "Regarding segregation of vaccine trial data, subject to the privacy of individual, all trials already conducted and to be subsequently conducted, all data must be made available to the public without further

Justice Rao added: "Information related to adverse events is crucial to create awareness about vaccines and their efficiency, apart from contributing to scientific studies about the pandemic... There is a pertinent need for collection of data on adverse events and wider participation."

For a country that gets slammed for its human rights violations by many Western leaders, it is refreshing to see the highest court in India adhere to its constitutional rights by upholding the importance of bodily integrity and personal autonomy.



## TRUTHWEAR.UK

## From Potsdam to Putin: Democrats are party of war

# Deception at the heart of foreign relations

IT'S the Democrats, not just the 'neo-con' warmongers, who the world should worry about. The party that has always portrayed itself as standing up for the little guy has a long record of starting wars and provoking internal conflict.

The Democrats are at the vanguard of divisive identity politics, politicising sex education and giving succour to Black Lives Matter rioters. Meanwhile by facilitating unprecedented immigration they are engineering demographic change for electoral benefit and to reduce resistance to progressive policy.

The apple pie of faith, flag and family is distasteful to the professional-bureaucratic class that votes Democrat. Pursuing corporate globalism, the current administration is blatantly betraying the American people by ushering in a technocratic 'new world order'. Democrats are radically changing the USA as we know it, undermining freedom of speech, democracy and the constitutional safeguards of the Founding Fathers. Amidst economic carnage, with surging inflation and food shortages, now Biden's gang seem determined to prolong and escalate war in Ukraine. But why?

To answer this question, let us step back to a fortnight in July 1945, to the peace conference held at Potsdam featuring Josef Stalin, Winston Churchill and Harry Truman (who had been president since 12th April, after FD Roosevelt died). The palace used for the conference was out of bounds to journalists, leaving only the formal declaration to report. Details gradually emerged, but it was not until decades later that the transcripts were released. As Charles Mee described in his book Meeting at Potsdam (1975), although the victorious leaders were ostensibly planning peace, they ensured the beginning of the

by NIALL McCRAE

Cold War.


The Big Three were really the Big Two. On one side, Stalin; paranoid but not without reason; on the other, Truman, who was consolidating the American century. In his sickness, Roosevelt had ceded too much to Stalin at the preceding Yalta conference, agreeing to the Russians' using millions of prisoners-of-war for slave labour. Truman was more wary, and the Cold War was born.

The arms race was helped rather than hindered by the doctrine of mutually-assured destruction, and the American military-industrial complex was guaranteed to thrive by the hawks in Washington. For all that the West can claim several decades of peace, in fact war has been endless since Potsdam, enabling exhibition and testing of advanced weaponry and 'shock and awe' tactics.

History shows that interventionism is favoured by the Democrats and foreign policy wonks, while isolationism is a tendency of Republicans and more in tune with American society. Korea, Vietnam and Afghanistan were hills that thousands of young men should not have died on. Putting America first was a persuasive message for Republican presidential candidates such as Richard Nixon and Donald Trump, but 'wrong-think' within the Beltway. The militaristic state has needed to hoodwink the public, as divulged by Louis J. Halle of the State Department (Mee, 1975):

"Deception," Halle believes,
"is not a lamentable by-product
of foreign relations, but rather an
essential precondition of having
any foreign relations at all; only
thus will an ignorant people allow
their leaders to pursue 'realistic'
interventionist foreign policy."

Wars are portrayed as being fought for virtuous goals such as peace and democracy, when they are anything but. Was the Iraq invasion really intending to create a Westernised liberal state, or arming Ukraine to save the 'free world'? John Pilger, Noam Chomsky and Michael Moore always wanted the Republicans to be the bad guys,



but they are blinded by political leanings. The evidence is clear: most American military actions were launched by Democrat presidents (with the notable exception of the neo-con, George 'Dubya' Bush).

Back in 1951, at the peak of feared communist infiltration. John Beaty wrote The Iron Curtain Over America, which asserted that the Democrat Party wants perpetual war. This is motivated by ideology, as interventionists try to remake the world. Although necessarily destructive, battlegrounds clear the way for reconstruction to progressive design at home and abroad. 'Build back better' is a slogan epitomising the frustrated minds of idealists: they dislike people as they are. Divide-and-rule tactics make an enemy of patriotic and Christian traditionalists, who are cast as bigots or worse. Joe

Biden recently stated that the 'Make America Great Again' movement is the biggest threat to civilisation.

Trump supporters and covid-19 sceptics, accused of spreading dangerous disinformation about election rigging and vaccine harm, are cast as domestic terrorists. Thus Washington has declared war on its own people. Seemingly, the CIA works for the Democrats; the judiciary works for the Democrats, Homeland Security works for the Democrats. And as we have seen with the pandemic putsch, health authorities and pharmaceutical regulators work for the globalist Democrats.

We may never know everything that the Democrat/Deep State axis has been doing in Ukraine. But several biological laboratories have been funded and run by American institutes, performing gain-of-function research on deadly pathogens. Washington was involved in the Maidan coup in 2014, and deliberately poked the Russian bear by threatening to expand NATO. Biden is sending billions of dollars in military material to the war zone. The law of unintended consequences inevitably applies - unless the intention is 'slash and burn'. I suspect so.

Niall McCrae RMN, PhD is a social commentator with regular appearances on Unity News Network, Hearts of Oak, the David Vance Show and George Galloway's Kalima Horra debates. His books include 'The Moon and Madness' (2012), 'Echoes from the Corridors' (with Peter Nolan, 2016) and 'Moralitis: a Cultural Virus' (with Robert Oulds, 2020). He is an officer of the Workers of England trade union.

# Political instability in Pakistan as Khan accuses West of meddling

A POLITICAL crisis is rapidly deepening in Pakistan following the unexpected dismissal of Prime Minister Imran Khan. In April, a noconfidence motion was passed by 174 votes in the Pakistani Parliament, ending Khan's four-year leadership.

Khan initially blocked the motion a week earlier by dissolving parliament and demanding a snap election, but this was later deemed unconstitutional by the Supreme Court. Since the motion on April 11, Shehbaz Sharif, leader of the 'centralist' Pakistan Muslim League-N (PML-N) has been installed as the new Prime Minister of Pakistan

According to Junaid Ahmad, director of the Lahore-based Center for Global Dialogue, Nawaz Sharif wants to dissolve the government, but Washington will not allow him to do so. Any astute Pakistan analyst understands that in the event of new election, the Sharifs would be pitted directly against an ever-popular Imran Khan whose tsunami of public support will sink them. Ahmad thus contends that for as long as the Sharifs are in power, the current crisis will worsen.

In a national address following the no-confidence vote, Imran Khan said: "You have to come out to protect your own future. It is you who have to protect your democracy, your sovereignty, and your independence... this is your by **PAUL BENNETT** 

duty. I will not accept an imposed government." Mr Khan and his party, Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI), are heaping justified pressure on the current Sharif regime by staging huge rallies of support and seeking immediate general elections.

Khan's party colleagues walked out of the parliament prior to the motion and insisted that foreign interference was at play in orchestrating his fall. Opponents of Khan have argued that the motion to vote him out was because of domestic factors such as the failing economy of high inflation, rising debt and increasing fuel shortages.

Mr Khan, who has refused to side with the U.S. in their proxy war with Russia, accused his political opponents of a coup and of colluding with the U.S. in overthrowing him. Another factor that may have precipitated the contentious motion was Khan's recent visit to Moscow in February, to strengthen bilateral ties with Russia. Russia's Foreign Ministry spokeswoman, Maria Zakharova said: "This is another attempt of shameless interference by the U.S. in the internal affairs of an independent state for its own selfish purposes."

In an interview with *CNN*, Khan accused U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for Central and South Asia, Donald Lu, of meeting with the Pakistani ambassador to the U.S. to plan the no-confidence regime-

change motion. Khan told Becky Anderson: "This guy should be sacked for bad manners and sheer arrogance. Imagine telling the ambassador of a country of 220 million that you should get rid of your prime minister." The U.S. State Department have denied the claims.

The sudden change in the political landscape in Pakistan has resulted in widespread protests and rallies in support of Imran Khan. Khan has mobilised thousands of supporters to protest in Pakistan's largest cities including the capital Islamabad, the north-eastern city of Lahore, and Peshawar. According to the Indian Express, Khan expressed his gratitude to his supporters by saying: "I have never seen such awareness and unity in the public as today. I only saw it during the 1965 war, and I still remember how the whole nation had united."

In a sinister and undemocratic move, the newly appointed government have cracked down and banned protests and have arrested close supporters and party workers of Khan. Anti-corruption police arrested former Human Rights Minister of Pakistan, Shireen Mazari, for alleged previous offences. On May 21, the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan (HRCP) tweeted: "HRCP condemns the arrest and manhandling of senior PTI leader. Her arrest smacks of political victimisation, which has regrettably become an entrenched practice and is deplorable no matter which party is the perpetrator.'



According to DW News: "Mazari has been critical of Sharif's new government ever since a noconfidence vote in parliament led to the toppling of Khan's government last month. She is also a fierce critic of Pakistan's powerful military." Former Information Minister Fawad Chaudhry told *Geo TV* that the sudden arrest of Mazari was political and under the guise of a land dispute dating back to the 1970s. She was later released by anti-corruption officers.

A hero from his cricket days, Khan responded to the ongoing civil unrest by highlighting the valid point that during his tenure in government, protests by the opposition were allowed and staged without intimidation or provocation. He said: "They marched several times with the purpose of ousting the government, but did we resort to these methods?" The Pakistani Supreme Court has instructed state authorities not to use unnecessary force on supporters of Khan.

In response to the heavy-handed enforcement in curtailing the protests, the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan tweeted: "We believe that all citizens and all political parties have every right to assemble and protest peacefully." They added: "The state's overreaction has triggered, more than it has prevented, violence on the streets. The onus is on the government and opposition leaders to adopt a mature, democratic response and immediately begin a dialogue to end the impasses."

On May 26, at a large rally in Islamabad, Khan gave an ultimatum to his political opponents by saying: "I'm giving you six days. You announce elections in six days and dissolve the parliament. I will return to Islamabad with my entire nation if you don't do that."

Turkey's 92 News reports that Prime Minister Shehbaz Sharif has said that the general elections in the country will not be held until August or September 2023.

The fractious political and constitutional crisis in Pakistan will rage on in a complex country where the heavily influential politicised military, government, and legal system work hand in hand.

The alleged incursion of the U.S. administration meddling in affairs within Pakistan is another example of 'no smoke without fire' when it comes to U.S. interference in foreign matters

## Italy testing social credit system



by **PAUL BENNETT** 

THE historic northern city of Bologna, Italy, will commence a government-sponsored pilot project rewarding environmental virtuous behaviour under a new 'Smart Citizen Wallet' scheme.

At a press conference, local city councillor and head of digital agenda and data, Massimo Bugani said: "In September, we will start with a pilot project for the city; at the centre is the virtuous citizen, the one who, for example, separates waste well or does not waste

energy, or uses public transport and does not receive fines, or actively uses the Bologna Welcome Card. The municipality will assign such citizens a score as part of a reward system with economic benefits to individual users."

He added: "A citizen's carbon footprint (or lack thereof) will be central to earning digital points. The city's focus in creating the system is to promote climate-friendly behaviour."

Local officials in Bologna have insisted that the pilot scheme is currently voluntary but are hopeful for a strong participation from city residents. Italian tech firm Privacy
Network have criticised the legal,
ethical, and societal impact of
the pilot scheme by stating on
their website: "These practices,
if poorly developed or used, can
lead to serious limitations on, and
violations of, citizens' rights and
freedoms, as well as discriminatory
practices, which are achieved
through technological means, such
as 'social credit' systems (or social
scoring)."

European Commission President Ursula von der Leyen will be pleased to see the Orwellian social credit system in Europe. In 2021, she stated: "Every time an app or website asks us to create a new digital identity, or to easily log in via a big platform, we have no idea what happens with our data. That is why the Commission will propose a secure European e-identity. One that we trust, and that any citizen can use anywhere in Europe to do anything from paying taxes to renting bicycles."

The 'Chinafication' of a surveillance Europe appears to be in place as the coercive dystopian pilot project in Italy is another example of the controlled phased plan by the World Economic Forum and bankers to make people compliant objects.

# Does an apple a day really keep the doctor away?

ZOOKEEPERS keep their animals healthy by feeding them exactly the right foods, the foods that they would have eaten in the wild. The pandas will have just the right type of bamboo.

The carnivores, the monkeys, the penguins, the anteaters, will each be given exactly what they need. If an animal suddenly gets ill, the first thing we'd ask the zookeeper is "what's wrong with their food?"

Many years ago, farmers worked out what minerals or nutritional elements their animals lacked as they observed that the animals would self-medicate if they could. By observing what rocks they licked, what plants they chose to graze on along with the study of nutritional elements, the farmers, scientists and vets worked out what mineral deficiencies caused which diseases in sheep, chickens and cattle and what minerals and nutrients would remedy them. This vastly cut down the expense of pharmaceutical drugs.

Many nutrient deficiency diseases in animals are the same for humans. It's a great shame that this knowledge has not been applied by medical doctors to people.

Most people are eating food that quite frankly has been poisoned. When you go into a food shop, the food that's been grown naturally should just describe itself as a peach or as bread or milk. The other 95% should have 'Poisoned' written on them. Yes, you could sub-divide for clarity into 'highly poisoned', 'partly poisoned' and 'hardly poisoned' to help the consumers. Which one would you choose?

Let's look at modern food. Some vears ago. I spoke to a farmer, and I asked him how he'd grown his apples this year and I mentioned that I had had a small farm myself at one time. He was delighted to tell me everything he'd done to get such perfect-looking apples. He explained what chemicals he'd sprayed on and how he had then sprayed on wax to stop the rain washing the chemicals off. He was very proud of his perfect apples. The question is, with food in general, how much of the pesticides, herbicides, larvicides, insecticides, fungicides still remain on and in the foods that we are told are safe?

What qualities does an apple have? Apples, especially the vitamin B17 rich pips, are very powerful on a nutritional level.

by **CLIVE DE CARLE** 

Now let's look at vitamin C in the apple and its importance as an antioxidant. When the apple is fresh and whole it is crisp and delicious. As it ages it begins to wither and die and its vitamins are lost. When the apple is exposed to air it begins to oxidise and go brown, just like metal rusting. We also oxidise inside our bodies and as most of us don't eat enough fresh fruits and vegetables. most of us are woefully low on vitamins, especially vitamin C. How would you know if you're low on vitamin C? Here are a few of the benefits of antioxidants in general. See if you need any of these benefits:

Vitamin C and other antioxidants perform a host of anti-ageing effects within the body. Antioxidants increase efficient energy and resource sharing between cells. They enable efficient waste processing within cells giving cellular resilience and much more besides. Vitamin C is best utilised when it has the various cofactors to enable it to do its job to its full extent like magnesium.

So, were the nutrient cofactors in the soil in which our apple was grown? Was the apple variety chosen to be the healthiest apple around or to be the most productive from a financial viewpoint? Most apples are grown in contaminated soil, destroyed from years of chemical over-farming, as are all the foods grown in most of the soils across the world

The use of Roundup Ready crops are of particular concern, where glyphosate and other chemicals are sprayed onto the fields so that the weeds cannot grow. This deprives the weeds of the ability to take up minerals, so they die. The Roundup Ready crops survive however, even though they too have a markedly reduced nutrient content and are not the full foods that our ancestors would have been familiar with, which would've been much tastier and healthier.

I believe that in the old days, before the over-hybridisation of many crops, that an apple a day could keep the doctor away. Of course, that was in the days when we were all eating much more seasonally, locally, and naturally. The unfortunate reality now is that food is of such poor nutritional quality that for many people, growing the




Photo: Khamkhor

food yourself or supplementation are the only options they may have to keep healthy and well.

Many of you who read this column regularly will know that I believe that almost everybody, and by that I mean over 90% of us, are low on certain key nutrients, a shortlist being: magnesium, iodine, vitamin C, vitamin D in winter, soil-based minerals in the form of fulvic minerals and for those over 50, digestive enzymes. For those who've ever had a pharmaceutical drug or that have digestion issues, probiotics can be useful too.

For some time now, I've wanted to create a blend of antioxidants that are powerful enough to increase people's healthy resistance dramatically and positively to frankly more or less everything.

With that in mind, we have created our "Immuno" Antioxidant Blend. The ingredients include:

- Vitamin C as the tummy-friendly, non-GMO sodium ascorbate.
- NAC, which stands for acetylcysteine, is a precursor to glutathione, which the body makes as its own master antioxidant.
- Lysine an essential amino acid, known to support the immune system.
- Quercetin a recent hero antioxidant in modern medicine terms for immune support.
- Co-Enzyme Q10, which is known to be used and needed by every

cell in the body, and really, everyone over 50 should be taking some. There's enough of a dose in this blend that you shouldn't need to take any more - with 150mg in each teaspoon!

- There is a dose of diatomaceous earth, a small amount, just for some of its more interesting properties around resonance as well as parasite reduction.
- Zinc, in a premium bioavailable form
- A healthy dose of NATURAL vitamin K2-MK7 which is known to be needed to make your Vitamin D3, derived from lichen, properly bioavailable.
- A high dose of 98% Piperine black pepper extract. Now usually, this is used in small doses as a bioavailability uptake aid for other supplements. It turns out it's a super powerful antioxidant, so we've put a proper dose in. If you notice any warmth in the drink, you know it's the pepper extract.
- Fulvic Powder, a powerful antioxidant and cell detoxifier that potentiates the action of all the other ingredients.

You just have to mix it in a small amount of liquid or food, it is best taken on an empty stomach.

The stories and experiences we've had so far with this are pretty evebrow raising:

Man in mid 50's with lung and chest pain, he couldn't sleep. He got a good night's sleep after one dose and was able to stretch and breathe

like he hadn't in months.

Man almost 70, recovering from bowel surgery. He hadn't had cheese or wine in months as 'he could feel he couldn't have it'. After two doses he looked around and smiled and said 'I think I'll order the cheese board and a glass of red'... and he judiciously enjoyed both!

His wife, 70+, just after a bad fall - couldn't sleep, couldn't stand up by herself and had to be supported while walking: after one dose, the huge bruise had shifted dramatically, she was standing by herself - and even smiling. After three doses over three days, she was spritely bouncing out of the chair and beaming.

Woman with an active sinus headache, sneezes and blocked up, reported the sinus headache going almost immediately, and the rest of her symptoms clearing up within 20 minutes

Woman with long-term phlegm problem mysteriously notices it disappearing overnight.

Headaches, sniffles, and many lurking, long term problems seem to really take a hit from this new blend. The list goes on and on. Anyone in recovery, and certainly anyone ageing seems to notice immediate benefits from this product - like nothing they've ever tried before.

It seems to work well as a daily energy/resource booster, as well as a 'first aid treatment'. It is legitimately an immune supporting, age defence, energy boosting blend like no other.

https://www.clivedecarle.com

## Better way to live our lives

by **KERRY MURRAY** 

Light, love and laughter at the inaugural true health conference

AGAINST the backdrop of the World Economic Forum's annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, where unelected billionaires and internationalists plan our future, another far more enlightened summit took place.

The inaugural Better Way Conference 2022, held in the ancient English city of healing waters, Bath, from May 20-22 couldn't have been more opposite to that held in the land of raclette cheese and Nazi gold.

One saw a group of unelected corporate, pharmaceutical and NGO 'leaders' congratulate each other on their work of the past two years and create ever more crises and anticipate what us mere mortals might do to tackle them.

Davos had proclamations of impending doom, new globally-binding pacts and great resets being issued. The drive towards less freedom, privacy and personal choice continues with increasing malignancy.

Bath, however, gathered the most eminent doctors, broadcasters, scientists, health professionals and legal minds challenging the official science and prevailing, nefarious, globalist narratives. Focusing not on horror, but on hope; strategies

CONVERSATION 4

How do we restore journalistic independence and media credibility?

Send in your questions for the QLA AUTHORITY TEST OT 788 4 343-323 VISTUAL Use the endine form

World CoFor I World Co
World Co
World Co
World Co
World Co
World Co
To I Wor

The panel answering questions at the Better Way Conference

for the future of our world and how to not only reclaim, but rebuild society, rejecting the current globalist technocratic paradigm.

Despite a last-minute change of venue (something to do with the event jeopardising the local jab rollout), a sunny, almost hot day in Bath, in the glorious west of England, the conference welcomed speakers, attendees, and independent media from across the world. The stage was set for a series of workshops and panel conversations covering such topics as the reclamation of science, covid-19 and vaccine health consequences, building active communities, revolutionising the media, human rights and our environment.

A collective sense of anticipation built as the speakers and vendors

arrived, mingling informally, some conducting interviews with the media, some just shooting the breeze, taking selfies, or maybe taking the rare opportunity for a hug with their heroes and friends.

The informality, friendliness, and sense of collective higher purpose among the group was palpable, infectious even. A welcome inoculation against the terror and fear so often propagated by the medical, media and government establishments

The event kicked off with an opening address from Dr. Tess Lawrie, tireless health campaigner, member of the World Council for Health steering committee, Director of E-BMC Ltd, and EbMC<sup>2</sup>, a community interest research company and major proponent of drugs such as ivermectin as treatment and prophylaxis of severe illnesses.

The crowd of almost 500 erupted into what felt like a long-awaited ovation as Dr. Lawrie introduced the first of seven panel conversations over the weekend – Reclaiming Science Together. Del Bigtree, filmmaker, broadcaster, vaccine campaigner and host of The Highwire, brought an energy to rival any TED Talk, taking to the stage to rapturous applause and we are under way. In testament to this energy and enthusiasm, the first of the panel conversations overran by two and a half hours.

The weekend that followed was fuelled by the spirit of this first conversation, laying the foundations for the kind of open debate, analysis and deep insights that come to epitomise the event, but which are also notably absent from virtually all mainstream arenas.

With such luminaries as Dr.
Robert Malone, Robert F. Kennedy
Jr., Dr. Tess Lawrie, Dr. Bret
Weinstein, Del Bigtree, Dr. Ryan
Cole, Mark Sharman, Dr. Mark
Trozzi and Neil Oliver among many
others, the deluge of information and
insights was difficult at times to fully
appreciate for the sheer volume, with
many of the crowd making furious
notes or recording snippets on their
phones for later reflection.

The sunny weather persisted for the second and third days in Bath, bringing with it a sense of optimism and togetherness which seemed infused into the speakers and attendees alike. As we cascaded through the scheduled conversations, some running deep into the evening, the crowd felt unified and engaged, the speakers all stepping to the podium with conviction and clarity of purpose in their respective subject matters.

During breaks, the crowd often lingered in the main auditorium, meeting and talking with speakers, or checking out some of the many vendor stalls with representatives from the Vax Control Group, holistic healing, supplement advice, *The Light* paper and more. The informality and approachability of those gathered to speak was evident in the time each took to stop and speak for however long needed, to those who came from far and wide to gain knowledge, affirm

convictions and hear truths so often obfuscated from our view. Smiles, hugs and well wishes could be seen and heard throughout the convention centre. Even those with seemingly conflicting views engaged with each other as friends and colleagues, with sincerity and conviction.

It's almost impossible, given such a setting, with so many leading minds, voices and commentators, not to step away with a renewed conviction and vigour for the fight in which we now find ourselves engaged. An apt and timely event, perhaps even a good precursor for what will be contained in the impending divine scripture which the world congregation will shortly receive from deep within the corridors of Davos.

Dr. Robert Malone, scientist and seminal inventor of mRNA technology put it best, in a poignant and moving speech noting that we are 'luminous beings' and: "as far as I am concerned, the summit of the pyramid of human enterprise is heart, it is not economics, it is us as people." It would be hard to argue against his words as presenting us with a Better Way indeed.

- The Better Way Conference 2022 is available now on demand at www. betterwayconference.org
- Kerry Murray is the founder of United Free Press, the home of ethical journalism: unitedfreepress.org



Left to right: Dr Robert Malone, Geert Vanden Bossche and Dr. Bret Weinstein



# The person most likely to kill you is your doctor

WATCHING the medical establishment lie, deceive and exhibit Olympian quality incompetence has been quite a shock for many during the last two years. Doctors have helped sustain the biggest medical fraud in history; smiling away as they jabbed and pocketed the cash.

But no one should have been shocked.

Members of the medical establishment, and their praetorian guard, the experts, always believe they know best. Anyone who questions the establishment must be ignored and if they persist, they must be crushed, suppressed, vilified and ostracised.

However, it isn't difficult to compile a litany of medical incompetence - incompetence so egregious that, along with cancer and circulatory disease, doctors have for decades been one of the three most important causes of death and injury. Covid-19 is by no means the only thing the medical profession has got badly wrong.

Many of the injuries and deaths among patients are caused by simple, straightforward ignorance rather than bad luck or unforeseen complications. The recent enthusiasm for giving millions of patients an untested experimental drug that didn't work and wasn't safe is just one more example of professional ineptitude.

If terrorists killed a fraction of the number killed by doctors the world would be in a state of constant panic. The person most likely to kill you isn't a burglar or an aggrieved relative - it's your doctor.

Doctors often claim that it is thanks to them that we are all living longer these days and that drug companies and doctors have improved our general health. But evidence shows that there really hasn't been much change in life expectation for centuries. Way back in biblical times, ordinary folk were encouraged to expect a life-span of three score and ten.

It was cleaner drinking water, and better sewage facilities (introduced in the 19th century) which resulted in a fall in infant mortality levels - and reducing infant mortality made a tremendous difference to overall life expectancy figures.

In Australia, around half a million people are admitted to hospital every year because they have been made ill by doctors. One in six British hospital patients is in hospital because he or by **Dr VERNON COLEMAN** MB ChB DSc

she has been made ill by doctors. Around half of all the 'adverse events' associated with doctors are clearly and readily preventable and are usually a result of ignorance, incompetence or a mixture of both. The rest would be preventable with a little care and thought

Drugs are wildly over-prescribed, both by hospital doctors and by general practitioners. And doctors and hospitals are often appallingly and inexcusably slow. Waiting lists are so long that most patients will now die before they are investigated, let alone treated.

Doctors have always made mistakes, but we have now reached the point where, on balance, many well-meaning doctors do more harm than good; killing more people than they are saving and causing more illness and discomfort than they are alleviating.

Worryingly, the epidemic of iatrogenic disease which has scarred medical practice for decades has been steadily getting worse. Today, most of us would, most of the time, be better off without the medical profession.

Major disorders are not picked up in around four out of ten patients. When doctors compared post-mortem results with the patients' medical records, they discovered that out of 87 patients, only 17 patients were diagnosed completely correctly.

A major study of patients who'd had heart attacks showed that staying at home may be safer than going into hospital. Whatever advantage patients might have had through going into hospital was more than matched by the multiple hazards of going into hospital.

Before the Industrial Age, hospitals were built like cathedrals in order to lift the soul and ease the mind. Hospitals were decorated with works of art, flowers and perfumes. Modern hospitals, designed by experts, are built with no regard for the spirit, eye or soul. They are bare, more like prisons than temples, designed to concentrate the mind on pain, fear and death.

In the old days, nursing was a noble profession. Caring was the key word. The most powerful jobs in the profession were occupied by ward sisters and matrons - all of whom still had close daily contact with patients.

Today's career structure means that ambitious nurses must move up the ladder to a point where they spend no time with patients.

The number of highly paid managers in hospitals has risen every year for decades. There are more administrators in hospitals than there are beds, nurses or other practical staff

A few decades ago, patients were cared for in hospitals which were run by matrons and ward sisters - nurses who still knew how to turn a patient, make a bed and empty a bedpan.

In many countries, doctors (both in general practice and in hospitals) are now working strictly limited hours. As a result, it is rare to see a doctor in a hospital at weekends. You are up to 26% more likely to die if you are admitted to hospital at the weekend than if you are admitted to hospital during the week.

You are more likely to develop a serious, life-threatening disease in hospital than anywhere else. The great danger is, of course, that you may catch an antibiotic-resistant infection. Hospitals are poor at hygiene.

American researchers have concluded that in an average sort of year, surgeons working in American hospitals perform 7.5 million unnecessary surgical procedures, resulting in 37,136 unnecessary deaths and a cost running into hundreds of billions of dollars. One Congressional Committee in the U.S. found that 17.6% of recommendations for surgery were not necessary.

Back in 1988, I reported that coronary artery bypass surgery (the commonest procedure performed in cardiac surgery) had been in use for nearly thirty years without anyone trying to find out how patients' everyday lives were affected by the operation. The experts just 'knew' it was a good thing.

When a survey was eventually done, it was found that the operation had little positive effect on patients' lives but did put a good many out of action for some time. And many died as a result of surgical complications. Moreover, patients who have symptoms of heart disease often don't need surgery at all, but stand a better chance of recovering if they are put on a regime which includes a vegan diet, gentle exercise and relaxation.

Psychiatrists and psychologists are constantly eager to create fashionable new bandwagons. It is now possible to be clinically afraid of

American researchers have concluded that in an average sort of year, surgeons working in American hospitals perform 7.5 million unnecessary surgical procedures, resulting in 37,136 unnecessary deaths

over 500 different phobias including kakorrhaphiophobia (a fear of defeat), apeirophobia (a fear of infinity), chrometophobia (a fear of money) and hippopotomonstrosesquipedaliphobia (a fear of long words). It's difficult to tell when the experts are being serious and when they're having us on.

Psychologists have been proved to be worse at making judgements (or offering advice) than hairdressers. Research has shown that psychiatrists are worse than useless.

When doctors in Israel went on strike for a month, admissions to hospital dropped by eighty-five per cent, with only the most urgent cases being admitted, but despite this the death rate in Israel dropped by fifty per cent - the largest drop since the previous doctors' strike twenty years earlier - to its lowest ever recorded level. Much the same thing happens wherever doctors have gone on strike. The Great Reset doesn't have a place for doctors or hospitals. Maybe we shouldn't worry too much - just give us open access to painkillers.

An editorial published in the British Medical Journal stated that: 'only one per cent of the articles in medical journals are scientifically sound' and that 'only about fifteen per cent of medical interventions are supported by solid scientific evidence', and those who dare to speak out against the establishment have always been regarded as dangerous heretics.

Original thinkers, daring to question the establishment, are still being demonised and cancelled by a modern culture which is just as constrained restrictive and destructive as anything in history.

There may not be much burning at the stake going on these days, but original thinkers are destroyed by being described as 'conspiracy theorists' or, for absolutely no reason at all, and with no supporting evidence for the slur, they are labelled 'discredited'.

The licensing authorities remove the licenses of doctors who dare to question the officially approved line of thinking. Doctors who voice views which question drug industry research are told that they must be suffering from mental illness.

When I was invited to speak to NHS staff about drug side effects, I was banned because the drug industry protested. I was replaced by a drug industry spokesman.

Still, I mustn't complain.

Michael Servetus wrote a book suggesting (accurately) that a separate pulmonary circulation existed within the body. For sharing this truth with the world, Servetus was burnt alive in 1553.

These days we just have to put up with censorship, lies and garbage on Google and Wikipedia.

Vernon Coleman's latest book is `Medical Heretics: How the medical establishment crushes the truth and suppresses good ideas'.

Between columns you can follow Vernon Coleman on www.vernoncoleman.org

The website is updated regularly. Vernon Coleman is banned from all social media so please ignore fake profiles in his name on Telegram etc.

DISTRUST THE GOVERNMENT AVOID MASS MEDIA FIGHT THE LIES

www.vernoncoleman.com

# Open access publishing: A corrupt agenda

AS the former and founding Chief Editor of Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, my 17-year experience in publishing has provided me with a privileged and unique perspective of the complexity, challenges, and untapped opportunities associated with the peerreviewed academic literature.

Disturbingly, it also revealed the intertwined and corrupt practices that function beneath the surface, which remain largely undetectable to the uncritical eye. Despite my years of digesting thousands of articles covering the most influential and putative ground-breaking clinical research in oncology, I started to become troubled by the underlying conflicts of interest, co-option, and corruption within the seemingly benign and well-intentioned infrastructure underlining the publication of clinical research.

While many might consider great strides have been made in open access and data transparency, the reality is sobering. All stages of the submission, peer review, revision, decision, and publication process are subject to manipulation, through the well-oiled funding and publication machine with its 'publish or perish' mantra. Academic publishing is littered with hidden agendas and ulterior motives that significantly impact the integrity and quality of research. In 2020, when covid materialised, the latent power structures at play in the publishing industry became all too evident. Open Access is arguably Klaus Schwab's 'Great Reset' social credit system for academic publishing, covertly executed via Bill Gates.

#### Subscription Model

Before 2010, the standard scientific and clinical publishing model was subscription-based, in which readers - over 90% of whom would usually be experts in the field - would pay for annual subscriptions, typically up to £100 to access the full content of a journal. Institutional subcriptions allowed greater access and value for money. At the turn of the past decade, however, rumours began circulating of discord among the scientific community and the public. Since research is publicly funded by the tax payer, it seemed only right that the public should be able to freely access the published literature. This discord spurned the open-access movement.

by **DR LISA HUTCHISON** 

#### Open Access

From 2010, many new journals were launched under this remit. Naturally, the open-access offering would require an alternative business model. How would these open-content options be monetised? The answer was that subscription fees were to be replaced by upfront article processing charges or APCs. These costs are not for the faint-hearted. Publishers insisted that to cover the editorial and publication costs of a 'free at the point of use' readership model whereby authors were considerably fewer in numbers than readership or circulation figures, the APC costs were considerable. The British Medical Journal typically charges £1,500 per article, while some Nature titles pledge an eye-watering £10,000 per article. Who would pay for these exorbitant costs? It was decided the funding bodies would cover the costs as part of the grant application funding process.

#### Research Funding: A Win-Win

Thus, a new model was born. Over the past decade, academia witnessed thousands of new open-access titles. For Publishers, this lucrative model was enormously advantageous. Don't forget, these changes were ushered in during a transition to an increasingly online world in which print publication started to decline. Traditional printing and distribution costs were replaced by an unrestricted online publishing arena, claiming to offer enhanced transparency, access and abandoning page-budget constraints associated with print publications. Open access publishing can reap over £500,000 per month for a single journal. Profit is no longer dependent on readership. Authors clamour to submit their research to the high-profile journals, and proportionally many more papers are now being published. The academic world is drowning in data and articles, with experts struggling to keep up with the literature. More content is not necessarily better!

For the funders, however, this financial model seems counter-intuitive. While employed at Nature Publishing Group, on countless occasions we were told that the pressure for open-access publishing was not emanating from the disgruntled public wishing to read research papers, but bizarrely from the funders. Why? Surely funders

would not want to pay thousands in additional costs to enable articles to be published, an expense previously linked with readers' personal pockets? This was always the component I could not understand. After all, the tax-paying public are still effectively paying twice to access research. Instead of paying a more modest subscription fee to read content, they are now paying through the nose at the submission end of the publication process, to then gain 'freely' available (and less scrutinised) content. But when the covid 'pandemic' hit in 2020, and censorship became rife in the academic world, suddenly the dots began to connect.

Academic publishing is littered with hidden agendas and ulterior motives that significantly impact the integrity and quality of research

#### Bill Gates, Corporate Rulers

The billionaire Bill Gates is the largest 'philanthropic' funder of medical research in the world. He invests billions into funding and publishing entities. For instance, he has pledged \$280 million to Imperial College London, \$243 million to Oxford University, \$870 million to Johns Hopkins University, \$3.4 billion to the World Health Organisation, among many others including mainsteam media. Since Gates has such a huge influence on many funding bodies, it was inevitable that a corrupt co-opting metamorphosis of the publishing industry would ensue. Bill Gates created the 'S' plan, whereby all scientists receiving funding from

academic institutions funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation would need to comply with his rules or risk losing their funding. His coercion and bullying extended to threats preventing researchers submitting their findings to subscription-based online submission systems. Thus, only open-access journals 'approved' by him 'for the greater good' would guarantee sustained career and funding opportunities.

This dystopian symbiotic relationship between the funders, publishers and academia creates an elite system where only those with the 'right' credentials get published. Thus, scientific integrity is being eroded along with the diversity pool of authors and new ideas to guarantee that the 'correct' research and 'narrative' is perpetuated. It is no coincidence that all published data on the 'lethality' of the covid virus and merits of the gene-based vaccines all came from Gates-funded organisations. This has lowered the integrity bar for clinical research under the 'so-called' guise of openness and accessibility, now manifest as a win-win for publishers and funders. but entirely controlled by the funding wheels of Bill Gates!

#### Control the Funders, Control What's Published

How would it be possible to control what people read in academia, and even influence their opinions and future research? It is much harder to do so with a traditional subscription-based model. Easier with open-access publishing; since Gates controls the funders, he and his funders can

oversee what gets published and by whom at source, thereby orchestrating the narrative, with carte blanche on censorship, as we have witnessed in abundance. Open-access publishing has disturbing parallels with China's social credit system, representing a manipulated monster of the academic world, and no doubt part of Schwab's 'Great Reset'. There is little doubt that the open-access publishing construct, with Gates at the helm, was not a random event.

#### Conclusion

I call upon all self-respecting scientists and medical academics to distance themselves from Gates-funded research organisations and publishing monopolies in this corrupt, openaccess enterprise. Instead, let us co-create a truly transparent, fairer and non-censored academic infrastructure (decentralised funding and publication systems) that will enable critical thinking, diversity of opinion and multi-disciplinary, collaboration-based research.

This utopian publishing revolution will be based not on divisive impact factor scores, funding power inequalities and artificial publication metrics; rather, its core aims would foster healthy debate and discussion, and the scientific freedom to explore unchartered territory, including areas outside conventionally-funded projects. It would embrace explorative, risk-taking research centred on enhancing well-being and equitable career opportunities across the plethora of clinical and life sciences disciplines. Let's make it happen!





The safe, natural and organic products from lavera prioritise your skin's wellbeing





lavera only use sustainably sourced 100% natural and organic ingredients in all its products so you can be sure they are good for the skin.

No hidden ingredients, no mineral oils, no parabens, no harsh chemicals and certified by

Still owned by the family who started the company over 35 years ago and still imported into the UK by a family owned business for over 22 years. Distributed through Independent Health Stores and Pharmacies and available direct from our website below.









www.lavera.co.uk

### VitalWOMAN

natural, safe, effective



- ✓ reduces hot flushes & night sweats
- ✓ improves cognitive performance
- nourishes bones, hair & skin
- ✓ raises energy & libido

Apply code: VW30 for a 30% discount\*

\*until

simplyvital.com

### Earth friendly BLEACH



- √ no enzymes
- √no fillers
- ✓ septic tanks

#### Effective Alternative to Chlorine Bleach

Use around the home & in laundry to remove stains & brighten whites without damaging fabrics

APPLY CODE

LIGHT50

At Checkout until 31/07/22

01434603457 northumbrianbotanicals.com





Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust. That way You will own Nothing, Control Everything and be Very Happy about it! What You don't Own, Can't be taken away from You.

www.thetrustworks.co.uk







Qunubu CBD was born out of a fiery passion to help support the health, comfort and happiness of our customers, and so that you don't have to, we carefully source UK code-compliant premium CBD infused products designed to harness the power of nature to maintain your overall quality of life.

QUNUBU CBD OIL - Organic GMO free 1000mg oil • Broad spectrum • Individually batch tested for purity, flavour and strength. QUNUBU FRUIT GUMMIES - THC free • 15mg C8D per gummy.

QUNUBU BALM - Organic coconut oil • unfiltered beeswax • 500mg CBD isolate.

CONTACT CRAIG KEDDA FOR MORE INFORMATION: doc@qunubucbd.co.uk • 07395296265 • www.qunubucbd.co.uk



THE POWER

of PAST LIFE

REGRESSION

Steve Burgess

has conducted many thousands of past life regression sessions and this book details some of the incredible healings his clients have experienced as a result of past life therapy.

> Each of the client's stories is vividly re-told, painting exciting pictures and describing the events in their past lives, giving fascinating insights into life in former times.

Available via Amazon and all bookstores

Audio Book available from **Audible and Amazon** ISBN 9781789043433

Free Hypnotherapy recordings on YouTube channel Hypno4all www.lionheart-training.com

#### **ESSENTIAL PREPARATION FOR** YOUR INEVITABLE JOURNEY

Death holds nothing to fear when its mysteries are demystified and every aspect of the afterlife is revealed, examined and explained.

In Your Life after Death the ancient discarnate spirit communicator Joseph does just that, replacing fear with comfort, uncertainty with knowledge.

Read this acclaimed, highly spiritual best seller and you'll never look at the afterlife, or indeed your life here, in quite the same way again.




Thanks to Joseph I am having a fabulous old age - hope is one thing, knowledge another.' Pauline Hutchins


PAPERBACK from: the joseph communications.co.uk or amazon.co.uk


or send cheque for £16.95 (includes p&p) made payable to Band of Light Media Ltd. to: 10 Sparrable Row, Briercliffe, Burnley, Lancashire, BB10 30W.

eBook from Amazon Audiobook from www.bit.ly/yladaudio

For details of other Joseph Communications books: www.thejosephcommunications.co.uk









Covid Crisis Climate Crisis **USA Crisis** Great Reset 5G etc . . .

Stay 😎 informed with us!

https://www.freecitizen.uk/

Free Citizen UK 💥



**Naturopathic Medicine Nutritional Therapy, Functional Testing Nutri-Genomics, Herbs, Nutraceuticals** 

Hormone and GUT Specialist, Support for Chronic Conditions, Check Ups

Consultations in Cardiff and On Line

www.Naturopath.Clinic enquiries@Naturopath.Clinic 01443 440299











Beef Bone Broth Concentrate from the Outer Hebrides

Small family business

Now trialling "Pay as you Wish" - check us out! www.wildisle.co.uk

Accredited bullion and metal merchants since 2010 able to provide physical metals for investment.

We BUY and SELL gold and silver, we are classed as an essential service remaining open during crisis periods. We will match or beat any quote you find elsewhere.

Bullion bars, Sovereign coins and Britannia coins available

Traditional financial transactions transparently conducted, experienced and inexperienced buyers welcome

We Will Match Or Beat Any Online Price



Please contact us on

01789-266595 info@knightsfinejewellery.co.uk

to discuss your investment requirements

Open Monday to Saturday. 10am - 4:30pm

www.knightsfinejewellery.co.uk

Knights Fine Jewellery, 42 Wood St, Stratford upon Avon, Warwickshire, CV37 6JG

### SOCIAL REFORMATION

For fairer, better, more progressive methods of...


Government, Electoral representation,

Money creation, Education, Law & law enforcement

...etcetera, please go to www.theprospectofbabel.com

(as the end of the present order is inevitable, what

comes next is a pressing question).



Note to reader: The Prospect of Babel outlines a concept-polity, the purpose of which is to act as an index for social transition, that is open to revision, modification, moderation and so on (welcoming correction on every level, while inviting others to refine it – which renders the reader, in part, the writer, or leastwise the righter via critical reading).

In respect of the text, there's three errors a reader, however clever, may make, to wit: to mistake the narrator for a dictator, and see what's propositional as prescriptive; to read what's stylised as literal; to perceive as radical what's actually pragmatic (by virtue of timescale, analysis, and open-minded trial) – to iterate, the document is not a blueprint for a utopia (more of an ideal that invites dialogue).

Please note also that it's written in blank verse – so blank in fact that you may not at first discern it (its form not affecting its legibility, though a reader may note an apparent over-use of commas – this seeming error stemming from the investment of metre [viz. if spoken, it has rhythms]. To this end most of the commas are optional (being formal more than grammatical devices).

#### www.theprospectofbabel.com



O OTHELIGHTRECRUIT

